

# On linear relations in algebraic groups

P.Krasoń, Szczecin University

G. Banaszak, P. Krasoń

*On arithmetic in Mordell-Weil groups,*

Acta Arithmetica 150.4 2011 pp.315-337

# Outline

- 1 Generalities about abelian varieties
  - Basic facts and notation
  - Classification of endomorphism algebras
- 2 History of the problem
  - number field case
  - Statement of the problem for abelian varieties
  - Results in this direction
- 3 Main Theorem
  - Corollaries
  - A counterexample
  - Case of algebraic tori
- 4 Basic ingredients of proof of Theorem A
  - Some easy reductions
  - Theorems about reduction
  - some semisimple algebras and modules

# Outline

## 1 Generalities about abelian varieties

- Basic facts and notation
- Classification of endomorphism algebras

## 2 History of the problem

- number field case
- Statement of the problem for abelian varieties
- Results in this direction

## 3 Main Theorem

- Corollaries
- A counterexample
- Case of algebraic tori

## 4 Basic ingredients of proof of Theorem A

- Some easy reductions
- Theorems about reduction
- some semisimple algebras and modules

Abelian variety - projective algebraic variety that is also an algebraic group, i.e., has a group law that can be defined by regular functions.

$$m : A \times A \rightarrow A$$

$$\text{inv} : A \rightarrow A$$

An affine group variety is called a linear algebraic group

Each such variety can be realized as closed subgroup of  $GL_n$  for some  $n$

In particular  $G_m = GL_1$  is a linear algebraic group.

Abelian variety - projective algebraic variety that is also an algebraic group, i.e., has a group law that can be defined by regular functions.

$$m : A \times A \rightarrow A$$

$$\textit{inv} : A \rightarrow A$$

An affine group variety is called a linear algebraic group

Each such variety can be realized as closed subgroup of  $GL_n$  for some  $n$

In particular  $G_m = GL_1$  is a linear algebraic group.

Abelian variety - projective algebraic variety that is also an algebraic group, i.e., has a group law that can be defined by regular functions.

$$m : A \times A \rightarrow A$$

$$\textit{inv} : A \rightarrow A$$

An affine group variety is called a linear algebraic group

Each such variety can be realized as closed subgroup of  $GL_n$  for some  $n$

In particular  $G_m = GL_1$  is a linear algebraic group.

Abelian variety - projective algebraic variety that is also an algebraic group, i.e., has a group law that can be defined by regular functions.

$$m : A \times A \rightarrow A$$

$$\text{inv} : A \rightarrow A$$

An affine group variety is called a linear algebraic group

Each such variety can be realized as closed subgroup of  $GL_n$  for some  $n$

In particular  $G_m = GL_1$  is a linear algebraic group.



Abelian variety - projective algebraic variety that is also an algebraic group, i.e., has a group law that can be defined by regular functions.

$$m : A \times A \rightarrow A$$

$$\text{inv} : A \rightarrow A$$

An affine group variety is called a linear algebraic group

Each such variety can be realized as closed subgroup of  $GL_n$  for some  $n$

In particular  $G_m = GL_1$  is a linear algebraic group.

$$A(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda \quad \Lambda - \text{a lattice in } \mathbb{R}^{2g}$$

### Theorem

*A torus  $\mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda$  is the set of complex points  $A(\mathbb{C})$  of an abelian variety iff there exists an  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear form*

$$E : \mathbb{C}^g \times \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

*such that*

- (1)  $E(iv, iw) = E(v, w)$
- (2)  $(v, w) \rightarrow E(v, iw)$  is a symmetric positive defined bilinear form
- (3)  $E(v, w) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $v, w \in \Lambda$

If such a form exists then  $A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  (by means of  $\theta$ -functions)

$$A(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda \quad \Lambda - \text{a lattice in } \mathbb{R}^{2g}$$

### Theorem

*A torus  $\mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda$  is the set of complex points  $A(\mathbb{C})$  of an abelian variety iff there exists an  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear form*

$$E : \mathbb{C}^g \times \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

*such that*

- (1)  $E(iv, iw) = E(v, w)$
- (2)  $(v, w) \rightarrow E(v, iw)$  is a symmetric positive defined bilinear form
- (3)  $E(v, w) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $v, w \in \Lambda$

If such a form exists then  $A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  (by means of  $\theta$ -functions)

$$A(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda \quad \Lambda - \text{a lattice in } \mathbb{R}^{2g}$$

## Theorem

*A torus  $\mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda$  is the set of complex points  $A(\mathbb{C})$  of an abelian variety iff there exists an  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear form*

$$E : \mathbb{C}^g \times \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

*such that*

- (1)  $E(iv, iw) = E(v, w)$
- (2)  $(v, w) \rightarrow E(v, iw)$  is a symmetric positive defined bilinear form
- (3)  $E(v, w) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $v, w \in \Lambda$

If such a form exists then  $A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  (by means of  $\theta$ -functions)

$$A(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda \quad \Lambda - \text{a lattice in } \mathbb{R}^{2g}$$

## Theorem

*A torus  $\mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda$  is the set of complex points  $A(\mathbb{C})$  of an abelian variety iff there exists an  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear form*

$$E : \mathbb{C}^g \times \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

*such that*

- (1)  $E(iv, iw) = E(v, w)$
- (2)  $(v, w) \rightarrow E(v, iw)$  is a symmetric positive defined bilinear form
- (3)  $E(v, w) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $v, w \in \Lambda$

If such a form exists then  $A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  (by means of  $\theta$ -functions)

$$A(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda \quad \Lambda - \text{a lattice in } \mathbb{R}^{2g}$$

## Theorem

*A torus  $\mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda$  is the set of complex points  $A(\mathbb{C})$  of an abelian variety iff there exists an  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear form*

$$E : \mathbb{C}^g \times \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

*such that*

- (1)  $E(iv, iw) = E(v, w)$
- (2)  $(v, w) \rightarrow E(v, iw)$  is a symmetric positive defined bilinear form
- (3)  $E(v, w) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $v, w \in \Lambda$

If such a form exists then  $A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  (by means of  $\theta$ -functions)

$$A(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda \quad \Lambda - \text{a lattice in } \mathbb{R}^{2g}$$

## Theorem

*A torus  $\mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda$  is the set of complex points  $A(\mathbb{C})$  of an abelian variety iff there exists an  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear form*

$$E : \mathbb{C}^g \times \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

*such that*

- (1)  $E(iv, iw) = E(v, w)$
- (2)  $(v, w) \rightarrow E(v, iw)$  is a symmetric positive defined bilinear form
- (3)  $E(v, w) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $v, w \in \Lambda$

If such a form exists then  $A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  (by means of  $\theta$ -functions)

$$A(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda \quad \Lambda - \text{a lattice in } \mathbb{R}^{2g}$$

## Theorem

*A torus  $\mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda$  is the set of complex points  $A(\mathbb{C})$  of an abelian variety iff there exists an  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear form*

$$E : \mathbb{C}^g \times \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

*such that*

- (1)  $E(iv, iw) = E(v, w)$
- (2)  $(v, w) \rightarrow E(v, iw)$  is a symmetric positive defined bilinear form
- (3)  $E(v, w) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $v, w \in \Lambda$

If such a form exists then  $A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  (by means of  $\theta$ -functions)



$$A(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda \quad \Lambda - \text{a lattice in } \mathbb{R}^{2g}$$

## Theorem

*A torus  $\mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda$  is the set of complex points  $A(\mathbb{C})$  of an abelian variety iff there exists an  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear form*

$$E : \mathbb{C}^g \times \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

*such that*

- (1)  $E(iv, iw) = E(v, w)$
- (2)  $(v, w) \rightarrow E(v, iw)$  is a symmetric positive defined bilinear form
- (3)  $E(v, w) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $v, w \in \Lambda$

If such a form exists then  $A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  (by means of  $\theta$ -functions)

$$A(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda \quad \Lambda - \text{a lattice in } \mathbb{R}^{2g}$$

## Theorem

*A torus  $\mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda$  is the set of complex points  $A(\mathbb{C})$  of an abelian variety iff there exists an  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear form*

$$E : \mathbb{C}^g \times \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

*such that*

- (1)  $E(iv, iw) = E(v, w)$
- (2)  $(v, w) \rightarrow E(v, iw)$  is a symmetric positive defined bilinear form
- (3)  $E(v, w) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $v, w \in \Lambda$

If such a form exists then  $A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  (by means of  $\theta$ -functions)

$f : A \rightarrow B$  is called an isogeny if  $f$  is surjective and has finite kernel.

The product of an abelian variety  $A$  of dimension  $m$ , and an abelian variety  $B$  of dimension  $n$ , over the same field, is an abelian variety of dimension  $m + n$ .

An abelian variety is simple if it is not isogenous to a product of abelian varieties of lower dimension.

