

Ball Spaces: a generic approach to measuring the strength of completeness/compactness of various types of spaces and ordered structures

Franz-Viktor Kuhlmann

joint work with Katarzyna Kuhlmann

including collaborations with René Bartsch, Hanna Ćmiel,
Wiesław Kubis and Matthias Paulsen

São Carlos, August 20, 2019

Banach's Fixed Point Theorem

Let (X, d) be a metric space. A function $f : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be **contracting**

Banach's Fixed Point Theorem

Let (X, d) be a metric space. A function $f : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be **contracting** if there is a positive real number $C < 1$ such that $d(fx, fy) \leq Cd(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Banach's Fixed Point Theorem

Let (X, d) be a metric space. A function $f : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be **contracting** if there is a positive real number $C < 1$ such that $d(fx, fy) \leq Cd(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Theorem (Banach's Fixed Point Theorem)

Every contracting function on a complete metric space (X, d) has a unique fixed point.

An **ultrametric space** (X, u) is a set X together with a function $u : X \times X \rightarrow \Gamma$,

An **ultrametric space** (X, u) is a set X together with a function $u : X \times X \rightarrow \Gamma$, where Γ is a totally ordered set with minimal element 0,

An **ultrametric space** (X, u) is a set X together with a function $u : X \times X \rightarrow \Gamma$, where Γ is a totally ordered set with minimal element 0 , satisfying the following conditions for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $x, y, z \in X$:

An **ultrametric space** (X, u) is a set X together with a function $u : X \times X \rightarrow \Gamma$, where Γ is a totally ordered set with minimal element 0 , satisfying the following conditions for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $x, y, z \in X$:

$$(1) u(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$$

An **ultrametric space** (X, u) is a set X together with a function $u : X \times X \rightarrow \Gamma$, where Γ is a totally ordered set with minimal element 0 , satisfying the following conditions for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $x, y, z \in X$:

$$(1) u(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$$

$$(2) u(x, y) = u(y, x)$$

An **ultrametric space** (X, u) is a set X together with a function $u : X \times X \rightarrow \Gamma$, where Γ is a totally ordered set with minimal element 0 , satisfying the following conditions for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $x, y, z \in X$:

$$(1) u(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$$

$$(2) u(x, y) = u(y, x)$$

$$(3) u(x, y) \leq \max\{u(x, z), u(z, y)\}$$

An **ultrametric space** (X, u) is a set X together with a function $u : X \times X \rightarrow \Gamma$, where Γ is a totally ordered set with minimal element 0 , satisfying the following conditions for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $x, y, z \in X$:

$$(1) u(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$$

$$(2) u(x, y) = u(y, x)$$

$$(3) u(x, y) \leq \max\{u(x, z), u(z, y)\}$$

Example: \mathbb{Q} together with the p -adic metric is an ultrametric space.

An **ultrametric space** (X, u) is a set X together with a function $u : X \times X \rightarrow \Gamma$, where Γ is a totally ordered set with minimal element 0 , satisfying the following conditions for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $x, y, z \in X$:

$$(1) u(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$$

$$(2) u(x, y) = u(y, x)$$

$$(3) u(x, y) \leq \max\{u(x, z), u(z, y)\}$$

Example: \mathbb{Q} together with the p -adic metric is an ultrametric space. More generally, every (Krull) valuation induces an ultrametric.

A (“closed”) ball in an ultrametric space (X, u) is a set

$$B_\gamma(x) := \{y \in X \mid u(x, y) \leq \gamma\}.$$

A (“closed”) ball in an ultrametric space (X, u) is a set

$$B_\gamma(x) := \{y \in X \mid u(x, y) \leq \gamma\}.$$

The beauty of ultrametric spaces:

A (“closed”) ball in an ultrametric space (X, u) is a set

$$B_\gamma(x) := \{y \in X \mid u(x, y) \leq \gamma\}.$$

The beauty of ultrametric spaces: if $\gamma \leq \delta$ and $B_\gamma(x) \cap B_\delta(x) \neq \emptyset$,

A (“closed”) ball in an ultrametric space (X, u) is a set

$$B_\gamma(x) := \{y \in X \mid u(x, y) \leq \gamma\}.$$

The beauty of ultrametric spaces: if $\gamma \leq \delta$ and $B_\gamma(x) \cap B_\delta(x) \neq \emptyset$, then $B_\gamma(x) \subseteq B_\delta(x)$.

A (“closed”) ball in an ultrametric space (X, u) is a set

$$B_\gamma(x) := \{y \in X \mid u(x, y) \leq \gamma\}.$$

The beauty of ultrametric spaces: if $\gamma \leq \delta$ and $B_\gamma(x) \cap B_\delta(x) \neq \emptyset$, then $B_\gamma(x) \subseteq B_\delta(x)$.

In an ultrametric ball, each element is its center,

A (“closed”) ball in an ultrametric space (X, u) is a set

$$B_\gamma(x) := \{y \in X \mid u(x, y) \leq \gamma\}.$$

The beauty of ultrametric spaces: if $\gamma \leq \delta$ and $B_\gamma(x) \cap B_\delta(x) \neq \emptyset$, then $B_\gamma(x) \subseteq B_\delta(x)$.

In an ultrametric ball, each element is its center, and in every triangle, at least two sides are equal.

A (“closed”) ball in an ultrametric space (X, u) is a set

$$B_\gamma(x) := \{y \in X \mid u(x, y) \leq \gamma\}.$$

The beauty of ultrametric spaces: if $\gamma \leq \delta$ and $B_\gamma(x) \cap B_\delta(x) \neq \emptyset$, then $B_\gamma(x) \subseteq B_\delta(x)$.

In an ultrametric ball, each element is its center, and in every triangle, at least two sides are equal.

A nest of balls is a nonempty collection of balls which is totally ordered by inclusion.

A (“closed”) ball in an ultrametric space (X, u) is a set

$$B_\gamma(x) := \{y \in X \mid u(x, y) \leq \gamma\}.$$

The beauty of ultrametric spaces: if $\gamma \leq \delta$ and $B_\gamma(x) \cap B_\delta(x) \neq \emptyset$, then $B_\gamma(x) \subseteq B_\delta(x)$.

In an ultrametric ball, each element is its center, and in every triangle, at least two sides are equal.

A nest of balls is a nonempty collection of balls which is totally ordered by inclusion.

An ultrametric space (X, u) is called spherically complete if the intersection of every nest of balls is nonempty.

An ultrametric fixed point theorem

Let (X, u) be an ultrametric space. A function $f : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be **contracting**

An ultrametric fixed point theorem

Let (X, u) be an ultrametric space. A function $f : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be **contracting** if $u(fx, fy) < u(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$.

An ultrametric fixed point theorem

Let (X, u) be an ultrametric space. A function $f : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be **contracting** if $u(fx, fy) < u(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$.

Theorem (S. Prietz-Crampe 1990)

Every contracting function f on a spherically complete ultrametric space (X, u) has a unique fixed point.

An ultrametric fixed point theorem

Let (X, u) be an ultrametric space. A function $f : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be **contracting** if $u(fx, fy) < u(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$.

Theorem (S. Priß-Crampe 1990)

Every contracting function f on a spherically complete ultrametric space (X, u) has a unique fixed point.

In joint work with P. Ribenboim, Priß-Crampe later extended this theorem

An ultrametric fixed point theorem

Let (X, u) be an ultrametric space. A function $f : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be **contracting** if $u(fx, fy) < u(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$.

Theorem (S. Priß-Crampe 1990)

Every contracting function f on a spherically complete ultrametric space (X, u) has a unique fixed point.

In joint work with P. Ribenboim, Priß-Crampe later extended this theorem to the case of generalized ultrametric spaces which have partially ordered value sets Γ .

- What about topological spaces?

- What about topological spaces? What about linear orderings?

- What about topological spaces? What about linear orderings? What sort of completeness would be needed for fixed point theorems in such spaces and structures?

- What about topological spaces? What about linear orderings? What sort of completeness would be needed for fixed point theorems in such spaces and structures?
- Are there “umbrella theorems” from which fixed point theorems in each application can be derived?

- What about topological spaces? What about linear orderings? What sort of completeness would be needed for fixed point theorems in such spaces and structures?
- Are there “umbrella theorems” from which fixed point theorems in each application can be derived?
- How can the respective completeness properties of various spaces and ordered structures be linked with each other

- What about topological spaces? What about linear orderings? What sort of completeness would be needed for fixed point theorems in such spaces and structures?
- Are there “umbrella theorems” from which fixed point theorems in each application can be derived?
- How can the respective completeness properties of various spaces and ordered structures be linked with each other and compared?

- What about topological spaces? What about linear orderings? What sort of completeness would be needed for fixed point theorems in such spaces and structures?
- Are there “umbrella theorems” from which fixed point theorems in each application can be derived?
- How can the respective completeness properties of various spaces and ordered structures be linked with each other and compared? Is there a reasonable definition of their “strength”,

- What about topological spaces? What about linear orderings? What sort of completeness would be needed for fixed point theorems in such spaces and structures?
- Are there “umbrella theorems” from which fixed point theorems in each application can be derived?
- How can the respective completeness properties of various spaces and ordered structures be linked with each other and compared? Is there a reasonable definition of their “strength”, and how can it be measured?

A **ball space** is a pair (X, \mathcal{B}) , where X is a nonempty set and \mathcal{B} is a nonempty collection of nonempty subsets of X (**balls**).

A **ball space** is a pair (X, \mathcal{B}) , where X is a nonempty set and \mathcal{B} is a nonempty collection of nonempty subsets of X (**balls**).

A **nest of balls** is a nonempty collection of balls which is totally ordered by inclusion.

A **ball space** is a pair (X, \mathcal{B}) , where X is a nonempty set and \mathcal{B} is a nonempty collection of nonempty subsets of X (**balls**).

A **nest of balls** is a nonempty collection of balls which is totally ordered by inclusion.

A ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) is called **spherically complete** if the intersection of every nest of balls is nonempty.

A **ball space** is a pair (X, \mathcal{B}) , where X is a nonempty set and \mathcal{B} is a nonempty collection of nonempty subsets of X (**balls**).

A **nest of balls** is a nonempty collection of balls which is totally ordered by inclusion.

A ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) is called **spherically complete** if the intersection of every nest of balls is nonempty.

To every ultrametric space (X, u) we can associate a ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) by taking \mathcal{B} to be the collection of all closed ultrametric balls.

A **ball space** is a pair (X, \mathcal{B}) , where X is a nonempty set and \mathcal{B} is a nonempty collection of nonempty subsets of X (**balls**).

A **nest of balls** is a nonempty collection of balls which is totally ordered by inclusion.

A ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) is called **spherically complete** if the intersection of every nest of balls is nonempty.

To every ultrametric space (X, u) we can associate a ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) by taking \mathcal{B} to be the collection of all closed ultrametric balls. Then (X, u) is spherically complete if and only if (X, \mathcal{B}) is.

