Real hyperfields

Hanna Stojałowska (joint work with Katarzyna Kuhlmann and Alessandro Linzi)

Szczecin, 24.03.2022

Hyperoperation

Let *H* be a nonempty set and $\mathcal{P}^*(H)$ the family of nonempty subsets of *H*. A *hyperoperation* + is a function:

$$+: H \times H \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^*(H)$$

 $(x,y) \mapsto x + y.$

For a subset $A \subseteq H$ and $x \in H$ we have

$$A + x := \bigcup_{a \in A} a + x$$
 and $x + A = \bigcup_{a \in A} x + a$.

Canonical hypergroup

Definition

A *canonical hypergroup* is a tuple (H, +, 0), where + is a hyperoperation on H and $0 \in H$ is an element such that the following axioms hold:

- (H1) the hyperoperation + is associative, i.e., (x + y) + z = x + (y + z) for all $x, y, z \in H$,
- (H2) x + y = y + x for all $x, y \in H$,
- (H3) for every $x \in H$ there exists a unique -x such that $0 \in x + (-x) =: x x$,
- (H4) $z \in x + y$ implies $y \in z x$ for all $x, y, z \in H$.

Hyperrings and hyperfields

Definition

A (*commutative*) hyperring with unity is a tuple $(R, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ which satisfies the following axioms:

- (R1) (R, +, 0) is a canonical hypergroup,
- (R2) $(R, \cdot, 1)$ is a commutative monoid and $x \cdot 0 = 0$ for all $x \in R$,
- (R3) the operation \cdot is distributive with respect to the hyperoperation +. That is, for all $x, y, z \in R$,

$$x \cdot (y+z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z.$$

If $(R, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ is a hyperring with unity and $(R \setminus \{0\}, \cdot, 1)$ is a group, then $(R, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ is called a *hyperfield*.

Hyperideal

Definition

Let *R* be a hyperring.

- **①** A nonempty subset $I \subseteq R$ is a *hyperideal* if for all $a, b \in I$ and for all $r \in R$ we have $a + b \subseteq I$, $-a \in I$ and $ar \in I$.
- **②** A hyperideal $I \subseteq R$ is *maximal* if I satisfies the following property: if $J \subseteq R$ is a hyperideal of R such that $I \subseteq J$, then J = R.

Valuation in hyperfields

Definition

Take a hyperfield F and an ordered abelian group Γ (written additively). The map $v: F \to \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ is called a *valuation on F* if it has the following properties:

```
(V1) v(a) = \infty iff a = 0;

(V2) v(ab) = v(a) + v(b);

(V3) c \in a + b \Rightarrow v(c) \ge \min\{v(a), v(b)\}.
```

Definition

Let *F* be a hyperfield. A subhyperring \mathcal{O} of *F* is called a *valuation hyperring* if for all $x \in F$ we have that either $x \in \mathcal{O}$ or $x^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}$.

Proposition

Let v be a valuation on a hyperfield F. Then $\mathcal{O}_v := \{x \in F \mid v(x) \ge 0\}$ is a valuation hyperring of F and $\mathcal{M}_v := \{x \in F \mid v(x) > 0\}$ its unique maximal hyperideal. The quotient $\mathcal{O}_v/\mathcal{M}_v$ is a hyperfield, called *the residue hyperfield*.

Factor hyperfields

The following construction comes from Krasner.

Let K be a field and T a subgroup of K^{\times} . We consider the equivalence relation:

$$x \sim y$$
 if and only if $x = yt$ for some $t \in T$.

Denote by $[x]_T$ the equivalence class of x and by $K_T := K^{\times}/T \cup \{[0]_T\}$ the set of all equivalence classes. The set K_T with the operations

$$[x]_T + [y]_T = \{ [x + yt]_T \mid t \in T \};$$

 $[x]_T \cdot [y]_T = [xy]_T,$

is a hyperfield, called a factor hyperfield (quotient hyperfield). The neutral element of the multivalued addition in K_T is $[0]_T = \{0\}$ and the additive inverse of $[x]_T \in A_K$ is $[-x]_T$.

Examples of hyperfields

Consider the field of real numbers \mathbb{R} with its multiplicative subgroup $(\mathbb{R}^{\times})^2$. We can identify the factor hyperfield $\mathbb{R}_{(\mathbb{R}^{\times})^2}$ with the set $H = \{-1, 0, 1\}$. The hyperaddition works here as follows:

$$(-1) + (-1) = (-1) + 0 = 0 + (-1) = \{-1\}$$

$$0 + 0 = \{0\}$$

$$1 + 1 = 1 + 0 = 0 + 1 = \{1\}$$

$$1 + (-1) = (-1) + 1 = \{-1, 0, 1\}.$$

This hyperfield is called the *sign hyperfield*.