Any abelian variety is isogenous to a product of simple abelian varieties.

$$A \cong A_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times A_r^{e_r}$$

$f : A \rightarrow B$  is called an isogeny if  $f$  is surjective and has finite kernel.

The product of an abelian variety  $A$  of dimension  $m$ , and an abelian variety  $B$  of dimension  $n$ , over the same field, is an abelian variety of dimension  $m + n$ .

An abelian variety is simple if it is not isogenous to a product of abelian varieties of lower dimension.

Any abelian variety is isogenous to a product of simple abelian varieties.

$$A \cong A_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times A_r^{e_r}$$

$f : A \rightarrow B$  is called an isogeny if  $f$  is surjective and has finite kernel.

The product of an abelian variety  $A$  of dimension  $m$ , and an abelian variety  $B$  of dimension  $n$ , over the same field, is an abelian variety of dimension  $m + n$ .

An abelian variety is simple if it is not isogenous to a product of abelian varieties of lower dimension.

Any abelian variety is isogenous to a product of simple abelian varieties.

$$A \cong A_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times A_r^{e_r}$$

$f : A \rightarrow B$  is called an isogeny if  $f$  is surjective and has finite kernel.

The product of an abelian variety  $A$  of dimension  $m$ , and an abelian variety  $B$  of dimension  $n$ , over the same field, is an abelian variety of dimension  $m + n$ .

An abelian variety is simple if it is not isogenous to a product of abelian varieties of lower dimension.

Any abelian variety is isogenous to a product of simple abelian varieties.

$$A \cong A_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times A_r^{e_r}$$

there exists  $A^\vee$  such that  $A = A^{\vee\vee}$

polarisation - an isogeny  $\lambda : A \rightarrow A^\vee$

principal polarisation -  $\deg \lambda = 1$

over  $\mathbb{C}$  polarisation is equivalent to the choice of skew-symmetric form

$$\psi : \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

$\det \psi = 1$  - polarisation is principal

there exists  $A^\vee$  such that  $A = A^{\vee\vee}$

polarisation - an isogeny  $\lambda : A \rightarrow A^\vee$

principal polarisation -  $\deg \lambda = 1$

over  $\mathbb{C}$  polarisation is equivalent to the choice of skew-symmetric form

$$\psi : \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

$\det \psi = 1$  - polarisation is principal



there exists  $A^\vee$  such that  $A = A^{\vee\vee}$

polarisation - an isogeny  $\lambda : A \rightarrow A^\vee$

principal polarisation -  $\deg \lambda = 1$

over  $\mathbb{C}$  polarisation is equivalent to the choice of skew-symmetric form

$$\psi : \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

$\det \psi = 1$  - polarisation is principal

there exists  $A^\vee$  such that  $A = A^{\vee\vee}$

polarisation - an isogeny  $\lambda : A \rightarrow A^\vee$

principal polarisation -  $\deg \lambda = 1$

over  $\mathbb{C}$  polarisation is equivalent to the choice of skew-symmetric form

$$\psi : \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

$\det \psi = 1$  - polarisation is principal

there exists  $A^\vee$  such that  $A = A^{\vee\vee}$

polarisation - an isogeny  $\lambda : A \rightarrow A^\vee$

principal polarisation -  $\deg \lambda = 1$

over  $\mathbb{C}$  polarisation is equivalent to the choice of skew-symmetric form

$$\psi : \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

$\det \psi = 1$  - polarisation is principal

there exists  $A^\vee$  such that  $A = A^{\vee\vee}$

polarisation - an isogeny  $\lambda : A \rightarrow A^\vee$

principal polarisation -  $\deg \lambda = 1$

over  $\mathbb{C}$  polarisation is equivalent to the choice of skew-symmetric form

$$\psi : \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

$\det \psi = 1$  - polarisation is principal

Since  $\lambda$  is an isogeny there exists  $\lambda^{-1}$  in  $\text{Hom}(A^\vee, A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$

Rosati involution on  $\text{End}^0(A) = \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$

$$R_{inv} : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha' = \lambda^{-1} \circ \alpha^\vee \circ \lambda$$

Since  $\lambda$  is an isogeny there exists  $\lambda^{-1}$  in  $\text{Hom}(A^\vee, A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$

Rosati involution on  $\text{End}^0(A) = \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$

$$R_{inv} : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha' = \lambda^{-1} \circ \alpha^\vee \circ \lambda$$

# Outline

- 1 Generalities about abelian varieties
  - Basic facts and notation
  - Classification of endomorphism algebras
- 2 History of the problem
  - number field case
  - Statement of the problem for abelian varieties
  - Results in this direction
- 3 Main Theorem
  - Corollaries
  - A counterexample
  - Case of algebraic tori
- 4 Basic ingredients of proof of Theorem A
  - Some easy reductions
  - Theorems about reduction
  - some semisimple algebras and modules

# Albert's classification

$A/F$  - simple;  $E = Z(\text{End}^0(A)) = Z(\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ ;

$$E_0 = E^{R_{\text{inv}}}$$

- 1 (I)  $\text{End}^0(A) = E = E_0$  is a totally real number field  $R_{\text{inv}} = \text{id}$
- 2 (II)  $E = E_0$  is a totally real number field;  $\text{End}^0(A)$  is a division algebra over  $E$  such that every component of  $\text{End}^0(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$  is isomorphic to  $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ ;  
 $\beta \in \text{End}^0(A)$ ,  ${}^t\beta = -\beta$ ;  $R_{\text{inv}}(\alpha) = \beta^{-1} ({}^t\alpha)\beta$
- 3 (III)  $E = E_0$  is totally real;  $\text{End}^0(A)$  is a division algebra over  $E$  such that every component of  $\text{End}^0(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{H}$   $R_{\text{inv}}(\alpha) = {}^t\alpha$
- 4 (IV)  $E_0$  is totally real;  $E$  - totally imaginary quadratic extension of  $E_0$ ;  $R_{\text{inv}}|_E = cc|_E$ ;  $\text{End}^0(A)$  is a division algebra over  $E$



# Albert's classification

$A/F$  - simple;  $E = Z(\text{End}^0(A)) = Z(\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ ;

$$E_0 = E^{R_{\text{inv}}}$$

- 1 (I)  $\text{End}^0(A) = E = E_0$  is a totally real number field  $R_{\text{inv}} = \text{id}$
- 2 (II)  $E = E_0$  is a totally real number field;  $\text{End}^0(A)$  is a division algebra over  $E$  such that every component of  $\text{End}^0(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$  is isomorphic to  $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ ;  
 $\beta \in \text{End}^0(A)$ ,  ${}^t\beta = -\beta$ ;  $R_{\text{inv}}(\alpha) = \beta^{-1} ({}^t\alpha)\beta$
- 3 (III)  $E = E_0$  is totally real;  $\text{End}^0(A)$  is a division algebra over  $E$  such that every component of  $\text{End}^0(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{H}$   $R_{\text{inv}}(\alpha) = {}^t\alpha$
- 4 (IV)  $E_0$  is totally real;  $E$  - totally imaginary quadratic extension of  $E_0$ ;  $R_{\text{inv}}|_E = cc|_E$ ;  $\text{End}^0(A)$  is a division algebra over  $E$

# Albert's classification

$A/F$  - simple;  $E = Z(\text{End}^0(A)) = Z(\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ ;

$$E_0 = E^{R_{\text{inv}}}$$

- 1 (I)  $\text{End}^0(A) = E = E_0$  is a totally real number field  $R_{\text{inv}} = \text{id}$
- 2 (II)  $E = E_0$  is a totally real number field;  $\text{End}^0(A)$  is a division algebra over  $E$  such that every component of  $\text{End}^0(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$  is isomorphic to  $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ ;  
 $\beta \in \text{End}^0(A)$ ,  ${}^t\beta = -\beta$ ;  $R_{\text{inv}}(\alpha) = \beta^{-1} ({}^t\alpha)\beta$
- 3 (III)  $E = E_0$  is totally real;  $\text{End}^0(A)$  is a division algebra over  $E$  such that every component of  $\text{End}^0(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{H}$   $R_{\text{inv}}(\alpha) = {}^t\alpha$
- 4 (IV)  $E_0$  is totally real;  $E$  - totally imaginary quadratic extension of  $E_0$ ;  $R_{\text{inv}}|_E = cc|_E$ ;  $\text{End}^0(A)$  is a division algebra over  $E$

# Albert's classification

$A/F$  - simple;  $E = Z(\text{End}^0(A)) = Z(\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ ;

$$E_0 = E^{R_{\text{inv}}}$$

- 1 (I)  $\text{End}^0(A) = E = E_0$  is a totally real number field  $R_{\text{inv}} = \text{id}$
- 2 (II)  $E = E_0$  is a totally real number field;  $\text{End}^0(A)$  is a division algebra over  $E$  such that every component of  $\text{End}^0(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$  is isomorphic to  $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ ;  
 $\beta \in \text{End}^0(A)$ ,  ${}^t\beta = -\beta$ ;  $R_{\text{inv}}(\alpha) = \beta^{-1} ({}^t\alpha)\beta$
- 3 (III)  $E = E_0$  is totally real;  $\text{End}^0(A)$  is a division algebra over  $E$  such that every component of  $\text{End}^0(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{H}$   $R_{\text{inv}}(\alpha) = {}^t\alpha$
- 4 (IV)  $E_0$  is totally real;  $E$  - totally imaginary quadratic extension of  $E_0$ ;  $R_{\text{inv}}|_E = cc|_E$ ;  $\text{End}^0(A)$  is a division algebra over  $E$