An example of a basic FPT for ball spaces

Take a function $f : X \rightarrow X$.

An example of a basic FPT for ball spaces

Take a function $f : X \rightarrow X$. A subset $B \subseteq X$ is called f -closed if $f(B) \subseteq B$,

An example of a basic FPT for ball spaces

Take a function $f : X \rightarrow X$. A subset $B \subseteq X$ is called **f -closed** if $f(B) \subseteq B$, and it is called **f -contracting** if it is a singleton containing a fixed point

An example of a basic FPT for ball spaces

Take a function $f : X \rightarrow X$. A subset $B \subseteq X$ is called **f -closed** if $f(B) \subseteq B$, and it is called **f -contracting** if it is a singleton containing a fixed point or satisfies $f(B) \subsetneq B$.

An example of a basic FPT for ball spaces

Take a function $f : X \rightarrow X$. A subset $B \subseteq X$ is called **f -closed** if $f(B) \subseteq B$, and it is called **f -contracting** if it is a singleton containing a fixed point or satisfies $f(B) \subsetneq B$.

Theorem

Take a spherically complete ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) and a function $f : X \rightarrow X$.

An example of a basic FPT for ball spaces

Take a function $f : X \rightarrow X$. A subset $B \subseteq X$ is called **f -closed** if $f(B) \subseteq B$, and it is called **f -contracting** if it is a singleton containing a fixed point or satisfies $f(B) \subsetneq B$.

Theorem

Take a spherically complete ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) and a function $f : X \rightarrow X$.

- If every f -closed subset of X contains an f -contracting ball, then f has a fixed point in every f -closed subset of X .*

An example of a basic FPT for ball spaces

Take a function $f : X \rightarrow X$. A subset $B \subseteq X$ is called **f -closed** if $f(B) \subseteq B$, and it is called **f -contracting** if it is a singleton containing a fixed point or satisfies $f(B) \subsetneq B$.

Theorem

Take a spherically complete ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) and a function $f : X \rightarrow X$.

- If every f -closed subset of X contains an f -contracting ball, then f has a fixed point in every f -closed subset of X .
- If every f -closed subset of X **is** an f -contracting ball, then f has a **unique** fixed point.

An example of a basic FPT for ball spaces

Take a function $f : X \rightarrow X$. A subset $B \subseteq X$ is called **f -closed** if $f(B) \subseteq B$, and it is called **f -contracting** if it is a singleton containing a fixed point or satisfies $f(B) \subsetneq B$.

Theorem

Take a spherically complete ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) and a function $f : X \rightarrow X$.

- If every f -closed subset of X contains an f -contracting ball, then f has a fixed point in every f -closed subset of X .
- If every f -closed subset of X is an f -contracting ball, then f has a **unique** fixed point.

The ultrametric FPT is a direct consequence of the second part of this theorem.

What are the balls in topological spaces?

The nonempty open sets?

What are the balls in topological spaces?

The nonempty open sets? Not a good idea!

What are the balls in topological spaces?

The nonempty open sets? Not a good idea!

A topological space is compact if and only if every chain of **closed** sets has a nonempty intersection.

What are the balls in topological spaces?

The nonempty open sets? Not a good idea!

A topological space is compact if and only if every chain of **closed** sets has a nonempty intersection.

If X is a topological space, then we will consider the ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) where \mathcal{B} consists of all nonempty closed sets.

What are the balls in topological spaces?

The nonempty open sets? Not a good idea!

A topological space is compact if and only if every chain of **closed** sets has a nonempty intersection.

If X is a topological space, then we will consider the ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) where \mathcal{B} consists of all nonempty closed sets. Hence we have:

Theorem

The ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) is spherically complete if and only if X is compact.

Ordered sets, abelian groups and fields

Let (X, \leq) be any nonempty totally ordered set.

Ordered sets, abelian groups and fields

Let (X, \leq) be any nonempty totally ordered set. Define

$$\mathcal{B} := \{[a, b] \mid a, b \in X, a \leq b\}.$$

Ordered sets, abelian groups and fields

Let (X, \leq) be any nonempty totally ordered set. Define

$$\mathcal{B} := \{[a, b] \mid a, b \in X, a \leq b\}.$$

Under which conditions is (X, \mathcal{B}) spherically complete?

Ordered sets, abelian groups and fields

Let (X, \leq) be any nonempty totally ordered set. Define

$$\mathcal{B} := \{[a, b] \mid a, b \in X, a \leq b\}.$$

Under which conditions is (X, \mathcal{B}) spherically complete?

A **Dedekind cut in X** is a pair (D, E) of nonempty subsets of X

Ordered sets, abelian groups and fields

Let (X, \leq) be any nonempty totally ordered set. Define

$$\mathcal{B} := \{[a, b] \mid a, b \in X, a \leq b\}.$$

Under which conditions is (X, \mathcal{B}) spherically complete?

A **Dedekind cut in X** is a pair (D, E) of nonempty subsets of X such that $D \cup E = X$

Ordered sets, abelian groups and fields

Let (X, \leq) be any nonempty totally ordered set. Define

$$\mathcal{B} := \{[a, b] \mid a, b \in X, a \leq b\}.$$

Under which conditions is (X, \mathcal{B}) spherically complete?

A **Dedekind cut in X** is a pair (D, E) of nonempty subsets of X such that $D \cup E = X$ and $d < e$ for all $d \in D, e \in E$.

Ordered sets, abelian groups and fields

Let (X, \leq) be any nonempty totally ordered set. Define

$$\mathcal{B} := \{[a, b] \mid a, b \in X, a \leq b\}.$$

Under which conditions is (X, \mathcal{B}) spherically complete?

A **Dedekind cut in X** is a pair (D, E) of nonempty subsets of X such that $D \cup E = X$ and $d < e$ for all $d \in D, e \in E$.

$(X, <)$ is **cut complete** if every Dedekind cut is filled,

Ordered sets, abelian groups and fields

Let (X, \leq) be any nonempty totally ordered set. Define

$$\mathcal{B} := \{[a, b] \mid a, b \in X, a \leq b\}.$$

Under which conditions is (X, \mathcal{B}) spherically complete?

A **Dedekind cut in X** is a pair (D, E) of nonempty subsets of X such that $D \cup E = X$ and $d < e$ for all $d \in D, e \in E$.

$(X, <)$ is **cut complete** if every Dedekind cut is filled, i.e., D has a maximal element or E has a minimal element.

Ordered sets, abelian groups and fields

Let (X, \leq) be any nonempty totally ordered set. Define

$$\mathcal{B} := \{[a, b] \mid a, b \in X, a \leq b\}.$$

Under which conditions is (X, \mathcal{B}) spherically complete?

A **Dedekind cut in X** is a pair (D, E) of nonempty subsets of X such that $D \cup E = X$ and $d < e$ for all $d \in D, e \in E$.

$(X, <)$ is **cut complete** if every Dedekind cut is filled, i.e., D has a maximal element or E has a minimal element.

Does the spherical completeness of (X, \mathcal{B}) imply cut completeness?

Ordered sets, abelian groups and fields

Let (X, \leq) be any nonempty totally ordered set. Define

$$\mathcal{B} := \{[a, b] \mid a, b \in X, a \leq b\}.$$

Under which conditions is (X, \mathcal{B}) spherically complete?

A **Dedekind cut in X** is a pair (D, E) of nonempty subsets of X such that $D \cup E = X$ and $d < e$ for all $d \in D, e \in E$.

$(X, <)$ is **cut complete** if every Dedekind cut is filled, i.e., D has a maximal element or E has a minimal element.

Does the spherical completeness of (X, \mathcal{B}) imply cut completeness? Then it would not be interesting for ordered abelian groups and fields

Ordered sets, abelian groups and fields

Let (X, \leq) be any nonempty totally ordered set. Define

$$\mathcal{B} := \{[a, b] \mid a, b \in X, a \leq b\}.$$

Under which conditions is (X, \mathcal{B}) spherically complete?

A **Dedekind cut in X** is a pair (D, E) of nonempty subsets of X such that $D \cup E = X$ and $d < e$ for all $d \in D, e \in E$.

$(X, <)$ is **cut complete** if every Dedekind cut is filled, i.e., D has a maximal element or E has a minimal element.

Does the spherical completeness of (X, \mathcal{B}) imply cut completeness? Then it would not be interesting for ordered abelian groups and fields since all cut complete densely ordered abelian groups and ordered fields

Ordered sets, abelian groups and fields

Let (X, \leq) be any nonempty totally ordered set. Define

$$\mathcal{B} := \{[a, b] \mid a, b \in X, a \leq b\}.$$

Under which conditions is (X, \mathcal{B}) spherically complete?

A **Dedekind cut in X** is a pair (D, E) of nonempty subsets of X such that $D \cup E = X$ and $d < e$ for all $d \in D, e \in E$.

$(X, <)$ is **cut complete** if every Dedekind cut is filled, i.e., D has a maximal element or E has a minimal element.

Does the spherical completeness of (X, \mathcal{B}) imply cut completeness? Then it would not be interesting for ordered abelian groups and fields since all cut complete densely ordered abelian groups and ordered fields are isomorphic to \mathbb{R} .

Asymmetric cuts

If (D, E) is a cut where the cofinality of D is smaller than the coinitality of E (= the cofinality of E under the reverse ordering),

If (D, E) is a cut where the cofinality of D is smaller than the coinitality of E (= the cofinality of E under the reverse ordering), then a nest $\{[a_\nu, b_\nu] \mid \nu < \lambda\}$ will never be able to “zoom in” on the cut because if it is a sequence whose length is the coinitality of E , then the a_ν will eventually become stationary,

Asymmetric cuts

If (D, E) is a cut where the cofinality of D is smaller than the coinitality of E (= the cofinality of E under the reverse ordering), then a nest $\{[a_\nu, b_\nu] \mid \nu < \lambda\}$ will never be able to “zoom in” on the cut because if it is a sequence whose length is the coinitality of E , then the a_ν will eventually become stationary, and their eventual value will be an element in the intersection of the nest.

If (D, E) is a cut where the cofinality of D is smaller than the coinitality of E (= the cofinality of E under the reverse ordering), then a nest $\{[a_\nu, b_\nu] \mid \nu < \lambda\}$ will never be able to “zoom in” on the cut because if it is a sequence whose length is the coinitality of E , then the a_ν will eventually become stationary, and their eventual value will be an element in the intersection of the nest.

A symmetric argument works when the cofinality of D is larger than the coinitality of E .

If (D, E) is a cut where the cofinality of D is smaller than the coinitality of E (= the cofinality of E under the reverse ordering), then a nest $\{[a_\nu, b_\nu] \mid \nu < \lambda\}$ will never be able to “zoom in” on the cut because if it is a sequence whose length is the coinitality of E , then the a_ν will eventually become stationary, and their eventual value will be an element in the intersection of the nest.

A symmetric argument works when the cofinality of D is larger than the coinitality of E .