Examples of hyperfields

Consider the following cartesian product $F = \{-1, 1\} \times \Gamma$, where Γ is an ordered abelian group. Denote $F := \{-1, 1\} \times \Gamma \cup \{0\}$. Then the tuple $(F, +, \cdot, 0, (1, 0))$ is a hyperfield, where the hyperaddition is defined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} x+0=0+x=\{x\} & x\in F \\ (1,\gamma_1)+(1,\gamma_2)=\{(1,\min(\gamma_1,\gamma_2))\} & \gamma_1,\gamma_2\in\Gamma \\ (-1,\gamma_1)+(-1,\gamma_2)=\{(-1,\min(\gamma_1,\gamma_2))\} & \gamma_1,\gamma_2\in\Gamma \\ (1,\gamma_1)+(-1,\gamma_2)=\{(1,\gamma_1)\} & \gamma_1<\gamma_2\in\Gamma \\ (1,\gamma_1)+(-1,\gamma_2)=\{(-1,\gamma_2)\} & \gamma_1>\gamma_2\in\Gamma \\ (1,\gamma)+(-1,\gamma)=\{(e,\delta)\mid e\in\{-1,1\},\delta\geqslant\gamma\}\cup\{0\} & \gamma\in\Gamma \end{array}$$

The result of multiplication by 0 is obvious. For nonzero elements of F we define:

$$(s_1, \gamma_1) \cdot (s_2, \gamma_2) = (s_1 \cdot s_2, \gamma_1 + \gamma_2).$$

During this talk we will call it the hyperfield of pairs.



Artin-Schreier theory for hyperfields

Let *F* be a hyperfield. A subset $P \subseteq F$ is an *ordering* of *F* if

$$P + P \subseteq P$$
, $P \cdot P \subseteq P$, $P \cap -P = \emptyset$, $P \cup -P = F^{\times}$.

A subset $T \subseteq F$ is called a *preordering* in F if

$$T+T\subseteq T, \quad T\cdot T\subseteq T, \quad (F^{\times})^2\subseteq T, \quad -1\notin T.$$

We say that a preordering $T \subseteq F$ is *maximal* if $T \subseteq S$ for some preordering S in F implies that S = T. The set of all orderings of a hyperfield F will be denoted by $\mathcal{X}(F)$ and the set of all orderings of F containing a subset $T \subseteq F$ by $\mathcal{X}(F \mid T)$.

A hyperfield *F* is *real* if $\mathcal{X}(F) \neq \emptyset$.

Example

We observe that the sign hyperfield is real with the ordering $P = \{1\}$ and the hyperfield of pairs is real with the ordering $P = \{(1, \gamma) \in F \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$.

Artin-Schreier theory for hyperfields

Theorem (M. Marshall)

Let F be a hyperfield.

- Every maximal preordering T of F is an ordering.
- ② *F* is real if and only if $-1 \notin \Sigma(F^{\times})^2$.
- **⑤** For every preordering T of F we have

$$T = \bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{X}(F|T)} P.$$

Compatibility between ordering and valuation

If *K* is a field, then with the ordering *P* one can associate a linear order defined by

$$a < b \Leftrightarrow b - a \in P$$
.

In the hyperfield case, the relation $a < b \Leftrightarrow b - a \subseteq P$ does not have to be a linear order. However, it defines on F a strict partial order, which does not have to be compatible with the hyperaddition.

Let K be a field. A valuation v of K is *compatible* with an ordering < if the valuation ring \mathcal{O}_v of v is convex with respect to <. The partial order < of a real hyperfield F for an ordering P of F allows us to consider the notion of convexity in F. Hence the questions arise:

How can we define compatibility between an ordering and a valuation in hyperfields?

Can we use the notion of convexity to define it?



Construction of A(P) and I(P)

Every real field *K* has characteristic 0, so it contains the rationals. If *P* is an ordering of *K*, then the set

$$A(P) := \{ a \in K \mid n \pm a \in P \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

is a valuation ring of K (associated with the natural valuation of P) with the maximal ideal

$$I(P) := \{ a \in K \mid \frac{1}{n} \pm a \in P \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

We wish to construct A(P) and I(P) in real hyperfields.

Construction of A(P) and I(P)

But how can we construct A(P) and I(P) if a hyperfield does not have to contain the rationals?

Let *F* be a hyperfield with ordering *P*. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$I_n := \underbrace{1 + \ldots + 1}_{n \text{ times}}.$$

Define

$$A(P) := \{ a \in F \mid (I_n \pm a) \cap P \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

$$I(P) := \{ a \in F \mid (1 \pm I_n \cdot a) \subseteq P \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

Proposition

The set A(P) is a valuation hyperring in F with its unique maximal hyperideal I(P).

Definition

An ordering P of a hyperfield F is called archimedean if A(P) = F.



Examples of archimedean and nonarchimedean orderings

Consider the sign hyperfield $F = \mathbb{R}_{(\mathbb{R}^{\times})^2}$ with the ordering $P = \{1\}$. Observe that $1 + 1 = \{1\}$, so $I_n = \{1\}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$A(P) = \{ a \in F \mid (1 \pm a) \cap P \neq \emptyset \} = F,$$

 $I(P) = \{ a \in F \mid (1 \pm a) \subseteq P \} = \{ 0 \}.$

Hence *P* is an archimedean ordering in *F*.