# Outline

- 1 Generalities about abelian varieties
  - Basic facts and notation
  - Classification of endomorphism algebras
- 2 History of the problem
  - number field case
  - Statement of the problem for abelian varieties
  - Results in this direction
- 3 Main Theorem
  - Corollaries
  - A counterexample
  - Case of algebraic tori
- 4 Basic ingredients of proof of Theorem A
  - Some easy reductions
  - Theorems about reduction
  - some semisimple algebras and modules

## $K$ - a number field

### Theorem (A.Schinzel 1973)

*If  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta$  are non-zero elements of  $K$  and the congruence*

$$\alpha_1^{x_1} \alpha_2^{x_2} \dots \alpha_k^{x_k} \equiv \beta \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$$

*is soluble for almost all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $K$  then the corresponding equation is soluble in rational integers. i.e. there exist  $n_1 \dots n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\beta = \alpha_1^{n_1} \dots \alpha_k^{n_k}$*

proved again by C.Khare by different methods

$K$  - a number field

### Theorem (A.Schinzel 1973)

*If  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta$  are non-zero elements of  $K$  and the congruence*

$$\alpha_1^{x_1} \alpha_2^{x_2} \dots \alpha_k^{x_k} \equiv \beta \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$$

*is soluble for almost all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $K$  then the corresponding equation is soluble in rational integers. i.e. there exist  $n_1 \dots n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\beta = \alpha_1^{n_1} \dots \alpha_k^{n_k}$*

proved again by C.Khare by different methods

$K$  - a number field

### Theorem (A.Schinzel 1973)

*If  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta$  are non-zero elements of  $K$  and the congruence*

$$\alpha_1^{x_1} \alpha_2^{x_2} \dots \alpha_k^{x_k} \equiv \beta \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$$

*is soluble for almost all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $K$  then the corresponding equation is soluble in rational integers. i.e. there exist  $n_1 \dots n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\beta = \alpha_1^{n_1} \dots \alpha_k^{n_k}$*

proved again by C.Khare by different methods

$K$  - a number field

### Theorem (A.Schinzel 1973)

*If  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta$  are non-zero elements of  $K$  and the congruence*

$$\alpha_1^{x_1} \alpha_2^{x_2} \dots \alpha_k^{x_k} \equiv \beta \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$$

*is soluble for almost all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $K$  then the corresponding equation is soluble in rational integers. i.e. there exist  $n_1 \dots n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\beta = \alpha_1^{n_1} \dots \alpha_k^{n_k}$*

proved again by C.Khare by different methods



$K$  - a number field

### Theorem (A.Schinzel 1973)

*If  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta$  are non-zero elements of  $K$  and the congruence*

$$\alpha_1^{x_1} \alpha_2^{x_2} \dots \alpha_k^{x_k} \equiv \beta \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$$

*is soluble for almost all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $K$  then the corresponding equation is soluble in rational integers. i.e. there exist  $n_1 \dots n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\beta = \alpha_1^{n_1} \dots \alpha_k^{n_k}$*

proved again by C.Khare by different methods

Theorem of A.Schinzel does not extend in full generality to the system of congruences.

Theorem (A. Schinzel 1973)

*Let  $\alpha_{i,j}, \beta_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, h, j = 1, \dots, k$ ) be non-zero elements of  $K$ ,  $D$  a positive integer. If the system of congruences*

$$\prod_{j=1}^k \alpha_{ij}^{x_j} \equiv \beta_i \pmod{\mathfrak{m}} \quad (i = 1, \dots, h)$$

*is soluble for all moduli  $\mathfrak{m}$  prime to  $D$  then the corresponding system of equations is soluble in integers.*

Theorem of A.Schinzel does not extend in full generality to the system of congruences.

### Theorem (A. Schinzel 1973)

*Let  $\alpha_{i,j}, \beta_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, h, j = 1, \dots, k$ ) be non-zero elements of  $K$ ,  $D$  a positive integer. If the system of congruences*

$$\prod_{j=1}^k \alpha_{ij}^{x_j} \equiv \beta_i \pmod{\mathfrak{m}} \quad (i = 1, \dots, h)$$

*is soluble for all moduli  $\mathfrak{m}$  prime to  $D$  then the corresponding system of equations is soluble in integers.*

Theorem of A.Schinzel does not extend in full generality to the system of congruences.

### Theorem (A. Schinzel 1973)

*Let  $\alpha_{i,j}, \beta_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, h, j = 1, \dots, k$ ) be non-zero elements of  $K$ ,  $D$  a positive integer. If the system of congruences*

$$\prod_{j=1}^k \alpha_{ij}^{x_j} \equiv \beta_i \pmod{\mathfrak{m}} \quad (i = 1, \dots, h)$$

*is soluble for all moduli  $\mathfrak{m}$  prime to  $D$  then the corresponding system of equations is soluble in integers.*

Theorem of A.Schinzel does not extend in full generality to the system of congruences.

### Theorem (A. Schinzel 1973)

*Let  $\alpha_{i,j}, \beta_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, h, j = 1, \dots, k$ ) be non-zero elements of  $K$ ,  $D$  a positive integer. If the system of congruences*

$$\prod_{j=1}^k \alpha_{ij}^{x_j} \equiv \beta_i \pmod{\mathfrak{m}} \quad (i = 1, \dots, h)$$

*is soluble for all moduli  $\mathfrak{m}$  prime to  $D$  then the corresponding system of equations is soluble in integers.*

## Counterexample: [A.Schinzel 1973]

$$2^x 3^y \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

$$2^y 3^z \equiv 4 \pmod{p}$$

for  $p = 2, 3$   $(x, y, z) = (0, 1, 0)$  resp.  $(0, 0, 0)$

For other  $p$ , fix a primitive root  $\pmod{p}$

$$x \text{ ind } 2 + y \text{ ind } 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1},$$

$$y \text{ ind } 2 + z \text{ ind } 3 \equiv 2 \text{ ind } 2 \pmod{p-1}$$

Counterexample: [A.Schinzel 1973]

$$2^x 3^y \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

$$2^y 3^z \equiv 4 \pmod{p}$$

for  $p = 2, 3$   $(x, y, z) = (0, 1, 0)$  resp.  $(0, 0, 0)$

For other  $p$ , fix a primitive root  $\pmod{p}$

$$x \text{ ind } 2 + y \text{ ind } 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1},$$

$$y \text{ ind } 2 + z \text{ ind } 3 \equiv 2 \text{ ind } 2 \pmod{p-1}$$

Counterexample: [A.Schinzel 1973]

$$2^x 3^y \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

$$2^y 3^z \equiv 4 \pmod{p}$$

for  $p = 2, 3$   $(x, y, z) = (0, 1, 0)$  resp.  $(0, 0, 0)$

For other  $p$ , fix a primitive root  $\pmod{p}$

$$x \text{ ind } 2 + y \text{ ind } 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1},$$

$$y \text{ ind } 2 + z \text{ ind } 3 \equiv 2 \text{ ind } 2 \pmod{p-1}$$



Counterexample: [A.Schinzel 1973]

$$2^x 3^y \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

$$2^y 3^z \equiv 4 \pmod{p}$$

for  $p = 2, 3$   $(x, y, z) = (0, 1, 0)$  resp.  $(0, 0, 0)$

For other  $p$ , fix a primitive root  $\pmod{p}$

$$x \text{ind} 2 + y \text{ind} 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1},$$

$$y \text{ind} 2 + z \text{ind} 3 \equiv 2 \text{ind} 2 \pmod{p-1}$$

Counterexample: [A.Schinzel 1973]

$$2^x 3^y \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

$$2^y 3^z \equiv 4 \pmod{p}$$

for  $p = 2, 3$   $(x, y, z) = (0, 1, 0)$  resp.  $(0, 0, 0)$

For other  $p$ , fix a primitive root  $\pmod{p}$

$$x \text{ ind } 2 + y \text{ ind } 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1},$$

$$y \text{ ind } 2 + z \text{ ind } 3 \equiv 2 \text{ ind } 2 \pmod{p-1}$$

Counterexample: [A.Schinzel 1973]

$$2^x 3^y \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

$$2^y 3^z \equiv 4 \pmod{p}$$

for  $p = 2, 3$   $(x, y, z) = (0, 1, 0)$  resp.  $(0, 0, 0)$

For other  $p$ , fix a primitive root  $\pmod{p}$

$$x \text{ ind } 2 + y \text{ ind } 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1},$$