The cut (D, E) is called **asymmetric** if the cofinality of D is not equal to the coinitality of E .

Asymmetric cuts

If (D, E) is a cut where the cofinality of D is smaller than the coinitality of E (= the cofinality of E under the reverse ordering), then a nest $\{[a_\nu, b_\nu] \mid \nu < \lambda\}$ will never be able to “zoom in” on the cut because if it is a sequence whose length is the coinitality of E , then the a_ν will eventually become stationary, and their eventual value will be an element in the intersection of the nest.

A symmetric argument works when the cofinality of D is larger than the coinitality of E .

The cut (D, E) is called **asymmetric** if the cofinality of D is not equal to the coinitality of E . By what we have seen above, nests of intervals $[a_\nu, b_\nu]$ over asymmetric cuts will always have nonempty intersection.

An ordered set in which every cut is asymmetric is called **symmetrically complete**.

An ordered set in which every cut is asymmetric is called **symmetrically complete**.

Theorem

(X, \mathcal{B}) is spherically complete if and only if X is symmetrically complete.

Ordered sets, abelian groups and fields

Do symmetrically complete ordered abelian groups and fields (other than \mathbb{R}) exist?

Ordered sets, abelian groups and fields

Do symmetrically complete ordered abelian groups and fields (other than \mathbb{R}) exist?

- (1908) F. Hausdorff constructed ordered sets in which every cut is asymmetric.

Do symmetrically complete ordered abelian groups and fields (other than \mathbb{R}) exist?

- (1908) F. Hausdorff constructed ordered sets in which every cut is asymmetric.
- (2004) S. Shelah introduced the notion of “symmetrically complete ordered field” and proved that every ordered field can be extended to a symmetrically complete ordered field.

Do symmetrically complete ordered abelian groups and fields (other than \mathbb{R}) exist?

- (1908) F. Hausdorff constructed ordered sets in which every cut is asymmetric.
- (2004) S. Shelah introduced the notion of “symmetrically complete ordered field” and proved that every ordered field can be extended to a symmetrically complete ordered field.
- (2013) In joint work with S. Shelah we extended his result to ordered sets and abelian groups, characterized all symmetrically complete ordered abelian groups and fields, and proved an analogue of the Banach FPT. (Israel J. Math. **208** (2015))

Partially ordered sets

Take a nonempty partially ordered set (poset) $(T, <)$.

Partially ordered sets

Take a nonempty partially ordered set (poset) $(T, <)$. We define $[a, \infty) := \{b \in T \mid a \leq b\}$

Partially ordered sets

Take a nonempty partially ordered set (poset) $(T, <)$. We define $[a, \infty) := \{b \in T \mid a \leq b\}$ and $\mathcal{B} := \{[a, \infty) \mid a \in T\}$.

Partially ordered sets

Take a nonempty partially ordered set (poset) $(T, <)$. We define $[a, \infty) := \{b \in T \mid a \leq b\}$ and $\mathcal{B} := \{[a, \infty) \mid a \in T\}$.

A poset is **inductively ordered** if every chain in $(T, <)$ has an upper bound.

Partially ordered sets

Take a nonempty partially ordered set (poset) $(T, <)$. We define $[a, \infty) := \{b \in T \mid a \leq b\}$ and $\mathcal{B} := \{[a, \infty) \mid a \in T\}$.

A poset is **inductively ordered** if every chain in $(T, <)$ has an upper bound. (Then by Zorn's Lemma, $(T, <)$ has maximal elements.)

Partially ordered sets

Take a nonempty partially ordered set (poset) $(T, <)$. We define $[a, \infty) := \{b \in T \mid a \leq b\}$ and $\mathcal{B} := \{[a, \infty) \mid a \in T\}$.

A poset is **inductively ordered** if every chain in $(T, <)$ has an upper bound. (Then by Zorn's Lemma, $(T, <)$ has maximal elements.)

A poset is **chain complete** if every chain in $(T, <)$ has a least upper bound.

Theorem

Take a nonempty partially ordered set $(T, <)$. Then the following assertions hold.

Theorem

Take a nonempty partially ordered set $(T, <)$. Then the following assertions hold.

1) The ball space (T, \mathcal{B}) is spherically complete if and only if $(T, <)$ is inductively ordered.

Theorem

Take a nonempty partially ordered set $(T, <)$. Then the following assertions hold.

1) The ball space (T, \mathcal{B}) is spherically complete if and only if $(T, <)$ is inductively ordered. If this is the case, then the intersection of every nest in (T, \mathcal{B}) contains a ball.

Theorem

Take a nonempty partially ordered set $(T, <)$. Then the following assertions hold.

- 1) The ball space (T, \mathcal{B}) is spherically complete if and only if $(T, <)$ is inductively ordered. If this is the case, then the intersection of every nest in (T, \mathcal{B}) contains a ball.
- 2) $(T, <)$ is chain complete if and only if it has a smallest element and the intersection of every nest of balls in \mathcal{B} is again a ball.

Theorem

Take a nonempty partially ordered set $(T, <)$. Then the following assertions hold.

- 1) The ball space (T, \mathcal{B}) is spherically complete if and only if $(T, <)$ is inductively ordered. If this is the case, then the intersection of every nest in (T, \mathcal{B}) contains a ball.
- 2) $(T, <)$ is chain complete if and only if it has a smallest element and the intersection of every nest of balls in \mathcal{B} is again a ball.

Observe that in both 1) and 2) the ball spaces have a stronger property than just spherical completeness:

Theorem

Take a nonempty partially ordered set $(T, <)$. Then the following assertions hold.

- 1) The ball space (T, \mathcal{B}) is spherically complete if and only if $(T, <)$ is inductively ordered. If this is the case, then the intersection of every nest in (T, \mathcal{B}) contains a ball.*
- 2) $(T, <)$ is chain complete if and only if it has a smallest element and the intersection of every nest of balls in \mathcal{B} is again a ball.*

Observe that in both 1) and 2) the ball spaces have a stronger property than just spherical completeness: intersections of nests contain balls

Theorem

Take a nonempty partially ordered set $(T, <)$. Then the following assertions hold.

- 1) The ball space (T, \mathcal{B}) is spherically complete if and only if $(T, <)$ is inductively ordered. If this is the case, then the intersection of every nest in (T, \mathcal{B}) contains a ball.*
- 2) $(T, <)$ is chain complete if and only if it has a smallest element and the intersection of every nest of balls in \mathcal{B} is again a ball.*

Observe that in both 1) and 2) the ball spaces have a stronger property than just spherical completeness: intersections of nests contain balls or are themselves balls.

Theorem

Take a nonempty partially ordered set $(T, <)$. Then the following assertions hold.

- 1) The ball space (T, \mathcal{B}) is spherically complete if and only if $(T, <)$ is inductively ordered. If this is the case, then the intersection of every nest in (T, \mathcal{B}) contains a ball.
- 2) $(T, <)$ is chain complete if and only if it has a smallest element and the intersection of every nest of balls in \mathcal{B} is again a ball.

Observe that in both 1) and 2) the ball spaces have a stronger property than just spherical completeness: intersections of nests contain balls or are themselves balls. We will later introduce a classification of ball spaces according to these stronger properties.

But what about metric spaces?

But what about metric spaces? We can consider the associated ball space given by taking \mathcal{B}

But what about metric spaces? We can consider the associated ball space given by taking \mathcal{B} to be the collection of all closed metric balls

But what about metric spaces? We can consider the associated ball space given by taking \mathcal{B} to be the collection of all closed metric balls

$$\{x \mid d(x - a) \leq r\}.$$

But what about metric spaces? We can consider the associated ball space given by taking \mathcal{B} to be the collection of all closed metric balls

$$\{x \mid d(x - a) \leq r\}.$$

But:

But what about metric spaces? We can consider the associated ball space given by taking \mathcal{B} to be the collection of all closed metric balls

$$\{x \mid d(x - a) \leq r\}.$$

But: the spherical completeness of this ball space

But what about metric spaces? We can consider the associated ball space given by taking \mathcal{B} to be the collection of all closed metric balls

$$\{x \mid d(x - a) \leq r\}.$$

But: the spherical completeness of this ball space is in general **stronger** than the completeness of the metric space.

But what about metric spaces? We can consider the associated ball space given by taking \mathcal{B} to be the collection of all closed metric balls

$$\{x \mid d(x - a) \leq r\}.$$

But: the spherical completeness of this ball space is in general **stronger** than the completeness of the metric space. (To get equivalence,

But what about metric spaces? We can consider the associated ball space given by taking \mathcal{B} to be the collection of all closed metric balls

$$\{x \mid d(x - a) \leq r\}.$$

But: the spherical completeness of this ball space is in general **stronger** than the completeness of the metric space. (To get equivalence, one has to restrict to nests with the radii of the balls converging to 0.)

But what about metric spaces? We can consider the associated ball space given by taking \mathcal{B} to be the collection of all closed metric balls

$$\{x \mid d(x - a) \leq r\}.$$

But: the spherical completeness of this ball space is in general **stronger** than the completeness of the metric space. (To get equivalence, one has to restrict to nests with the radii of the balls converging to 0.)

Moreover, metric fixed point theorems are usually proved by use of Cauchy sequences

But what about metric spaces? We can consider the associated ball space given by taking \mathcal{B} to be the collection of all closed metric balls

$$\{x \mid d(x - a) \leq r\}.$$

But: the spherical completeness of this ball space is in general **stronger** than the completeness of the metric space. (To get equivalence, one has to restrict to nests with the radii of the balls converging to 0.)

Moreover, metric fixed point theorems are usually proved by use of Cauchy sequences instead of closed metric balls.

But what about metric spaces? We can consider the associated ball space given by taking \mathcal{B} to be the collection of all closed metric balls

$$\{x \mid d(x - a) \leq r\}.$$

But: the spherical completeness of this ball space is in general **stronger** than the completeness of the metric space. (To get equivalence, one has to restrict to nests with the radii of the balls converging to 0.)

Moreover, metric fixed point theorems are usually proved by use of Cauchy sequences instead of closed metric balls. Using the latter is more difficult and not efficient.

But what about metric spaces? We can consider the associated ball space given by taking \mathcal{B} to be the collection of all closed metric balls

$$\{x \mid d(x - a) \leq r\}.$$

But: the spherical completeness of this ball space is in general **stronger** than the completeness of the metric space. (To get equivalence, one has to restrict to nests with the radii of the balls converging to 0.)

Moreover, metric fixed point theorems are usually proved by use of Cauchy sequences instead of closed metric balls. Using the latter is more difficult and not efficient.

Is there an alternative for our choice of balls in the metric case?

A function φ from a metric space (X, d) to \mathbb{R} is called **lower semicontinuous**

A function φ from a metric space (X, d) to \mathbb{R} is called **lower semicontinuous** if for every $y \in X$,

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow y} \varphi(x) \geq \varphi(y).$$

A function φ from a metric space (X, d) to \mathbb{R} is called **lower semicontinuous** if for every $y \in X$,

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow y} \varphi(x) \geq \varphi(y).$$

Theorem (Caristi-Kirk)

Take a complete metric space (X, d) and a lower semicontinuous function $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is bounded from below.