Consider the hyperfield of pairs F. Then

$$A(P) = \{(s, \gamma) \in F \mid \gamma \geqslant 0\} \cup \{0\} \subsetneq F,$$

$$I(P) = \{(s, \gamma) \in F \mid \gamma > 0\} \cup \{0\}.$$

Hence P is a nonarchimedean ordering in F.



A valuation compatible with the ordering P

Proposition

Let F be a hyperfield with a valuation v and an ordering P. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $A(P) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{v}$,
- (ii) $\overline{P} := \{a + \mathcal{M}_{\nu} \mid a \in P \cap \mathcal{O}_{\nu}^{\times}\}$ is an ordering of $\mathcal{O}_{\nu}/\mathcal{M}_{\nu}$,
- (*iii*) $1 + \mathcal{M}_{v} \subseteq P$,
- (iv) if $(b+a) \cap P \neq \emptyset$ and $(b-a) \cap P \neq \emptyset$, then $v(a) \geqslant v(b)$.

Definition

A valuation v on a hyperfield F satisfying the equivalent conditions of the last proposition is called a valuation compatible with the ordering P.

Compatibility implies convexity

We have defined the notion of a valuation being compatible with an ordering. Can we say that v is compatible with the ordering P if and only if \mathcal{O}_v is convex with respect to P?

The answer is NO.

However, we have the following lemma:

Lemma

Let v be a valuation on the real hyperfield F, compatible with an ordering P. Then \mathcal{O}_v is convex with respect to P.

Orderings and valuations in factor hyperfields

Lemma

Let P be an ordering of a field K and assume that a multiplicative subgroup T of K is contained in P. Consider the factor hyperfield $K_T = \{[a]_T \mid a \in K\}.$

- If $a \in P$, then $[a]_T \subseteq P$.
- ② The set $P_T := \{[c]_T \mid c \in P\}$ is an ordering of K_T .

The ordering P_T in K_T is called an ordering induced by the ordering P.

Lemma

Take a valued field (K, v) with the valuation ring \mathcal{O}_v and let T be a subgroup of the group of units \mathcal{O}_v^{\times} . Take the factor hyperfield K_T . Then the map $v_T: [a]_T \mapsto v(a)$ is a valuation of K_T with value group $v(K^{\times})$.

The valuation v_T is called the valuation on K_T induced by v.



Convexity does not imply compatibility

Proposition

Let v be a valuation on a real field K and let P be an ordering of K. Take $T = P \cap \mathcal{O}_v^{\times}$ and consider the factor hyperfield K_T with valuation v_T induced by v and ordering P_T induced by P. Then:

- (i) The valuation v is compatible with P if and only if v_T is compatible with P_T .
- (ii) If v is a rank 1 valuation which is not compatible with P, then any two distinct elements in K_T which are positive (with respect to P_T) are not comparable. In particular, \mathcal{O}_{v_T} is convex with respect to P_T , while v_T is not compatible with P_T .

Baer-Krull Theorem for real hyperfields

We denote by $\mathcal{X}(F, v)$ the set of all orderings of F compatible with v.

Theorem

Let v be a valuation on a real hyperfield F with value group Γ . Then there is a bijection between the set $\mathcal{X}(F,v)$ and the set $\mathcal{X}(\overline{F}) \times \text{Hom}(\Gamma,\{1,-1\})$.

Characteristic and C-characteristic

Definition

Let *F* be a hyperfield. A natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is called *the characteristic of F* if *n* is the minimal number such that

$$0 \in \underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_{n \text{ times}}$$

If there is no such number, then charF = 0.

A natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is called *the C-characteristic of F* if n is the minimal number such that

$$1 \in \underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_{n+1 \text{ times}}.$$

If there is no such number, then C-charF = 0.

Every real hyperfield is of characteristic 0.



Results on C-characteristic in real hyperfields

Proposition

- **②** For every $n ∈ \mathbb{N}$ there exists an infinite real hyperfield F with C-charF = n.
- **②** For every even natural number n > 1 there exists a finite real hyperfield F with n elements such that C-charF = 2.
- There exists a finite real hyperfield with C-charF = 3.

OPEN PROBLEM: Does there exist a finite hyperfield with *C-charF* = n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$?

References

- B. Davvaz, A. Salasi, A Realization of Hyperrings, Communications in Algebra 34 (2006), 4389-4400
- J. Jun, *Algebraic geometry over hyperrings*, Advances in Mathematics 323 (2018), 142-192
- M. Krasner, A class of hyperrings and hyperfields, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences 6 (1983), 307-311
- K. Kuhlmann, A. Linzi, H. Stojałowska, *Orderings and valuations in hyperfields*, preprint, (2021), arXiv:2106.04978
- M. Marshall, Real reduced multirings and multifields, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 205 (2006), 452-468