$$y \text{ ind } 2 + z \text{ ind } 3 \equiv 2 \text{ ind } 2 \pmod{p-1}$$

$$\gcd\left(\frac{(ind2)^2}{\gcd(ind2, ind3)}, ind3\right) \mid ind2$$

$$t \frac{(ind2)^2}{\gcd(ind2, ind3)} + z ind3 = 2 ind2$$

$$x = \frac{-t ind3}{\gcd(ind2, ind3)}, \quad y = \frac{t ind2}{\gcd(ind2, ind3)} \quad z$$

$$\gcd\left(\frac{(ind2)^2}{\gcd(ind2, ind3)}, ind3\right) \mid ind2$$

$$t \frac{(ind2)^2}{\gcd(ind2, ind3)} + z ind3 = 2 ind2$$

$$x = \frac{-t ind3}{\gcd(ind2, ind3)}, \quad y = \frac{t ind2}{\gcd(ind2, ind3)} \quad z$$

$$\gcd\left(\frac{(ind2)^2}{\gcd(ind2, ind3)}, ind3\right) \mid ind2$$

$$t \frac{(ind2)^2}{\gcd(ind2, ind3)} + z ind3 = 2 ind2$$

$$x = \frac{-t ind3}{\gcd(ind2, ind3)}, \quad y = \frac{t ind2}{\gcd(ind2, ind3)} \quad z$$

# Outline

- 1 Generalities about abelian varieties
  - Basic facts and notation
  - Classification of endomorphism algebras
- 2 History of the problem
  - number field case
  - Statement of the problem for abelian varieties
  - Results in this direction
- 3 Main Theorem
  - Corollaries
  - A counterexample
  - Case of algebraic tori
- 4 Basic ingredients of proof of Theorem A
  - Some easy reductions
  - Theorems about reduction
  - some semisimple algebras and modules

$$A/F \quad \text{red}_v : A(F) \rightarrow A(k_v)$$

### QUESTION (W.GAJDA 2002)

*Let  $\Sigma$  be a subgroup of  $A(F)$ . Suppose that  $x$  is a point of  $A(F)$  such that  $\text{red}_v x$  lies in  $\text{red}_v \Sigma$  for almost all places  $v$  of  $F$ . Does it then follow that  $x$  lies in  $\Sigma$ ?*



$$A/F \quad \text{red}_v : A(F) \rightarrow A(k_v)$$

### QUESTION (W.GAJDA 2002)

*Let  $\Sigma$  be a subgroup of  $A(F)$ . Suppose that  $x$  is a point of  $A(F)$  such that  $\text{red}_v x$  lies in  $\text{red}_v \Sigma$  for almost all places  $v$  of  $F$ . Does it then follow that  $x$  lies in  $\Sigma$ ?*

$$A/F \quad \text{red}_v : A(F) \rightarrow A(k_v)$$

### QUESTION (W.GAJDA 2002)

*Let  $\Sigma$  be a subgroup of  $A(F)$ . Suppose that  $x$  is a point of  $A(F)$  such that  $\text{red}_v x$  lies in  $\text{red}_v \Sigma$  for almost all places  $v$  of  $F$ . Does it then follow that  $x$  lies in  $\Sigma$ ?*

# Outline

- 1 Generalities about abelian varieties
  - Basic facts and notation
  - Classification of endomorphism algebras
- 2 History of the problem
  - number field case
  - Statement of the problem for abelian varieties
  - **Results in this direction**
- 3 Main Theorem
  - Corollaries
  - A counterexample
  - Case of algebraic tori
- 4 Basic ingredients of proof of Theorem A
  - Some easy reductions
  - Theorems about reduction
  - some semisimple algebras and modules

## Theorem (Weston 2003)

*Let  $A$  be an abelian variety over a number field  $F$  and assume that  $\text{End}_F A$  is commutative. Let  $\Sigma$  be a subgroup of  $A(F)$  and suppose that  $x \in A(F)$  is such that  $\text{red}_v x \in \text{red}_v \Sigma$  for almost all places  $v$  of  $F$ . Then  $x \in \Sigma + A(F)_{\text{tors}}$*

this covers product of non-isogenous elliptic curves.

## Theorem (Weston 2003)

*Let  $A$  be an abelian variety over a number field  $F$  and assume that  $\text{End}_F A$  is commutative. Let  $\Sigma$  be a subgroup of  $A(F)$  and suppose that  $x \in A(F)$  is such that  $\text{red}_v x \in \text{red}_v \Sigma$  for almost all places  $v$  of  $F$ . Then  $x \in \Sigma + A(F)_{\text{tors}}$*

this covers product of non-isogenous elliptic curves.

## Theorem (Weston 2003)

*Let  $A$  be an abelian variety over a number field  $F$  and assume that  $\text{End}_F A$  is commutative. Let  $\Sigma$  be a subgroup of  $A(F)$  and suppose that  $x \in A(F)$  is such that  $\text{red}_v x \in \text{red}_v \Sigma$  for almost all places  $v$  of  $F$ . Then  $x \in \Sigma + A(F)_{\text{tors}}$*

this covers product of non-isogenous elliptic curves.

## Theorem (Weston 2003)

*Let  $A$  be an abelian variety over a number field  $F$  and assume that  $\text{End}_F A$  is commutative. Let  $\Sigma$  be a subgroup of  $A(F)$  and suppose that  $x \in A(F)$  is such that  $\text{red}_v x \in \text{red}_v \Sigma$  for almost all places  $v$  of  $F$ . Then  $x \in \Sigma + A(F)_{\text{tors}}$*

this covers product of non-isogenous elliptic curves.

### Theorem (G.Banaszak, W.Gajda, P.K 2005)

*Let  $A$  be a principally polarized abelian variety of dimension  $g$  defined over the number field  $F$  such that  $\text{End}_{\overline{F}}(A) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\dim(A) = g$  is either odd or  $g = 2$  or  $6$ . Let  $P$  and  $P_1 \dots P_r$  be non-torsion elements of  $A(F)$  such that  $P_1 \dots P_r$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Denote by  $\Lambda$  the subgroup of  $A(F)$  generated by  $P_1 \dots P_r$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $P \in \Lambda$
- (2)  $r_V(P) \in r_V(\Lambda)$



### Theorem (G.Banaszak, W.Gajda, P.K 2005)

*Let  $A$  be a principally polarized abelian variety of dimension  $g$  defined over the number field  $F$  such that  $\text{End}_{\overline{F}}(A) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\dim(A) = g$  is either odd or  $g = 2$  or  $6$ . Let  $P$  and  $P_1 \dots P_r$  be non-torsion elements of  $A(F)$  such that  $P_1 \dots P_r$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Denote by  $\Lambda$  the subgroup of  $A(F)$  generated by  $P_1 \dots P_r$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $P \in \Lambda$
- (2)  $r_V(P) \in r_V(\Lambda)$

### Theorem (G.Banaszak, W.Gajda, P.K 2005)

*Let  $A$  be a principally polarized abelian variety of dimension  $g$  defined over the number field  $F$  such that  $\text{End}_{\overline{F}}(A) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\dim(A) = g$  is either odd or  $g = 2$  or  $6$ . Let  $P$  and  $P_1 \dots P_r$  be non-torsion elements of  $A(F)$  such that  $P_1 \dots P_r$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Denote by  $\Lambda$  the subgroup of  $A(F)$  generated by  $P_1 \dots P_r$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $P \in \Lambda$
- (2)  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$

### Theorem (G.Banaszak, W.Gajda, P.K 2005)

*Let  $A$  be a principally polarized abelian variety of dimension  $g$  defined over the number field  $F$  such that  $\text{End}_{\overline{F}}(A) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\dim(A) = g$  is either odd or  $g = 2$  or  $6$ . Let  $P$  and  $P_1 \dots P_r$  be non-torsion elements of  $A(F)$  such that  $P_1 \dots P_r$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Denote by  $\Lambda$  the subgroup of  $A(F)$  generated by  $P_1 \dots P_r$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

(1)  $P \in \Lambda$

(2)  $r_V(P) \in r_V(\Lambda)$

### Theorem (G.Banaszak, W.Gajda, P.K 2005)

*Let  $A$  be a principally polarized abelian variety of dimension  $g$  defined over the number field  $F$  such that  $\text{End}_{\overline{F}}(A) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\dim(A) = g$  is either odd or  $g = 2$  or  $6$ . Let  $P$  and  $P_1 \dots P_r$  be non-torsion elements of  $A(F)$  such that  $P_1 \dots P_r$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Denote by  $\Lambda$  the subgroup of  $A(F)$  generated by  $P_1 \dots P_r$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $P \in \Lambda$
- (2)  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$