A function φ from a metric space (X, d) to \mathbb{R} is called **lower semicontinuous** if for every $y \in X$,

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow y} \varphi(x) \geq \varphi(y).$$

Theorem (Caristi-Kirk)

Take a complete metric space (X, d) and a lower semicontinuous function $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is bounded from below. If a function $f : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the **Caristi condition**

A function φ from a metric space (X, d) to \mathbb{R} is called **lower semicontinuous** if for every $y \in X$,

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow y} \varphi(x) \geq \varphi(y).$$

Theorem (Caristi-Kirk)

Take a complete metric space (X, d) and a lower semicontinuous function $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is bounded from below. If a function $f : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the **Caristi condition**

$$\text{(CC)} \quad d(x, fx) \leq \varphi(x) - \varphi(fx),$$

A function φ from a metric space (X, d) to \mathbb{R} is called **lower semicontinuous** if for every $y \in X$,

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow y} \varphi(x) \geq \varphi(y).$$

Theorem (Caristi-Kirk)

Take a complete metric space (X, d) and a lower semicontinuous function $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is bounded from below. If a function $f : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the **Caristi condition**

$$\text{(CC)} \quad d(x, fx) \leq \varphi(x) - \varphi(fx),$$

then f has a fixed point on X .

We set

$$B_x := \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) \leq \varphi(x) - \varphi(y)\}$$

for each $x \in X$.

We set

$$B_x := \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) \leq \varphi(x) - \varphi(y)\}$$

for each $x \in X$. Note that despite the notation, these sets will in general not be metric balls.

We set

$$B_x := \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) \leq \varphi(x) - \varphi(y)\}$$

for each $x \in X$. Note that despite the notation, these sets will in general not be metric balls. We call these sets **Caristi-Kirk balls**.

We set

$$B_x := \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) \leq \varphi(x) - \varphi(y)\}$$

for each $x \in X$. Note that despite the notation, these sets will in general not be metric balls. We call these sets **Caristi-Kirk balls**. Further, we define

$$\mathcal{B}_\varphi := \{B_x \mid x \in X\}.$$

We set

$$B_x := \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) \leq \varphi(x) - \varphi(y)\}$$

for each $x \in X$. Note that despite the notation, these sets will in general not be metric balls. We call these sets **Caristi-Kirk balls**. Further, we define

$$\mathcal{B}_\varphi := \{B_x \mid x \in X\}.$$

If φ is lower semicontinuous and bounded from below,

We set

$$B_x := \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) \leq \varphi(x) - \varphi(y)\}$$

for each $x \in X$. Note that despite the notation, these sets will in general not be metric balls. We call these sets **Caristi-Kirk balls**. Further, we define

$$\mathcal{B}_\varphi := \{B_x \mid x \in X\}.$$

If φ is lower semicontinuous and bounded from below, then we will call (X, \mathcal{B}_φ) a **Caristi-Kirk ball space associated with (X, d)** .

Theorem

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

Theorem

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) The metric space (X, d) is complete.*

Theorem

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) The metric space (X, d) is complete.*
- (ii) Every Caristi-Kirk ball space (X, \mathcal{B}_φ) associated with (X, d) is spherically complete.*

Theorem

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) *The metric space (X, d) is complete.*
- (ii) *Every Caristi-Kirk ball space (X, \mathcal{B}_φ) associated with (X, d) is spherically complete.*
- (iii) *For every continuous function $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded from below, the Caristi-Kirk ball space (X, \mathcal{B}_φ) associated with (X, d) is spherically complete.*

Theorem

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) *The metric space (X, d) is complete.*
- (ii) *Every Caristi-Kirk ball space (X, \mathcal{B}_φ) associated with (X, d) is spherically complete.*
- (iii) *For every continuous function $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded from below, the Caristi-Kirk ball space (X, \mathcal{B}_φ) associated with (X, d) is spherically complete.*

There is a generic FPT for ball spaces

Theorem

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) *The metric space (X, d) is complete.*
- (ii) *Every Caristi-Kirk ball space (X, \mathcal{B}_φ) associated with (X, d) is spherically complete.*
- (iii) *For every continuous function $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded from below, the Caristi-Kirk ball space (X, \mathcal{B}_φ) associated with (X, d) is spherically complete.*

There is a generic FPT for ball spaces which together with this theorem proves the Caristi-Kirk FPT.

What we got so far

spaces	balls	completeness property
ultrametric spaces	all closed ultrametric balls	spherically complete
topological spaces	all nonempty closed sets	compact
linearly ordered sets, ordered abelian groups and fields	all intervals $[a, b]$ with $a \leq b$	symmetrically complete
posets	all intervals $[a, \infty)$	inductively ordered
metric spaces	metric balls with radii in suitable sets of positive real numbers Caristi-Kirk balls *	complete

Centered and directed systems of balls

In ball spaces we are concerned with intersections of balls, so we introduce the following definitions.

Centered and directed systems of balls

In ball spaces we are concerned with intersections of balls, so we introduce the following definitions.

A nonempty collection of balls is a **centered system** if the intersection of any finite subcollection is nonempty.

Centered and directed systems of balls

In ball spaces we are concerned with intersections of balls, so we introduce the following definitions.

A nonempty collection of balls is a **centered system** if the intersection of any finite subcollection is nonempty.

A nonempty collection of balls is a **directed system** if for every two balls in this collection there is a ball in the collection that is contained in their intersection.

Centered and directed systems of balls

In ball spaces we are concerned with intersections of balls, so we introduce the following definitions.

A nonempty collection of balls is a **centered system** if the intersection of any finite subcollection is nonempty.

A nonempty collection of balls is a **directed system** if for every two balls in this collection there is a ball in the collection that is contained in their intersection.

nest \Rightarrow directed \Rightarrow centered.

Centered and directed systems of balls

In ball spaces we are concerned with intersections of balls, so we introduce the following definitions.

A nonempty collection of balls is a **centered system** if the intersection of any finite subcollection is nonempty.

A nonempty collection of balls is a **directed system** if for every two balls in this collection there is a ball in the collection that is contained in their intersection.

nest \Rightarrow directed \Rightarrow centered.

What about ball spaces in which all intersections of directed systems, or of centered systems, are nonempty?

Centered and directed systems of balls

In ball spaces we are concerned with intersections of balls, so we introduce the following definitions.

A nonempty collection of balls is a **centered system** if the intersection of any finite subcollection is nonempty.

A nonempty collection of balls is a **directed system** if for every two balls in this collection there is a ball in the collection that is contained in their intersection.

$$\text{nest} \Rightarrow \text{directed} \Rightarrow \text{centered}.$$

What about ball spaces in which all intersections of directed systems, or of centered systems, are nonempty?

Moreover, observe that in a topological space arbitrary intersections of closed sets are again closed.

Centered and directed systems of balls

In ball spaces we are concerned with intersections of balls, so we introduce the following definitions.

A nonempty collection of balls is a **centered system** if the intersection of any finite subcollection is nonempty.

A nonempty collection of balls is a **directed system** if for every two balls in this collection there is a ball in the collection that is contained in their intersection.

nest \Rightarrow directed \Rightarrow centered.

What about ball spaces in which all intersections of directed systems, or of centered systems, are nonempty?

Moreover, observe that in a topological space arbitrary intersections of closed sets are again closed. What about ball spaces in which all (nonempty) intersections of nests, directed systems, or centered systems, are again balls?

Hierarchy of spherical completeness

S_1 : The intersection of each nest is nonempty.

Hierarchy of spherical completeness

S_1 : The intersection of each nest is nonempty.

S_2 : The intersection of each nest contains a ball.

Hierarchy of spherical completeness

S_1 : The intersection of each nest is nonempty.

S_2 : The intersection of each nest contains a ball.

S_3 : The intersection of each nest contains a maximal ball.

Hierarchy of spherical completeness

- S_1 : The intersection of each nest is nonempty.
- S_2 : The intersection of each nest contains a ball.
- S_3 : The intersection of each nest contains a maximal ball.
- S_4 : The intersection of each nest contains a largest ball.

Hierarchy of spherical completeness

- S_1 : The intersection of each nest is nonempty.
- S_2 : The intersection of each nest contains a ball.
- S_3 : The intersection of each nest contains a maximal ball.
- S_4 : The intersection of each nest contains a largest ball.
- S_5 : The intersection of each nest is a ball.

Hierarchy of spherical completeness

S_1 : The intersection of each nest is nonempty.

S_2 : The intersection of each nest contains a ball.

S_3 : The intersection of each nest contains a maximal ball.

S_4 : The intersection of each nest contains a largest ball.

S_5 : The intersection of each nest is a ball.

(S_1 is our original notion of “spherically complete”.)

Hierarchy of spherical completeness

S_1 : The intersection of each nest is nonempty.

S_2 : The intersection of each nest contains a ball.

S_3 : The intersection of each nest contains a maximal ball.

S_4 : The intersection of each nest contains a largest ball.

S_5 : The intersection of each nest is a ball.

(S_1 is our original notion of “spherically complete”.)

S_i^d : The same as S_i , but with “directed system” in place of “nest”.

Hierarchy of spherical completeness

S_1 : The intersection of each nest is nonempty.

S_2 : The intersection of each nest contains a ball.

S_3 : The intersection of each nest contains a maximal ball.

S_4 : The intersection of each nest contains a largest ball.

S_5 : The intersection of each nest is a ball.

(S_1 is our original notion of “spherically complete”.)

S_i^d : The same as S_i , but with “directed system” in place of “nest”.

S_i^c : The same as S_i , but with “centered system” in place of “nest”.

Hierarchy of spherical completeness

S_1 : The intersection of each nest is nonempty.

S_2 : The intersection of each nest contains a ball.

S_3 : The intersection of each nest contains a maximal ball.

S_4 : The intersection of each nest contains a largest ball.

S_5 : The intersection of each nest is a ball.

(S_1 is our original notion of “spherically complete”.)

S_i^d : The same as S_i , but with “directed system” in place of “nest”.

S_i^c : The same as S_i , but with “centered system” in place of “nest”.

We will also write S^* for S_5^c because this turns out to be the “star” (the strongest) among the ball spaces:

Hierarchy of spherical completeness

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{S}_1 & \Leftarrow & \mathbf{S}_1^d & \Leftarrow & \mathbf{S}_1^c & & \\ \Uparrow & & \Uparrow & & \Uparrow & & \\ \mathbf{S}_2 & \Leftarrow & \mathbf{S}_2^d & \Leftarrow & \mathbf{S}_2^c & & \\ \Uparrow & & \Uparrow & & \Uparrow & & \\ \mathbf{S}_3 & \Leftarrow & \mathbf{S}_3^d & \Leftarrow & \mathbf{S}_3^c & & \\ \Uparrow & & \Uparrow & & \Uparrow & & \\ \mathbf{S}_4 & \Leftarrow & \mathbf{S}_4^d & \Leftarrow & \mathbf{S}_4^c & & \\ \Uparrow & & \Uparrow & & \Uparrow & & \\ \mathbf{S}_5 & \Leftarrow & \mathbf{S}_5^d & \Leftarrow & \mathbf{S}_5^c & =: & \mathbf{S}^* \end{array}$$

Intersection closed ball spaces

A ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) will be called **finitely intersection closed** if \mathcal{B} is closed under nonempty intersections of any finite collection of balls,

Intersection closed ball spaces

A ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) will be called **finitely intersection closed** if \mathcal{B} is closed under nonempty intersections of any finite collection of balls, and it will be called **intersection closed** if \mathcal{B} is closed under nonempty intersections of arbitrary collections of balls.

Intersection closed ball spaces

A ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) will be called **finitely intersection closed** if \mathcal{B} is closed under nonempty intersections of any finite collection of balls, and it will be called **intersection closed** if \mathcal{B} is closed under nonempty intersections of arbitrary collections of balls.

Theorem

1) *If the ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) is finitely intersection closed, then \mathbf{S}_i^d is equivalent to \mathbf{S}_i^c , for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$.*

Intersection closed ball spaces

A ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) will be called **finitely intersection closed** if \mathcal{B} is closed under nonempty intersections of any finite collection of balls, and it will be called **intersection closed** if \mathcal{B} is closed under nonempty intersections of arbitrary collections of balls.

Theorem

- 1) If the ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) is finitely intersection closed, then \mathbf{S}_i^d is equivalent to \mathbf{S}_i^c , for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$.
- 2) If the ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) is intersection closed, then all properties in the hierarchy are equivalent.

Intersection closed ball spaces

- The ball space consisting of all nonempty closed sets in a topological space

Intersection closed ball spaces

- The ball space consisting of all nonempty closed sets in a topological space is intersection closed.

Intersection closed ball spaces

- The ball space consisting of all nonempty closed sets in a topological space is intersection closed.
- For an ultrametric space, the ball space given by the collection of its closed ultrametric balls,

Intersection closed ball spaces

- The ball space consisting of all nonempty closed sets in a topological space is intersection closed.
- For an ultrametric space, the ball space given by the collection of its closed ultrametric balls, is finitely intersection closed.

Intersection closed ball spaces

- The ball space consisting of all nonempty closed sets in a topological space is intersection closed.
- For an ultrametric space, the ball space given by the collection of its closed ultrametric balls, is finitely intersection closed. Its **full ultrametric ball space**,

Intersection closed ball spaces

- The ball space consisting of all nonempty closed sets in a topological space is intersection closed.
- For an ultrametric space, the ball space given by the collection of its closed ultrametric balls, is finitely intersection closed. Its **full ultrametric ball space**, which we obtain from the former by closing under unions and nonempty intersections of nests,

Intersection closed ball spaces

- The ball space consisting of all nonempty closed sets in a topological space is intersection closed.
- For an ultrametric space, the ball space given by the collection of its closed ultrametric balls, is finitely intersection closed. Its **full ultrametric ball space**, which we obtain from the former by closing under unions and nonempty intersections of nests, is intersection closed.

Intersection closed ball spaces

- The ball space consisting of all nonempty closed sets in a topological space is intersection closed.
- For an ultrametric space, the ball space given by the collection of its closed ultrametric balls, is finitely intersection closed. Its **full ultrametric ball space**, which we obtain from the former by closing under unions and nonempty intersections of nests, is intersection closed.
- The ball space of a lattice with top and bottom, consisting of all intervals of the form $[a, b]$,

Intersection closed ball spaces

- The ball space consisting of all nonempty closed sets in a topological space is intersection closed.
- For an ultrametric space, the ball space given by the collection of its closed ultrametric balls, is finitely intersection closed. Its **full ultrametric ball space**, which we obtain from the former by closing under unions and nonempty intersections of nests, is intersection closed.
- The ball space of a lattice with top and bottom, consisting of all intervals of the form $[a, b]$, is finitely intersection closed,

Intersection closed ball spaces

- The ball space consisting of all nonempty closed sets in a topological space is intersection closed.
- For an ultrametric space, the ball space given by the collection of its closed ultrametric balls, is finitely intersection closed. Its **full ultrametric ball space**, which we obtain from the former by closing under unions and nonempty intersections of nests, is intersection closed.
- The ball space of a lattice with top and bottom, consisting of all intervals of the form $[a, b]$, is finitely intersection closed, and it is intersection closed if and only if the lattice is complete.

Summary of S^* ball spaces

- An ultrametric space with totally ordered value set is S_1 (spherically complete) if and only if the full ultrametric ball space is S^* .

Summary of S^* ball spaces

- An ultrametric space with totally ordered value set is S_1 (spherically complete) if and only if the full ultrametric ball space is S^* .
- A topological space is compact if and only if the ball space consisting of its nonempty closed subsets is S^* .

Summary of S^* ball spaces

- An ultrametric space with totally ordered value set is S_1 (spherically complete) if and only if the full ultrametric ball space is S^* .
- A topological space is compact if and only if the ball space consisting of its nonempty closed subsets is S^* .
- A poset is directed complete and bounded complete if and only if the ball space defined by the final segments $[a, \infty)$ is S^* .

Summary of S^* ball spaces

- An ultrametric space with totally ordered value set is S_1 (spherically complete) if and only if the full ultrametric ball space is S^* .
- A topological space is compact if and only if the ball space consisting of its nonempty closed subsets is S^* .
- A poset is directed complete and bounded complete if and only if the ball space defined by the final segments $[a, \infty)$ is S^* .
- A poset is a complete lattice if and only if it has a bottom and a top element and the ball space defined by its nonempty closed intervals $[a, b]$ is S^* .

Spherical closure in S^* ball spaces

Suppose that (X, \mathcal{B}) is an S^* ball space

Spherical closure in S^* ball spaces

Suppose that (X, \mathcal{B}) is an S^* ball space and that $S \subseteq B$ for some $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

Spherical closure in S^* ball spaces

Suppose that (X, \mathcal{B}) is an S^* ball space and that $S \subseteq B$ for some $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

The **spherical closure** of S is

$$\text{scl}_{\mathcal{B}}(S) := \bigcap \{B \in \mathcal{B} \mid S \subseteq B\}$$

Spherical closure in S^* ball spaces

Suppose that (X, \mathcal{B}) is an S^* ball space and that $S \subseteq B$ for some $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

The **spherical closure** of S is

$$\text{scl}_{\mathcal{B}}(S) := \bigcap \{B \in \mathcal{B} \mid S \subseteq B\}$$

$\text{scl}_{\mathcal{B}}(S) \in \mathcal{B}$ is the smallest ball containing S .

Ball spaces that are not S^*

The ball space of a totally ordered set, ordered abelian group or field,

Ball spaces that are not S^*

The ball space of a totally ordered set, ordered abelian group or field, consisting of all intervals of the form $[a, b]$, is finitely intersection closed. But:

Ball spaces that are not \mathbf{S}^*

The ball space of a totally ordered set, ordered abelian group or field, consisting of all intervals of the form $[a, b]$, is finitely intersection closed. But:

Lemma

Assume that $(T, <)$ is a totally ordered set whose associated ball space is \mathbf{S}_1^d . Then $(T, <)$ is cut complete.

Ball spaces that are not \mathbf{S}^*

The ball space of a totally ordered set, ordered abelian group or field, consisting of all intervals of the form $[a, b]$, is finitely intersection closed. But:

Lemma

Assume that $(T, <)$ is a totally ordered set whose associated ball space is \mathbf{S}_1^d . Then $(T, <)$ is cut complete.

The only cut complete densely ordered abelian groups or ordered fields are the reals. So we have:

Ball spaces that are not \mathbf{S}^*

The ball space of a totally ordered set, ordered abelian group or field, consisting of all intervals of the form $[a, b]$, is finitely intersection closed. But:

Lemma

Assume that $(T, <)$ is a totally ordered set whose associated ball space is \mathbf{S}_1^d . Then $(T, <)$ is cut complete.

The only cut complete densely ordered abelian groups or ordered fields are the reals. So we have:

Proposition

The associated ball space of \mathbb{R} is \mathbf{S}^ .*

Ball spaces that are not \mathbf{S}^*

The ball space of a totally ordered set, ordered abelian group or field, consisting of all intervals of the form $[a, b]$, is finitely intersection closed. But:

Lemma

Assume that $(T, <)$ is a totally ordered set whose associated ball space is \mathbf{S}_1^d . Then $(T, <)$ is cut complete.

The only cut complete densely ordered abelian groups or ordered fields are the reals. So we have:

Proposition

The associated ball space of \mathbb{R} is \mathbf{S}^ . For all other densely ordered abelian groups or ordered fields*

Ball spaces that are not \mathbf{S}^*

The ball space of a totally ordered set, ordered abelian group or field, consisting of all intervals of the form $[a, b]$, is finitely intersection closed. But:

Lemma

Assume that $(T, <)$ is a totally ordered set whose associated ball space is \mathbf{S}_1^d . Then $(T, <)$ is cut complete.

The only cut complete densely ordered abelian groups or ordered fields are the reals. So we have:

Proposition

The associated ball space of \mathbb{R} is \mathbf{S}^ . For all other densely ordered abelian groups or ordered fields the associated ball space can at best be \mathbf{S}_1 or \mathbf{S}_2 .*

Ball spaces that are not S^*

For a spherically complete ultrametric space, the ball space of all closed ultrametric balls is S_2^c ,

Ball spaces that are not S^*

For a spherically complete ultrametric space, the ball space of all closed ultrametric balls is S_2^c , but in general not even S_3 .

Ball spaces that are not S^*

For a spherically complete ultrametric space, the ball space of all closed ultrametric balls is S_2^c , but in general not even S_3 . However, the full ball space is S^* .

Ball spaces that are not S^*

For a spherically complete ultrametric space, the ball space of all closed ultrametric balls is S_2^c , but in general not even S_3 . However, the full ball space is S^* .

Theorem (W. Kubis, K)

There are spherically complete generalized ultrametric spaces with partially ordered value set

Ball spaces that are not S^*

For a spherically complete ultrametric space, the ball space of all closed ultrametric balls is S_2^c , but in general not even S_3 . However, the full ball space is S^* .

Theorem (W. Kubis, K)

There are spherically complete generalized ultrametric spaces with partially ordered value set for which the associated full ultrametric ball space

Ball spaces that are not S^*

For a spherically complete ultrametric space, the ball space of all closed ultrametric balls is S_2^c , but in general not even S_3 . However, the full ball space is S^* .

Theorem (W. Kubis, K)

*There are spherically complete generalized ultrametric spaces with partially ordered value set for which the associated full ultrametric ball space is **not even** spherically complete.*

Theorem

Let X be a complete lattice and $f : X \rightarrow X$ an order-preserving function. Then the set of fixed points of f in X is nonempty and also a complete lattice.