## Theorem (BGK 2005)

*Let  $P$  and  $P_1 \dots P_r$  be non-torsion elements of  $A(F)$  such that  $\mathcal{R}P$  is a free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module and  $P_1 \dots P_r$  are linearly independent over  $\mathcal{R}$ . Denote by  $\Lambda$  the  $\mathcal{R}$ -submodule of  $A(F)$  generated by  $P_1 \dots P_r$ . Assume that  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for almost all primes  $v$  of  $F$ . Then there exists a natural number  $a$  such that  $aP \in \Lambda$ .*

W. Gajda and K.Górniewicz refined this theorem to  $a = 1$

## Theorem (BGK 2005)

*Let  $P$  and  $P_1 \dots P_r$  be non-torsion elements of  $A(F)$  such that  $\mathcal{R}P$  is a free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module and  $P_1 \dots P_r$  are linearly independent over  $\mathcal{R}$ . Denote by  $\Lambda$  the  $\mathcal{R}$ -submodule of  $A(F)$  generated by  $P_1 \dots P_r$ . Assume that  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for almost all primes  $v$  of  $F$ . Then there exists a natural number  $a$  such that  $aP \in \Lambda$ .*

W. Gajda and K.Górniewicz refined this theorem to  $a = 1$

## Theorem (BGK 2005)

*Let  $P$  and  $P_1 \dots P_r$  be non-torsion elements of  $A(F)$  such that  $\mathcal{R}P$  is a free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module and  $P_1 \dots P_r$  are linearly independent over  $\mathcal{R}$ . Denote by  $\Lambda$  the  $\mathcal{R}$ -submodule of  $A(F)$  generated by  $P_1 \dots P_r$ . Assume that  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for almost all primes  $v$  of  $F$ . Then there exists a natural number  $a$  such that  $aP \in \Lambda$ .*

W. Gajda and K.Górniewicz refined this theorem to  $a = 1$

## Theorem (BGK 2005)

*Let  $P$  and  $P_1 \dots P_r$  be non-torsion elements of  $A(F)$  such that  $\mathcal{R}P$  is a free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module and  $P_1 \dots P_r$  are linearly independent over  $\mathcal{R}$ . Denote by  $\Lambda$  the  $\mathcal{R}$ -submodule of  $A(F)$  generated by  $P_1 \dots P_r$ . Assume that  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for almost all primes  $v$  of  $F$ . Then there exists a natural number  $a$  such that  $aP \in \Lambda$ .*

W. Gajda and K. Górniewicz refined this theorem to  $a = 1$



## Theorem (G.Banaszak 2008)

*Let  $P_1, \dots, P_r$  be elements of  $A(F)$  linearly independent over  $\mathcal{R} = \text{End}_{\overline{F}}(A)$ . Let  $P$  be a point of  $A(F)$  such that  $\mathcal{R}P$  is a free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $P \in \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}P_i$
- (2)  $r_v(P) \in \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}r_v(P_i)$

A. Perucca generalized this theorem to semiabelian varieties and removed the hypotheses that  $\mathcal{R}P$  is a free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module

## Theorem (G.Banaszak 2008)

*Let  $P_1, \dots, P_r$  be elements of  $A(F)$  linearly independent over  $\mathcal{R} = \text{End}_{\overline{F}}(A)$ . Let  $P$  be a point of  $A(F)$  such that  $\mathcal{R}P$  is a free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $P \in \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}P_i$
- (2)  $r_v(P) \in \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}r_v(P_i)$

A. Perucca generalized this theorem to semiabelian varieties and removed the hypotheses that  $\mathcal{R}P$  is a free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module

### Theorem (G.Banaszak 2008)

*Let  $P_1, \dots, P_r$  be elements of  $A(F)$  linearly independent over  $\mathcal{R} = \text{End}_{\overline{F}}(A)$ . Let  $P$  be a point of  $A(F)$  such that  $\mathcal{R}P$  is a free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $P \in \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}P_i$
- (2)  $r_v(P) \in \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}r_v(P_i)$

A. Perucca generalized this theorem to semiabelian varieties and removed the hypotheses that  $\mathcal{R}P$  is a free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module

### Theorem (G.Banaszak 2008)

*Let  $P_1, \dots, P_r$  be elements of  $A(F)$  linearly independent over  $\mathcal{R} = \text{End}_{\overline{F}}(A)$ . Let  $P$  be a point of  $A(F)$  such that  $\mathcal{R}P$  is a free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $P \in \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}P_i$
- (2)  $r_v(P) \in \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}r_v(P_i)$

A. Perucca generalized this theorem to semiabelian varieties and removed the hypotheses that  $\mathcal{R}P$  is a free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module

## THEOREM A (G.BANASZAK, P.KRASOŃ)

Let  $A/F$  be an abelian variety defined over a number field  $F$ . Assume that  $A$  is isogeneous to  $A_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times A_t^{e_t}$  with  $A_i$  simple, pairwise nonisogenous abelian varieties such that  $\dim_{\text{End}_{F'}(A_i)^0} H_1(A_i(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) \geq e_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , where  $\text{End}_{F'}(A_i)^0 := \text{End}_{F'}(A_i) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and  $F'/F$  is a finite extension such that the isogeny is defined over  $F'$ . Let  $P \in A(F)$  and let  $\Lambda$  be a subgroup of  $A(F)$ . If  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for almost all  $v$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$  then  $P \in \Lambda + A(F)_{\text{tor}}$ . Moreover if  $A(F)_{\text{tor}} \subset \Lambda$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1  $P \in \Lambda$
- 2  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for almost all  $v$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ .

## THEOREM A (G.BANASZAK, P.KRASOŃ)

*Let  $A/F$  be an abelian variety defined over a number field  $F$ . Assume that  $A$  is isogeneous to  $A_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times A_t^{e_t}$  with  $A_i$  simple, pairwise nonisogenous abelian varieties such that*  
 $\dim_{\text{End}_{F'}(A_i)^0} H_1(A_i(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) \geq e_i$  *for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , where*  
 $\text{End}_{F'}(A_i)^0 := \text{End}_{F'}(A_i) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  *and  $F'/F$  is a finite extension such that the isogeny is defined over  $F'$ . Let  $P \in A(F)$  and let  $\Lambda$  be a subgroup of  $A(F)$ . If  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for almost all  $v$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$  then  $P \in \Lambda + A(F)_{\text{tor}}$ . Moreover if  $A(F)_{\text{tor}} \subset \Lambda$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1  $P \in \Lambda$
- 2  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for almost all  $v$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ .

## THEOREM A (G.BANASZAK, P.KRASOŃ)

Let  $A/F$  be an abelian variety defined over a number field  $F$ . Assume that  $A$  is isogeneous to  $A_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times A_t^{e_t}$  with  $A_i$  simple, pairwise nonisogenous abelian varieties such that  $\dim_{\text{End}_{F'}(A_i)^0} H_1(A_i(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) \geq e_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , where  $\text{End}_{F'}(A_i)^0 := \text{End}_{F'}(A_i) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and  $F'/F$  is a finite extension such that the isogeny is defined over  $F'$ . Let  $P \in A(F)$  and let  $\Lambda$  be a subgroup of  $A(F)$ . If  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for almost all  $v$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$  then  $P \in \Lambda + A(F)_{\text{tor}}$ . Moreover if  $A(F)_{\text{tor}} \subset \Lambda$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1  $P \in \Lambda$
- 2  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for almost all  $v$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ .

## THEOREM A (G.BANASZAK, P.KRASOŃ)

Let  $A/F$  be an abelian variety defined over a number field  $F$ . Assume that  $A$  is isogeneous to  $A_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times A_t^{e_t}$  with  $A_i$  simple, pairwise nonisogenous abelian varieties such that  $\dim_{\text{End}_{F'}(A_i)^0} H_1(A_i(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) \geq e_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , where  $\text{End}_{F'}(A_i)^0 := \text{End}_{F'}(A_i) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and  $F'/F$  is a finite extension such that the isogeny is defined over  $F'$ . Let  $P \in A(F)$  and let  $\Lambda$  be a subgroup of  $A(F)$ . If  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for almost all  $v$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$  then  $P \in \Lambda + A(F)_{\text{tor}}$ . Moreover if  $A(F)_{\text{tor}} \subset \Lambda$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1  $P \in \Lambda$
- 2  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for almost all  $v$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ .



## THEOREM A (G.BANASZAK, P.KRASOŃ)

Let  $A/F$  be an abelian variety defined over a number field  $F$ . Assume that  $A$  is isogeneous to  $A_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times A_t^{e_t}$  with  $A_i$  simple, pairwise nonisogenous abelian varieties such that  $\dim_{\text{End}_{F'}(A_i)^0} H_1(A_i(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) \geq e_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , where  $\text{End}_{F'}(A_i)^0 := \text{End}_{F'}(A_i) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and  $F'/F$  is a finite extension such that the isogeny is defined over  $F'$ . Let  $P \in A(F)$  and let  $\Lambda$  be a subgroup of  $A(F)$ . If  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for almost all  $v$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$  then  $P \in \Lambda + A(F)_{\text{tor}}$ . Moreover if  $A(F)_{\text{tor}} \subset \Lambda$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1  $P \in \Lambda$
- 2  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for almost all  $v$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ .

## THEOREM A (G.BANASZAK, P.KRASOŃ)

Let  $A/F$  be an abelian variety defined over a number field  $F$ . Assume that  $A$  is isogeneous to  $A_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times A_t^{e_t}$  with  $A_i$  simple, pairwise nonisogenous abelian varieties such that  $\dim_{\text{End}_{F'}(A_i)^0} H_1(A_i(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) \geq e_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , where  $\text{End}_{F'}(A_i)^0 := \text{End}_{F'}(A_i) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and  $F'/F$  is a finite extension such that the isogeny is defined over  $F'$ . Let  $P \in A(F)$  and let  $\Lambda$  be a subgroup of  $A(F)$ . If  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for almost all  $v$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$  then  $P \in \Lambda + A(F)_{\text{tor}}$ . Moreover if  $A(F)_{\text{tor}} \subset \Lambda$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1  $P \in \Lambda$
- 2  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for almost all  $v$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ .

## THEOREM A (G.BANASZAK, P.KRASOŃ)

Let  $A/F$  be an abelian variety defined over a number field  $F$ . Assume that  $A$  is isogeneous to  $A_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times A_t^{e_t}$  with  $A_i$  simple, pairwise nonisogenous abelian varieties such that  $\dim_{\text{End}_{F'}(A_i)^0} H_1(A_i(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) \geq e_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , where  $\text{End}_{F'}(A_i)^0 := \text{End}_{F'}(A_i) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and  $F'/F$  is a finite extension such that the isogeny is defined over  $F'$ . Let  $P \in A(F)$  and let  $\Lambda$  be a subgroup of  $A(F)$ . If  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for almost all  $v$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$  then  $P \in \Lambda + A(F)_{\text{tor}}$ . Moreover if  $A(F)_{\text{tor}} \subset \Lambda$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1  $P \in \Lambda$

- 2  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for almost all  $v$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ .

## THEOREM A (G.BANASZAK, P.KRASOŃ)

Let  $A/F$  be an abelian variety defined over a number field  $F$ . Assume that  $A$  is isogeneous to  $A_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times A_t^{e_t}$  with  $A_i$  simple, pairwise nonisogenous abelian varieties such that  $\dim_{\text{End}_{F'}(A_i)^0} H_1(A_i(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) \geq e_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , where  $\text{End}_{F'}(A_i)^0 := \text{End}_{F'}(A_i) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and  $F'/F$  is a finite extension such that the isogeny is defined over  $F'$ . Let  $P \in A(F)$  and let  $\Lambda$  be a subgroup of  $A(F)$ . If  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for almost all  $v$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$  then  $P \in \Lambda + A(F)_{\text{tor}}$ . Moreover if  $A(F)_{\text{tor}} \subset \Lambda$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1  $P \in \Lambda$
- 2  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for almost all  $v$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ .

## PROBLEM

*Let  $A/F$  be an abelian variety over a number field  $F$  and let  $P \in A(F)$  and let  $\Lambda \subset A(F)$  be a subgroup. Is there an effectively computable finite set  $S^{\text{eff}}$  of primes  $v$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ , depending only on  $A$ ,  $P$  and  $\Lambda$  such that the following conditions are equivalent? :*

- (1)  $P \in \Lambda$
- (2)  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for every  $v \in S^{\text{eff}}$

## PROBLEM

*Let  $A/F$  be an abelian variety over a number field  $F$  and let  $P \in A(F)$  and let  $\Lambda \subset A(F)$  be a subgroup. Is there an effectively computable finite set  $S^{\text{eff}}$  of primes  $v$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ , depending only on  $A$ ,  $P$  and  $\Lambda$  such that the following conditions are equivalent? :*

(1)  $P \in \Lambda$

(2)  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for every  $v \in S^{\text{eff}}$

## PROBLEM

*Let  $A/F$  be an abelian variety over a number field  $F$  and let  $P \in A(F)$  and let  $\Lambda \subset A(F)$  be a subgroup. Is there an effectively computable finite set  $S^{\text{eff}}$  of primes  $v$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ , depending only on  $A$ ,  $P$  and  $\Lambda$  such that the following conditions are equivalent? :*

- (1)  $P \in \Lambda$
- (2)  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for every  $v \in S^{\text{eff}}$

## THEOREM B ( G.BANASZAK, P.KRASOŃ)

*Let  $A/F$  satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem A. Let  $P \in A(F)$  and let  $\Lambda$  be a subgroup of  $A(F)$ . There is a finite set of primes  $v$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ , such that the condition:  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for all  $v \in S^{fin}$  implies  $P \in \Lambda + A(F)_{tor}$ . Moreover if  $A(F)_{tor} \subset \Lambda$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $P \in \Lambda$
- (2)  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for  $v \in S^{fin}$ .



## THEOREM B ( G.BANASZAK, P.KRASOŃ)

*Let  $A/F$  satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem A. Let  $P \in A(F)$  and let  $\Lambda$  be a subgroup of  $A(F)$ . There is a finite set of primes  $v$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ , such that the condition:  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for all  $v \in S^{fin}$  implies  $P \in \Lambda + A(F)_{tor}$ . Moreover if  $A(F)_{tor} \subset \Lambda$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $P \in \Lambda$
- (2)  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for  $v \in S^{fin}$ .

## THEOREM B ( G.BANASZAK, P.KRASOŃ)

*Let  $A/F$  satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem A. Let  $P \in A(F)$  and let  $\Lambda$  be a subgroup of  $A(F)$ . There is a finite set of primes  $v$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ , such that the condition:  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for all  $v \in S^{fin}$  implies  $P \in \Lambda + A(F)_{tor}$ . Moreover if  $A(F)_{tor} \subset \Lambda$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $P \in \Lambda$
- (2)  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for  $v \in S^{fin}$ .

# Outline

- 1 Generalities about abelian varieties
  - Basic facts and notation
  - Classification of endomorphism algebras
- 2 History of the problem
  - number field case
  - Statement of the problem for abelian varieties
  - Results in this direction
- 3 **Main Theorem**
  - **Corollaries**
  - A counterexample
  - Case of algebraic tori
- 4 Basic ingredients of proof of Theorem A
  - Some easy reductions
  - Theorems about reduction
  - some semisimple algebras and modules

## Corollary (Weston )

*Let  $A$  be an abelian variety defined over a number field such that  $\text{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}(A)$  is commutative. Then Theorem A holds for  $A$ .*

Since  $\text{End}_{\overline{F}}(A)$  is commutative,  
 $A$  is isogeneous to  $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_t$   
with  $A_i$  simple, pairwise nonisogenous.

In this case the assumption of our theorem

$$\dim_{\text{End}_{\overline{F'}}(A_i)^0} H_1(A_i(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) \geq 1$$

for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$  always holds.

Since  $\text{End}_{\overline{F}}(A)$  is commutative,  
 $A$  is isogeneous to  $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_t$   
with  $A_i$  simple, pairwise nonisogenous.

In this case the assumption of our theorem

$$\dim_{\text{End}_{\overline{F'}}(A_i)^0} H_1(A_i(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) \geq 1$$

for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$  always holds.

## Corollary

*Let  $A = E_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times E_t^{e_t}$ , where  $E_1, \dots, E_t$  are pairwise nonisogenous elliptic curves defined over  $F$ . Assume that  $1 \leq e_i \leq 2$  if  $\text{End}_F(E_i) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $e_i = 1$  if  $\text{End}_F(E_i) \neq \mathbb{Z}$ . Then Theorem A holds for  $A$ .*

## Corollary

*Let  $A = E_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times E_t^{e_t}$ , where  $E_1, \dots, E_t$  are pairwise nonisogenous elliptic curves defined over  $F$ . Assume that  $1 \leq e_i \leq 2$  if  $\text{End}_F(E_i) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $e_i = 1$  if  $\text{End}_F(E_i) \neq \mathbb{Z}$ . Then Theorem A holds for  $A$ .*



## Corollary

*Let  $A = E_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times E_t^{e_t}$ , where  $E_1, \dots, E_t$  are pairwise nonisogenous elliptic curves defined over  $F$ . Assume that  $1 \leq e_i \leq 2$  if  $\text{End}_F(E_i) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $e_i = 1$  if  $\text{End}_F(E_i) \neq \mathbb{Z}$ . Then Theorem A holds for  $A$ .*

Observe that for an elliptic curve  $E/F$  we have

$$\dim_{\text{End}_F(E)^0} H_1(E(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) = 2 \text{ if } \text{End}_F(E) = \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\dim_{\text{End}_F(E)^0} H_1(E(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) = 1 \text{ if } \text{End}_F(E) \neq \mathbb{Z}$$



Observe that for an elliptic curve  $E/F$  we have

$$\dim_{\text{End}_F(E)^0} H_1(E(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) = 2 \text{ if } \text{End}_F(E) = \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\dim_{\text{End}_F(E)^0} H_1(E(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) = 1 \text{ if } \text{End}_F(E) \neq \mathbb{Z}$$



Observe that for an elliptic curve  $E/F$  we have

$$\dim_{\operatorname{End}_F(E)^0} H_1(E(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) = 2 \text{ if } \operatorname{End}_F(E) = \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\dim_{\operatorname{End}_F(E)^0} H_1(E(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) = 1 \text{ if } \operatorname{End}_F(E) \neq \mathbb{Z}$$



# Outline

- 1 Generalities about abelian varieties
  - Basic facts and notation
  - Classification of endomorphism algebras
- 2 History of the problem
  - number field case
  - Statement of the problem for abelian varieties
  - Results in this direction
- 3 **Main Theorem**
  - Corollaries
  - **A counterexample**
  - Case of algebraic tori
- 4 Basic ingredients of proof of Theorem A
  - Some easy reductions
  - Theorems about reduction
  - some semisimple algebras and modules

$E := E_d$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$      $y^2 = x^3 - d^2x$

$E_d$  has CM by  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

K.Rubin, A.Silverberg showed that  $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q})$  can reach 6.