Theorem

Let X be a complete lattice and $f : X \rightarrow X$ an order-preserving function. Then the set of fixed points of f in X is nonempty and also a complete lattice.

Is there an analogue for ball spaces?

Theorem

Let X be a complete lattice and $f : X \rightarrow X$ an order-preserving function. Then the set of fixed points of f in X is nonempty and also a complete lattice.

Is there an analogue for ball spaces?

Can it be used to transfer the Knaster-Tarski FPT to other applications?

The structure of fixed point sets in S^* ball spaces

Theorem

Take a function $f : X \rightarrow X$. Assume that there is a ball space structure (X, \mathcal{B}^f) on X for which the following conditions are satisfied:

The structure of fixed point sets in S^* ball spaces

Theorem

Take a function $f : X \rightarrow X$. Assume that there is a ball space structure (X, \mathcal{B}^f) on X for which the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) every $B \in \mathcal{B}^f$ is f -closed (i.e., $f(B) \subseteq B$),

The structure of fixed point sets in S^* ball spaces

Theorem

Take a function $f : X \rightarrow X$. Assume that there is a ball space structure (X, \mathcal{B}^f) on X for which the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) every $B \in \mathcal{B}^f$ is f -closed (i.e., $f(B) \subseteq B$),
- (2) every $B \in \mathcal{B}^f$ contains a fixed point or some smaller ball $B' \in \mathcal{B}^f$,

The structure of fixed point sets in \mathbf{S}^* ball spaces

Theorem

Take a function $f : X \rightarrow X$. Assume that there is a ball space structure (X, \mathcal{B}^f) on X for which the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) every $B \in \mathcal{B}^f$ is f -closed (i.e., $f(B) \subseteq B$),
- (2) every $B \in \mathcal{B}^f$ contains a fixed point or some smaller ball $B' \in \mathcal{B}^f$,
- (3) (X, \mathcal{B}^f) is an \mathbf{S}^* ball space.

The structure of fixed point sets in \mathbf{S}^* ball spaces

Theorem

Take a function $f : X \rightarrow X$. Assume that there is a ball space structure (X, \mathcal{B}^f) on X for which the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) every $B \in \mathcal{B}^f$ is f -closed (i.e., $f(B) \subseteq B$),
- (2) every $B \in \mathcal{B}^f$ contains a fixed point or some smaller ball $B' \in \mathcal{B}^f$,
- (3) (X, \mathcal{B}^f) is an \mathbf{S}^* ball space.

Let $\text{Fix}(f)$ be the set of fixed points of f , and set

$$\mathcal{B}_{\text{Fix}}^f := \{B \cap \text{Fix}(f) \mid B \in \mathcal{B}^f\}.$$

The structure of fixed point sets in \mathbf{S}^* ball spaces

Theorem

Take a function $f : X \rightarrow X$. Assume that there is a ball space structure (X, \mathcal{B}^f) on X for which the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) every $B \in \mathcal{B}^f$ is f -closed (i.e., $f(B) \subseteq B$),
- (2) every $B \in \mathcal{B}^f$ contains a fixed point or some smaller ball $B' \in \mathcal{B}^f$,
- (3) (X, \mathcal{B}^f) is an \mathbf{S}^* ball space.

Let $\text{Fix}(f)$ be the set of fixed points of f , and set

$$\mathcal{B}_{\text{Fix}}^f := \{B \cap \text{Fix}(f) \mid B \in \mathcal{B}^f\}.$$

Then $(\text{Fix}(f), \mathcal{B}_{\text{Fix}}^f)$ is an \mathbf{S}^* ball space.

The Knaster-Tarski FPT for ultrametric spaces

In the case of ultrametric spaces (X, u) , where we take (X, \mathcal{B}) to be the full ultrametric ball space

The Knaster-Tarski FPT for ultrametric spaces

In the case of ultrametric spaces (X, u) , where we take (X, \mathcal{B}) to be the full ultrametric ball space and \mathcal{B}^f to consist of all f -closed balls in \mathcal{B} ,

The Knaster-Tarski FPT for ultrametric spaces

In the case of ultrametric spaces (X, u) , where we take (X, \mathcal{B}) to be the full ultrametric ball space and \mathcal{B}^f to consist of all f -closed balls in \mathcal{B} ,

$$(\text{Fix}(f), \{B \cap \text{Fix}(f) \mid B \in \mathcal{B}^f\})$$

is equal to the full ultrametric ball space of $(\text{Fix}(f), u)$.

The Knaster-Tarski FPT for ultrametric spaces

In the case of ultrametric spaces (X, u) , where we take (X, \mathcal{B}) to be the full ultrametric ball space and \mathcal{B}^f to consist of all f -closed balls in \mathcal{B} ,

$$(\text{Fix}(f), \{B \cap \text{Fix}(f) \mid B \in \mathcal{B}^f\})$$

is equal to the full ultrametric ball space of $(\text{Fix}(f), u)$.

Theorem

Take a function f on a spherically complete ultrametric space (X, u) such that for all $x, y \in X$:

The Knaster-Tarski FPT for ultrametric spaces

In the case of ultrametric spaces (X, u) , where we take (X, \mathcal{B}) to be the full ultrametric ball space and \mathcal{B}^f to consist of all f -closed balls in \mathcal{B} ,

$$(\text{Fix}(f), \{B \cap \text{Fix}(f) \mid B \in \mathcal{B}^f\})$$

is equal to the full ultrametric ball space of $(\text{Fix}(f), u)$.

Theorem

Take a function f on a spherically complete ultrametric space (X, u) such that for all $x, y \in X$:

1) $u(fx, fy) \leq u(x, y)$ (f is *non-expanding*),

The Knaster-Tarski FPT for ultrametric spaces

In the case of ultrametric spaces (X, u) , where we take (X, \mathcal{B}) to be the full ultrametric ball space and \mathcal{B}^f to consist of all f -closed balls in \mathcal{B} ,

$$(\text{Fix}(f), \{B \cap \text{Fix}(f) \mid B \in \mathcal{B}^f\})$$

is equal to the full ultrametric ball space of $(\text{Fix}(f), u)$.

Theorem

Take a function f on a spherically complete ultrametric space (X, u) such that for all $x, y \in X$:

- 1) $u(fx, fy) \leq u(x, y)$ (f is *non-expanding*),
- 2) $u(fx, f^2x) < u(x, fx)$ if $x \neq f(x)$ (f is *contracting on orbits*).

The Knaster-Tarski FPT for ultrametric spaces

In the case of ultrametric spaces (X, u) , where we take (X, \mathcal{B}) to be the full ultrametric ball space and \mathcal{B}^f to consist of all f -closed balls in \mathcal{B} ,

$$(\text{Fix}(f), \{B \cap \text{Fix}(f) \mid B \in \mathcal{B}^f\})$$

is equal to the full ultrametric ball space of $(\text{Fix}(f), u)$.

Theorem

Take a function f on a spherically complete ultrametric space (X, u) such that for all $x, y \in X$:

- 1) $u(fx, fy) \leq u(x, y)$ (f is *non-expanding*),
- 2) $u(fx, f^2x) < u(x, fx)$ if $x \neq f(x)$ (f is *contracting on orbits*).

Then every f -closed ultrametric ball contains a fixed point,

The Knaster-Tarski FPT for ultrametric spaces

In the case of ultrametric spaces (X, u) , where we take (X, \mathcal{B}) to be the full ultrametric ball space and \mathcal{B}^f to consist of all f -closed balls in \mathcal{B} ,

$$(\text{Fix}(f), \{B \cap \text{Fix}(f) \mid B \in \mathcal{B}^f\})$$

is equal to the full ultrametric ball space of $(\text{Fix}(f), u)$.

Theorem

Take a function f on a spherically complete ultrametric space (X, u) such that for all $x, y \in X$:

- 1) $u(fx, fy) \leq u(x, y)$ (f is *non-expanding*),
- 2) $u(fx, f^2x) < u(x, fx)$ if $x \neq f(x)$ (f is *contracting on orbits*).

Then every f -closed ultrametric ball contains a fixed point, and $(\text{Fix}(f), u)$ is again a spherically complete ultrametric space.

The Knaster-Tarski FPT for topological spaces

Take a compact topological space X and the associated ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) where \mathcal{B} consists of all nonempty closed sets of X .

The Knaster-Tarski FPT for topological spaces

Take a compact topological space X and the associated ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) where \mathcal{B} consists of all nonempty closed sets of X . If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is any function, then the set \mathcal{B}^f of all closed and f -closed sets forms the collection of all closed sets of a (possibly coarser) topology,

The Knaster-Tarski FPT for topological spaces

Take a compact topological space X and the associated ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) where \mathcal{B} consists of all nonempty closed sets of X . If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is any function, then the set \mathcal{B}^f of all closed and f -closed sets forms the collection of all closed sets of a (possibly coarser) topology, as arbitrary unions and intersections of f -closed sets are again f -closed.

The Knaster-Tarski FPT for topological spaces

Take a compact topological space X and the associated ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) where \mathcal{B} consists of all nonempty closed sets of X . If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is any function, then the set \mathcal{B}^f of all closed and f -closed sets forms the collection of all closed sets of a (possibly coarser) topology, as arbitrary unions and intersections of f -closed sets are again f -closed.

Theorem

Take a compact topological space X and a function $f : X \rightarrow X$.

The Knaster-Tarski FPT for topological spaces

Take a compact topological space X and the associated ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) where \mathcal{B} consists of all nonempty closed sets of X . If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is any function, then the set \mathcal{B}^f of all closed and f -closed sets forms the collection of all closed sets of a (possibly coarser) topology, as arbitrary unions and intersections of f -closed sets are again f -closed.

Theorem

Take a compact topological space X and a function $f : X \rightarrow X$. Assume that every closed, f -closed set contains a fixed point or a smaller closed, f -closed set.

The Knaster-Tarski FPT for topological spaces

Take a compact topological space X and the associated ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) where \mathcal{B} consists of all nonempty closed sets of X . If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is any function, then the set \mathcal{B}^f of all closed and f -closed sets forms the collection of all closed sets of a (possibly coarser) topology, as arbitrary unions and intersections of f -closed sets are again f -closed.

Theorem

Take a compact topological space X and a function $f : X \rightarrow X$. Assume that every closed, f -closed set contains a fixed point or a smaller closed, f -closed set. Then the topology on the nonempty set $\text{Fix}(f)$ of fixed points of f

The Knaster-Tarski FPT for topological spaces

Take a compact topological space X and the associated ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) where \mathcal{B} consists of all nonempty closed sets of X . If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is any function, then the set \mathcal{B}^f of all closed and f -closed sets forms the collection of all closed sets of a (possibly coarser) topology, as arbitrary unions and intersections of f -closed sets are again f -closed.

Theorem

Take a compact topological space X and a function $f : X \rightarrow X$. Assume that every closed, f -closed set contains a fixed point or a smaller closed, f -closed set. Then the topology on the nonempty set $\text{Fix}(f)$ of fixed points of f having $\{B \cap \text{Fix}(f) \mid B \in \mathcal{B}^f\}$ as its collection of closed sets

The Knaster-Tarski FPT for topological spaces

Take a compact topological space X and the associated ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) where \mathcal{B} consists of all nonempty closed sets of X . If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is any function, then the set \mathcal{B}^f of all closed and f -closed sets forms the collection of all closed sets of a (possibly coarser) topology, as arbitrary unions and intersections of f -closed sets are again f -closed.

Theorem

Take a compact topological space X and a function $f : X \rightarrow X$. Assume that every closed, f -closed set contains a fixed point or a smaller closed, f -closed set. Then the topology on the nonempty set $\text{Fix}(f)$ of fixed points of f having $\{B \cap \text{Fix}(f) \mid B \in \mathcal{B}^f\}$ as its collection of closed sets is itself compact.

The Knaster-Tarski FPT for topological spaces

Take a compact topological space X and the associated ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) where \mathcal{B} consists of all nonempty closed sets of X . If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is any function, then the set \mathcal{B}^f of all closed and f -closed sets forms the collection of all closed sets of a (possibly coarser) topology, as arbitrary unions and intersections of f -closed sets are again f -closed.

Theorem

Take a compact topological space X and a function $f : X \rightarrow X$. Assume that every closed, f -closed set contains a fixed point or a smaller closed, f -closed set. Then the topology on the nonempty set $\text{Fix}(f)$ of fixed points of f having $\{B \cap \text{Fix}(f) \mid B \in \mathcal{B}^f\}$ as its collection of closed sets is itself compact.

If in addition f is continuous,

The Knaster-Tarski FPT for topological spaces

Take a compact topological space X and the associated ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) where \mathcal{B} consists of all nonempty closed sets of X . If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is any function, then the set \mathcal{B}^f of all closed and f -closed sets forms the collection of all closed sets of a (possibly coarser) topology, as arbitrary unions and intersections of f -closed sets are again f -closed.

Theorem

Take a compact topological space X and a function $f : X \rightarrow X$. Assume that every closed, f -closed set contains a fixed point or a smaller closed, f -closed set. Then the topology on the nonempty set $\text{Fix}(f)$ of fixed points of f having $\{B \cap \text{Fix}(f) \mid B \in \mathcal{B}^f\}$ as its collection of closed sets is itself compact.

If in addition f is continuous, then $\text{Fix}(f)$ is compact under the subspace topology.

Products of ball spaces

Given a collection of ball spaces $(X_j, \mathcal{B}_j)_{j \in J}$,

Products of ball spaces

Given a collection of ball spaces $(X_j, \mathcal{B}_j)_{j \in J}$, we define their **product** to be $(\prod_{j \in J} X_j, \mathcal{B}^{\text{pr}})$,

Products of ball spaces

Given a collection of ball spaces $(X_j, \mathcal{B}_j)_{j \in J}$, we define their **product** to be $(\prod_{j \in J} X_j, \mathcal{B}^{\text{Pr}})$, where

$$\mathcal{B}^{\text{Pr}} := \left\{ \prod_{j \in J} B_j \mid \text{for some } k \in J, B_k \in \mathcal{B}_k \text{ and } \forall j \neq k : B_j = X_j \right\},$$

Products of ball spaces

Given a collection of ball spaces $(X_j, \mathcal{B}_j)_{j \in J}$, we define their **product** to be $(\prod_{j \in J} X_j, \mathcal{B}^{\text{pr}})$, where

$$\mathcal{B}^{\text{pr}} := \left\{ \prod_{j \in J} B_j \mid \text{for some } k \in J, B_k \in \mathcal{B}_k \text{ and } \forall j \neq k : B_j = X_j \right\},$$

and their **box product** to be $(\prod_{j \in J} X_j, \mathcal{B}^{\text{bpr}})$,

Products of ball spaces

Given a collection of ball spaces $(X_j, \mathcal{B}_j)_{j \in J}$, we define their **product** to be $(\prod_{j \in J} X_j, \mathcal{B}^{\text{pr}})$, where

$$\mathcal{B}^{\text{pr}} := \left\{ \prod_{j \in J} B_j \mid \text{for some } k \in J, B_k \in \mathcal{B}_k \text{ and } \forall j \neq k : B_j = X_j \right\},$$

and their **box product** to be $(\prod_{j \in J} X_j, \mathcal{B}^{\text{bpr}})$, where

$$\mathcal{B}^{\text{bpr}} := \left\{ \prod_{j \in J} B_j \mid \forall j \in J : B_j \in \mathcal{B}_j \right\}.$$

The Tychonoff theorem for ball spaces

Theorem

The following assertions are equivalent:

a) the ball spaces (X_j, \mathcal{B}_j) , $j \in J$, are spherically complete,

The Tychonoff theorem for ball spaces

Theorem

The following assertions are equivalent:

- a) the ball spaces (X_j, \mathcal{B}_j) , $j \in J$, are spherically complete,*
- b) their box product is spherically complete,*

The Tychonoff theorem for ball spaces

Theorem

The following assertions are equivalent:

- a) the ball spaces (X_j, \mathcal{B}_j) , $j \in J$, are spherically complete,*
- b) their box product is spherically complete,*
- c) their product is spherically complete.*

The Tychonoff theorem for ball spaces

Theorem

The following assertions are equivalent:

- a) the ball spaces (X_j, \mathcal{B}_j) , $j \in J$, are spherically complete,*
- b) their box product is spherically complete,*
- c) their product is spherically complete.*

The equivalence of a) and b) also holds for all other properties in the hierarchy,

The Tychonoff theorem for ball spaces

Theorem

The following assertions are equivalent:

- a) the ball spaces (X_j, \mathcal{B}_j) , $j \in J$, are spherically complete,*
- b) their box product is spherically complete,*
- c) their product is spherically complete.*

The equivalence of a) and b) also holds for all other properties in the hierarchy, and the equivalence of a) and c) also holds for \mathbf{S}_1^d , \mathbf{S}_1^c , \mathbf{S}_2 , \mathbf{S}_3 , \mathbf{S}_4 , \mathbf{S}_5 .

The Tychonoff theorem for ball spaces

Theorem

The following assertions are equivalent:

- a) the ball spaces (X_j, \mathcal{B}_j) , $j \in J$, are spherically complete,*
- b) their box product is spherically complete,*
- c) their product is spherically complete.*

The equivalence of a) and b) also holds for all other properties in the hierarchy, and the equivalence of a) and c) also holds for \mathbf{S}_1^d , \mathbf{S}_1^c , \mathbf{S}_2 , \mathbf{S}_3 , \mathbf{S}_4 , \mathbf{S}_5 .

From this theorem one can derive a Tychonoff theorem for generalized ultrametric spaces.

The Tychonoff theorem for topological spaces

In which way does Tychonoff's theorem follow from its analogue for ball spaces?

The Tychonoff theorem for topological spaces

In which way does Tychonoff's theorem follow from its analogue for ball spaces? The problem in the case of topological spaces is that the product ball space we have defined,

The Tychonoff theorem for topological spaces

In which way does Tychonoff's theorem follow from its analogue for ball spaces? The problem in the case of topological spaces is that the product ball space we have defined, while containing only closed sets of the product, does not contain all of them,

The Tychonoff theorem for topological spaces

In which way does Tychonoff's theorem follow from its analogue for ball spaces? The problem in the case of topological spaces is that the product ball space we have defined, while containing only closed sets of the product, does not contain all of them, as it is not necessarily closed under finite unions and arbitrary intersections.

The Tychonoff theorem for topological spaces

In which way does Tychonoff's theorem follow from its analogue for ball spaces? The problem in the case of topological spaces is that the product ball space we have defined, while containing only closed sets of the product, does not contain all of them, as it is not necessarily closed under finite unions and arbitrary intersections. If we close it under these operations, are its spherical completeness properties maintained?

The Tychonoff theorem for topological spaces

In which way does Tychonoff's theorem follow from its analogue for ball spaces? The problem in the case of topological spaces is that the product ball space we have defined, while containing only closed sets of the product, does not contain all of them, as it is not necessarily closed under finite unions and arbitrary intersections. If we close it under these operations, are its spherical completeness properties maintained?

The answer is **yes**, as we will now see,

The Tychonoff theorem for topological spaces

In which way does Tychonoff's theorem follow from its analogue for ball spaces? The problem in the case of topological spaces is that the product ball space we have defined, while containing only closed sets of the product, does not contain all of them, as it is not necessarily closed under finite unions and arbitrary intersections. If we close it under these operations, are its spherical completeness properties maintained?

The answer is **yes**, as we will now see, so the Tychonoff theorem can indeed be deduced from its ball spaces analogue.

Theorem

If (X, \mathcal{B}) is an \mathbf{S}_1^c ball space and \mathcal{B}' is the closure of \mathcal{B} under finite unions,

Theorem

If (X, \mathcal{B}) is an \mathbf{S}_1^c ball space and \mathcal{B}' is the closure of \mathcal{B} under finite unions, then also (X, \mathcal{B}') is \mathbf{S}_1^c .

Theorem

If (X, \mathcal{B}) is an \mathbf{S}_1^c ball space and \mathcal{B}' is the closure of \mathcal{B} under finite unions, then also (X, \mathcal{B}') is \mathbf{S}_1^c .

In order to prove this theorem, we need a lemma that is inspired by Alexander's Subbase Theorem:

Theorem

If (X, \mathcal{B}) is an \mathbf{S}_1^c ball space and \mathcal{B}' is the closure of \mathcal{B} under finite unions, then also (X, \mathcal{B}') is \mathbf{S}_1^c .

In order to prove this theorem, we need a lemma that is inspired by Alexander's Subbase Theorem:

Lemma

If \mathcal{S} is a maximal centered system of balls in \mathcal{B}' (that is, no subset of \mathcal{B}' properly containing \mathcal{S} is a centered system),

Theorem

If (X, \mathcal{B}) is an \mathbf{S}_1^c ball space and \mathcal{B}' is the closure of \mathcal{B} under finite unions, then also (X, \mathcal{B}') is \mathbf{S}_1^c .

In order to prove this theorem, we need a lemma that is inspired by Alexander's Subbase Theorem:

Lemma

If \mathcal{S} is a maximal centered system of balls in \mathcal{B}' (that is, no subset of \mathcal{B}' properly containing \mathcal{S} is a centered system), then there is a subset \mathcal{S}_0 of \mathcal{S} which is a centered system in \mathcal{B} and has the same intersection as \mathcal{S} .

Closure under nonempty intersections

Theorem

If (X, \mathcal{B}) is an \mathbf{S}_1^c ball space and \mathcal{B}' is the closure of \mathcal{B} under arbitrary nonempty intersections,

Closure under nonempty intersections

Theorem

If (X, \mathcal{B}) is an \mathbf{S}_1^c ball space and \mathcal{B}' is the closure of \mathcal{B} under arbitrary nonempty intersections, then also (X, \mathcal{B}') is \mathbf{S}_1^c .