$d = 34$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$

$d = 1254$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 3$

$d = 29274$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 4$

$d = 205015206$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 5$

$d = 61471349610$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 6$

$E := E_d$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$      $y^2 = x^3 - d^2x$

$E_d$  has CM by  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

K.Rubin, A.Silverberg showed that  $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q})$  can reach 6.

$d = 34$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$

$d = 1254$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 3$

$d = 29274$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 4$

$d = 205015206$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 5$

$d = 61471349610$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 6$

$E := E_d$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$      $y^2 = x^3 - d^2x$

$E_d$  has CM by  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

K.Rubin, A.Silverberg showed that  $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q})$  can reach 6.

$d = 34$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$

$d = 1254$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 3$

$d = 29274$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 4$

$d = 205015206$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 5$

$d = 61471349610$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 6$



$E := E_d$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$      $y^2 = x^3 - d^2x$

$E_d$  has CM by  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

K.Rubin, A.Silverberg showed that  $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q})$  can reach 6.

$d = 34$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$

$d = 1254$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 3$

$d = 29274$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 4$

$d = 205015206$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 5$

$d = 61471349610$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 6$

$E := E_d$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$      $y^2 = x^3 - d^2x$

$E_d$  has CM by  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

K.Rubin, A.Silverberg showed that  $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q})$  can reach 6.

$d = 34$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$

$d = 1254$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 3$

$d = 29274$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 4$

$d = 205015206$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 5$

$d = 61471349610$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 6$

$E := E_d$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$      $y^2 = x^3 - d^2x$

$E_d$  has CM by  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

K.Rubin, A.Silverberg showed that  $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q})$  can reach 6.

$d = 34$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$

$d = 1254$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 3$

$d = 29274$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 4$

$d = 205015206$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 5$

$d = 61471349610$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 6$

$E := E_d$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$      $y^2 = x^3 - d^2x$

$E_d$  has CM by  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

K.Rubin, A.Silverberg showed that  $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q})$  can reach 6.

$d = 34$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$

$d = 1254$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 3$

$d = 29274$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 4$

$d = 205015206$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 5$

$d = 61471349610$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 6$

$E := E_d$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$      $y^2 = x^3 - d^2x$

$E_d$  has CM by  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

K.Rubin, A.Silverberg showed that  $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q})$  can reach 6.

$d = 34$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$

$d = 1254$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 3$

$d = 29274$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 4$

$d = 205015206$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 5$

$d = 61471349610$      $\text{rank}E_d(\mathbb{Q}) = 6$

Let  $A_d := E_d \times E_d$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$

#### PROPOSITION

*There is a nontorsion point  $P \in A_d(\mathbb{Q}(i))$  and a free  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ -module  $\Lambda \subset A_d(\mathbb{Q}(i))$  such that  $P \notin \Lambda$  and  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for all primes  $v \nmid 2d$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$*

Let  $A_d := E_d \times E_d$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$

### PROPOSITION

*There is a nontorsion point  $P \in A_d(\mathbb{Q}(i))$  and a free  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ -module  $\Lambda \subset A_d(\mathbb{Q}(i))$  such that  $P \notin \Lambda$  and  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for all primes  $v \nmid 2d$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$*

Since  $\text{rank} E_d(\mathbb{Q}) \geq 2$  we can find  $Q_1, Q_2 \in E_d(\mathbb{Q}(i))$  such that they are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

$$P := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Q_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_1 := \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_2 := \begin{bmatrix} Q_2 \\ Q_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_3 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Q_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}[i]P_1 + \mathbb{Z}[i]P_2 + \mathbb{Z}[i]P_3 \subset A(\mathbb{Q}(i))$$

$\Lambda$  is free over  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  hence also free over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

$\Lambda$  is not free over  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}(i)} A = M_2(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ .

$$P \notin \Lambda$$



Since  $\text{rank} E_d(\mathbb{Q}) \geq 2$  we can find  $Q_1, Q_2 \in E_d(\mathbb{Q}(i))$  such that they are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

$$P := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Q_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_1 := \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_2 := \begin{bmatrix} Q_2 \\ Q_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_3 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Q_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}[i]P_1 + \mathbb{Z}[i]P_2 + \mathbb{Z}[i]P_3 \subset A(\mathbb{Q}(i))$$

$\Lambda$  is free over  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  hence also free over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

$\Lambda$  is not free over  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}(i)} A = M_2(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ .

$$P \notin \Lambda$$

Since  $\text{rank} E_d(\mathbb{Q}) \geq 2$  we can find  $Q_1, Q_2 \in E_d(\mathbb{Q}(i))$  such that they are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

$$P := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Q_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_1 := \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_2 := \begin{bmatrix} Q_2 \\ Q_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_3 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Q_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}[i]P_1 + \mathbb{Z}[i]P_2 + \mathbb{Z}[i]P_3 \subset A(\mathbb{Q}(i))$$

$\Lambda$  is free over  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  hence also free over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

$\Lambda$  is not free over  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}(i)} A = M_2(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ .

$$P \notin \Lambda$$

Since  $\text{rank} E_d(\mathbb{Q}) \geq 2$  we can find  $Q_1, Q_2 \in E_d(\mathbb{Q}(i))$  such that they are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

$$P := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Q_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_1 := \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_2 := \begin{bmatrix} Q_2 \\ Q_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_3 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Q_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}[i]P_1 + \mathbb{Z}[i]P_2 + \mathbb{Z}[i]P_3 \subset A(\mathbb{Q}(i))$$

$\Lambda$  is free over  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  hence also free over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

$\Lambda$  is not free over  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}(i)} A = M_2(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ .

$$P \notin \Lambda$$

Since  $\text{rank} E_d(\mathbb{Q}) \geq 2$  we can find  $Q_1, Q_2 \in E_d(\mathbb{Q}(i))$  such that they are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

$$P := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Q_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_1 := \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_2 := \begin{bmatrix} Q_2 \\ Q_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_3 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Q_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}[i]P_1 + \mathbb{Z}[i]P_2 + \mathbb{Z}[i]P_3 \subset A(\mathbb{Q}(i))$$

$\Lambda$  is free over  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  hence also free over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

$\Lambda$  is not free over  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}(i)} A = M_2(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ .

$$P \notin \Lambda$$

Since  $\text{rank} E_d(\mathbb{Q}) \geq 2$  we can find  $Q_1, Q_2 \in E_d(\mathbb{Q}(i))$  such that they are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

$$P := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Q_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_1 := \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_2 := \begin{bmatrix} Q_2 \\ Q_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_3 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Q_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}[i]P_1 + \mathbb{Z}[i]P_2 + \mathbb{Z}[i]P_3 \subset A(\mathbb{Q}(i))$$

$\Lambda$  is free over  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  hence also free over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

$\Lambda$  is not free over  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}(i)} A = M_2(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ .

$$P \notin \Lambda$$

Let  $\overline{Q_i} := r_v(Q_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$\overline{P_i} := r_v(P_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $\overline{P} := r_v(P)$ .

We will prove that  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for all  $v$  of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  over a prime  $p \nmid 2d$ .

The equation

$$\overline{P} = r_1 \overline{P_1} + r_2 \overline{P_2} + r_3 \overline{P_3}.$$

in  $E_v(k_v) \times E_v(k_v)$  with  $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  is equivalent to a system of equations in  $E_v(k_v)$  :

$$r_1 \overline{Q_1} + r_2 \overline{Q_2} = 0$$

$$r_2 \overline{Q_1} + r_3 \overline{Q_2} = \overline{Q_1}$$

Let  $\overline{Q_i} := r_v(Q_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$\overline{P_i} := r_v(P_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $\overline{P} := r_v(P)$ .

We will prove that  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for all  $v$  of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  over a prime  $p \nmid 2d$ .