From the previous two theorems we obtain:

Theorem

Take a ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) . If \mathcal{B}' is obtained from \mathcal{B} by first closing under finite unions and then under arbitrary nonempty intersections, then:

From the previous two theorems we obtain:

Theorem

Take a ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) . If \mathcal{B}' is obtained from \mathcal{B} by first closing under finite unions and then under arbitrary nonempty intersections, then:

a) \mathcal{B}' is closed under finite unions,

From the previous two theorems we obtain:

Theorem

Take a ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) . If \mathcal{B}' is obtained from \mathcal{B} by first closing under finite unions and then under arbitrary nonempty intersections, then:

- a) \mathcal{B}' is closed under finite unions,*
- b) \mathcal{B}' is intersection closed,*

From the previous two theorems we obtain:

Theorem

Take a ball space (X, \mathcal{B}) . If \mathcal{B}' is obtained from \mathcal{B} by first closing under finite unions and then under arbitrary nonempty intersections, then:

- \mathcal{B}' is closed under finite unions,
- \mathcal{B}' is intersection closed,
- if (X, \mathcal{B}) is \mathbf{S}_1^c , then (X, \mathcal{B}') is an \mathbf{S}^* ball space.

The topology associated to a ball space

Take \mathcal{B}' to be as in the previous theorem.

The topology associated to a ball space

Take \mathcal{B}' to be as in the previous theorem. If we add X and \emptyset to \mathcal{B}' , then the complements of the sets in \mathcal{B}' form a topology.

The topology associated to a ball space

Take \mathcal{B}' to be as in the previous theorem. If we add X and \emptyset to \mathcal{B}' , then the complements of the sets in \mathcal{B}' form a topology.

Theorem

This topology associated to \mathcal{B} is compact if and only if (X, \mathcal{B}) is an \mathbf{S}_1^c ball space.

Ball continuous functions

Take two ball spaces (X, \mathcal{B}) and (X', \mathcal{B}')

Ball continuous functions

Take two ball spaces (X, \mathcal{B}) and (X', \mathcal{B}') and a function $f : X \rightarrow X'$.

Ball continuous functions

Take two ball spaces (X, \mathcal{B}) and (X', \mathcal{B}') and a function $f : X \rightarrow X'$. We will call f **ball continuous**

Ball continuous functions

Take two ball spaces (X, \mathcal{B}) and (X', \mathcal{B}') and a function $f : X \rightarrow X'$. We will call f **ball continuous** if the preimage of every ball in \mathcal{B}' is a ball in \mathcal{B} ,

Ball continuous functions

Take two ball spaces (X, \mathcal{B}) and (X', \mathcal{B}') and a function $f : X \rightarrow X'$. We will call f **ball continuous** if the preimage of every ball in \mathcal{B}' is a ball in \mathcal{B} , and **ball closed**

Ball continuous functions

Take two ball spaces (X, \mathcal{B}) and (X', \mathcal{B}') and a function $f : X \rightarrow X'$. We will call f **ball continuous** if the preimage of every ball in \mathcal{B}' is a ball in \mathcal{B} , and **ball closed** if the image of every ball in \mathcal{B} is a ball in \mathcal{B}' .

Ball continuous functions

Take two ball spaces (X, \mathcal{B}) and (X', \mathcal{B}') and a function $f : X \rightarrow X'$. We will call f **ball continuous** if the preimage of every ball in \mathcal{B}' is a ball in \mathcal{B} , and **ball closed** if the image of every ball in \mathcal{B} is a ball in \mathcal{B}' .

Theorem

Take two ball spaces (X, \mathcal{B}) and (X', \mathcal{B}') ,

Ball continuous functions

Take two ball spaces (X, \mathcal{B}) and (X', \mathcal{B}') and a function $f : X \rightarrow X'$. We will call f **ball continuous** if the preimage of every ball in \mathcal{B}' is a ball in \mathcal{B} , and **ball closed** if the image of every ball in \mathcal{B} is a ball in \mathcal{B}' .

Theorem

Take two ball spaces (X, \mathcal{B}) and (X', \mathcal{B}') , and a function $f : X \rightarrow X'$.

Ball continuous functions

Take two ball spaces (X, \mathcal{B}) and (X', \mathcal{B}') and a function $f : X \rightarrow X'$. We will call f **ball continuous** if the preimage of every ball in \mathcal{B}' is a ball in \mathcal{B} , and **ball closed** if the image of every ball in \mathcal{B} is a ball in \mathcal{B}' .

Theorem

Take two ball spaces (X, \mathcal{B}) and (X', \mathcal{B}') , and a function $f : X \rightarrow X'$. If f is ball continuous and (X, \mathcal{B}) is spherically complete,

Ball continuous functions

Take two ball spaces (X, \mathcal{B}) and (X', \mathcal{B}') and a function $f : X \rightarrow X'$. We will call f **ball continuous** if the preimage of every ball in \mathcal{B}' is a ball in \mathcal{B} , and **ball closed** if the image of every ball in \mathcal{B} is a ball in \mathcal{B}' .

Theorem

Take two ball spaces (X, \mathcal{B}) and (X', \mathcal{B}') , and a function $f : X \rightarrow X'$. If f is ball continuous and (X, \mathcal{B}) is spherically complete, then so is (X', \mathcal{B}') .

Ball continuous functions

Take two ball spaces (X, \mathcal{B}) and (X', \mathcal{B}') and a function $f : X \rightarrow X'$. We will call f **ball continuous** if the preimage of every ball in \mathcal{B}' is a ball in \mathcal{B} , and **ball closed** if the image of every ball in \mathcal{B} is a ball in \mathcal{B}' .

Theorem

Take two ball spaces (X, \mathcal{B}) and (X', \mathcal{B}') , and a function $f : X \rightarrow X'$. If f is ball continuous and (X, \mathcal{B}) is spherically complete, then so is (X', \mathcal{B}') . If f is ball closed and finite-to-one,

Ball continuous functions

Take two ball spaces (X, \mathcal{B}) and (X', \mathcal{B}') and a function $f : X \rightarrow X'$. We will call f **ball continuous** if the preimage of every ball in \mathcal{B}' is a ball in \mathcal{B} , and **ball closed** if the image of every ball in \mathcal{B} is a ball in \mathcal{B}' .

Theorem

Take two ball spaces (X, \mathcal{B}) and (X', \mathcal{B}') , and a function $f : X \rightarrow X'$. If f is ball continuous and (X, \mathcal{B}) is spherically complete, then so is (X', \mathcal{B}') . If f is ball closed and finite-to-one, and if (X', \mathcal{B}') is spherically complete,

Ball continuous functions

Take two ball spaces (X, \mathcal{B}) and (X', \mathcal{B}') and a function $f : X \rightarrow X'$. We will call f **ball continuous** if the preimage of every ball in \mathcal{B}' is a ball in \mathcal{B} , and **ball closed** if the image of every ball in \mathcal{B} is a ball in \mathcal{B}' .

Theorem

Take two ball spaces (X, \mathcal{B}) and (X', \mathcal{B}') , and a function $f : X \rightarrow X'$. If f is ball continuous and (X, \mathcal{B}) is spherically complete, then so is (X', \mathcal{B}') . If f is ball closed and finite-to-one, and if (X', \mathcal{B}') is spherically complete, then so is (X, \mathcal{B}) .

Categories of ball spaces

We define the **category of ball spaces**

Categories of ball spaces

We define the **category of ball spaces** to consist of all ball spaces as objects

Categories of ball spaces

We define the **category of ball spaces** to consist of all ball spaces as objects and the ball continuous functions between them as morphisms.

Categories of ball spaces

We define the **category of ball spaces** to consist of all ball spaces as objects and the ball continuous functions between them as morphisms. With the products as defined above, we have:

Categories of ball spaces

We define the **category of ball spaces** to consist of all ball spaces as objects and the ball continuous functions between them as morphisms. With the products as defined above, we have:

Theorem

The category of ball spaces admits products and coproducts.

Categories of ball spaces

We define the **category of ball spaces** to consist of all ball spaces as objects and the ball continuous functions between them as morphisms. With the products as defined above, we have:

Theorem

The category of ball spaces admits products and coproducts.

Theorem

The categories of spherically complete ball spaces,

Categories of ball spaces

We define the **category of ball spaces** to consist of all ball spaces as objects and the ball continuous functions between them as morphisms. With the products as defined above, we have:

Theorem

The category of ball spaces admits products and coproducts.

Theorem

The categories of spherically complete ball spaces, of \mathbf{S}_2 ball spaces,

Categories of ball spaces

We define the **category of ball spaces** to consist of all ball spaces as objects and the ball continuous functions between them as morphisms. With the products as defined above, we have:

Theorem

The category of ball spaces admits products and coproducts.

Theorem

The categories of spherically complete ball spaces, of \mathbf{S}_2 ball spaces, of \mathbf{S}_3 ball spaces,

Categories of ball spaces

We define the **category of ball spaces** to consist of all ball spaces as objects and the ball continuous functions between them as morphisms. With the products as defined above, we have:

Theorem

The category of ball spaces admits products and coproducts.

Theorem

The categories of spherically complete ball spaces, of \mathbf{S}_2 ball spaces, of \mathbf{S}_3 ball spaces, of \mathbf{S}_4 ball spaces

Categories of ball spaces

We define the **category of ball spaces** to consist of all ball spaces as objects and the ball continuous functions between them as morphisms. With the products as defined above, we have:

Theorem

The category of ball spaces admits products and coproducts.

Theorem

The categories of spherically complete ball spaces, of \mathbf{S}_2 ball spaces, of \mathbf{S}_3 ball spaces, of \mathbf{S}_4 ball spaces and of \mathbf{S}_5 ball spaces,

Categories of ball spaces

We define the **category of ball spaces** to consist of all ball spaces as objects and the ball continuous functions between them as morphisms. With the products as defined above, we have:

Theorem

The category of ball spaces admits products and coproducts.

Theorem

The categories of spherically complete ball spaces, of \mathbf{S}_2 ball spaces, of \mathbf{S}_3 ball spaces, of \mathbf{S}_4 ball spaces and of \mathbf{S}_5 ball spaces, all of them with ball continuous functions as their morphisms,

Categories of ball spaces

We define the **category of ball spaces** to consist of all ball spaces as objects and the ball continuous functions between them as morphisms. With the products as defined above, we have:

Theorem

The category of ball spaces admits products and coproducts.

Theorem

The categories of spherically complete ball spaces, of \mathbf{S}_2 ball spaces, of \mathbf{S}_3 ball spaces, of \mathbf{S}_4 ball spaces and of \mathbf{S}_5 ball spaces, all of them with ball continuous functions as their morphisms, admit products and coproducts.

Thank you for your attention — and

Thank you for your attention — and
stay tuned for further developments!