The equation

$$\overline{P} = r_1 \overline{P_1} + r_2 \overline{P_2} + r_3 \overline{P_3}.$$

in  $E_v(k_v) \times E_v(k_v)$  with  $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  is equivalent to a system of equations in  $E_v(k_v)$  :

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 \overline{Q_1} + r_2 \overline{Q_2} &= 0 \\ r_2 \overline{Q_1} + r_3 \overline{Q_2} &= \overline{Q_1} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\overline{Q_i} := r_v(Q_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$\overline{P_i} := r_v(P_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $\overline{P} := r_v(P)$ .

We will prove that  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for all  $v$  of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  over a prime  $p \nmid 2d$ .

The equation

$$\overline{P} = r_1 \overline{P_1} + r_2 \overline{P_2} + r_3 \overline{P_3}.$$

in  $E_v(k_v) \times E_v(k_v)$  with  $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  is equivalent to a system of equations in  $E_v(k_v)$  :

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 \overline{Q_1} + r_2 \overline{Q_2} &= 0 \\ r_2 \overline{Q_1} + r_3 \overline{Q_2} &= \overline{Q_1} \end{aligned}$$



Let  $\overline{Q_i} := r_v(Q_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$\overline{P_i} := r_v(P_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $\overline{P} := r_v(P)$ .

We will prove that  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for all  $v$  of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  over a prime  $p \nmid 2d$ .

The equation

$$\overline{P} = r_1 \overline{P_1} + r_2 \overline{P_2} + r_3 \overline{P_3}.$$

in  $E_v(k_v) \times E_v(k_v)$  with  $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  is equivalent to a system of equations in  $E_v(k_v)$  :

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 \overline{Q_1} + r_2 \overline{Q_2} &= 0 \\ r_2 \overline{Q_1} + r_3 \overline{Q_2} &= \overline{Q_1} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\overline{Q_i} := r_v(Q_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$\overline{P_i} := r_v(P_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $\overline{P} := r_v(P)$ .

We will prove that  $r_v(P) \in r_v(\Lambda)$  for all  $v$  of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  over a prime  $p \nmid 2d$ .

The equation

$$\overline{P} = r_1 \overline{P_1} + r_2 \overline{P_2} + r_3 \overline{P_3}.$$

in  $E_v(k_v) \times E_v(k_v)$  with  $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  is equivalent to a system of equations in  $E_v(k_v)$  :

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 \overline{Q_1} + r_2 \overline{Q_2} &= 0 \\ r_2 \overline{Q_1} + r_3 \overline{Q_2} &= \overline{Q_1} \end{aligned}$$

$$E_v(k_v) \cong \mathbb{Z}[i]/\gamma(v),$$

$$c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$$

$$\overline{Q_1} = c_1 \bmod \gamma(v)$$

$$\overline{Q_2} = c_2 \bmod \gamma(v).$$

the above system of equations is equivalent to the system of congruences in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/\gamma(v)$  :

$$r_1 c_1 + r_2 c_2 \equiv 0 \bmod \gamma(v)$$

$$r_2 c_1 + r_3 c_2 \equiv c_1 \bmod \gamma(v).$$

If  $c_1 \equiv 0 \bmod \gamma(v)$  or  $c_2 \equiv 0 \bmod \gamma(v)$  then the last system of congruences trivially has a solution.

$$E_v(k_v) \cong \mathbb{Z}[i]/\gamma(v),$$

$$c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$$

$$\overline{Q_1} = c_1 \bmod \gamma(v)$$

$$\overline{Q_2} = c_2 \bmod \gamma(v).$$

the above system of equations is equivalent to the system of congruences in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/\gamma(v)$  :

$$r_1 c_1 + r_2 c_2 \equiv 0 \bmod \gamma(v)$$

$$r_2 c_1 + r_3 c_2 \equiv c_1 \bmod \gamma(v).$$

If  $c_1 \equiv 0 \bmod \gamma(v)$  or  $c_2 \equiv 0 \bmod \gamma(v)$  then the last system of congruences trivially has a solution.

$$E_v(k_v) \cong \mathbb{Z}[i]/\gamma(v),$$

$$c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$$

$$\overline{Q_1} = c_1 \bmod \gamma(v)$$

$$\overline{Q_2} = c_2 \bmod \gamma(v).$$

the above system of equations is equivalent to the system of congruences in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/\gamma(v)$  :

$$r_1 c_1 + r_2 c_2 \equiv 0 \bmod \gamma(v)$$

$$r_2 c_1 + r_3 c_2 \equiv c_1 \bmod \gamma(v).$$

If  $c_1 \equiv 0 \bmod \gamma(v)$  or  $c_2 \equiv 0 \bmod \gamma(v)$  then the last system of congruences trivially has a solution.

$$E_v(k_v) \cong \mathbb{Z}[i]/\gamma(v),$$

$$c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$$

$$\overline{Q_1} = c_1 \bmod \gamma(v)$$

$$\overline{Q_2} = c_2 \bmod \gamma(v).$$

the above system of equations is equivalent to the system of congruences in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/\gamma(v)$  :

$$r_1 c_1 + r_2 c_2 \equiv 0 \bmod \gamma(v)$$

$$r_2 c_1 + r_3 c_2 \equiv c_1 \bmod \gamma(v).$$

If  $c_1 \equiv 0 \bmod \gamma(v)$  or  $c_2 \equiv 0 \bmod \gamma(v)$  then the last system of congruences trivially has a solution.

$$E_v(k_v) \cong \mathbb{Z}[i]/\gamma(v),$$

$$c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$$

$$\overline{Q_1} = c_1 \bmod \gamma(v)$$

$$\overline{Q_2} = c_2 \bmod \gamma(v).$$

the above system of equations is equivalent to the system of congruences in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/\gamma(v)$  :

$$r_1 c_1 + r_2 c_2 \equiv 0 \bmod \gamma(v)$$

$$r_2 c_1 + r_3 c_2 \equiv c_1 \bmod \gamma(v).$$

If  $c_1 \equiv 0 \bmod \gamma(v)$  or  $c_2 \equiv 0 \bmod \gamma(v)$  then the last system of congruences trivially has a solution.

$c_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma(v)}$  and  $c_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma(v)}$ .

$D := \gcd(c_1, c_2)$ . Then

$$\gcd(c_1^2/D, c_2) = D$$

and since  $D \mid c_1$

the equation  $r c_1^2/D + r_3 c_2 = c_1$   
has a solution in  $r, r_3 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

$$r_1 := \frac{-rc_2}{D}, \quad r_2 := \frac{rc_1}{D}$$



$c_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma(v)}$  and  $c_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma(v)}$ .

$D := \gcd(c_1, c_2)$ . Then

$$\gcd(c_1^2/D, c_2) = D$$

and since  $D \mid c_1$

the equation  $r c_1^2/D + r_3 c_2 = c_1$   
has a solution in  $r, r_3 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

$$r_1 := \frac{-rc_2}{D}, \quad r_2 := \frac{rc_1}{D}$$

$c_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma(v)}$  and  $c_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma(v)}$ .

$D := \gcd(c_1, c_2)$ . Then

$$\gcd(c_1^2/D, c_2) = D$$

and since  $D \mid c_1$

the equation  $r c_1^2/D + r_3 c_2 = c_1$   
has a solution in  $r, r_3 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

$$r_1 := \frac{-rc_2}{D}, \quad r_2 := \frac{rc_1}{D}$$

$c_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma(v)}$  and  $c_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma(v)}$ .

$D := \gcd(c_1, c_2)$ . Then

$$\gcd(c_1^2/D, c_2) = D$$

and since  $D \mid c_1$

the equation  $r c_1^2/D + r_3 c_2 = c_1$   
has a solution in  $r, r_3 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

$$r_1 := \frac{-rc_2}{D}, \quad r_2 := \frac{rc_1}{D}$$

$c_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma(v)}$  and  $c_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma(v)}$ .

$D := \gcd(c_1, c_2)$ . Then

$$\gcd(c_1^2/D, c_2) = D$$

and since  $D \mid c_1$

the equation  $r c_1^2/D + r_3 c_2 = c_1$   
has a solution in  $r, r_3 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

$$r_1 := \frac{-rc_2}{D}, \quad r_2 := \frac{rc_1}{D}$$

# Outline

- 1 Generalities about abelian varieties
  - Basic facts and notation
  - Classification of endomorphism algebras
- 2 History of the problem
  - number field case
  - Statement of the problem for abelian varieties
  - Results in this direction
- 3 **Main Theorem**
  - Corollaries
  - A counterexample
  - **Case of algebraic tori**
- 4 Basic ingredients of proof of Theorem A
  - Some easy reductions
  - Theorems about reduction
  - some semisimple algebras and modules

The methods of the proof of Main Theorem work for some algebraic tori over a number field  $F$ .

Let  $T/F$  be an algebraic torus and let  $F'/F$  be a finite extension that splits  $T$ .

Hence  $T \otimes_F F' \cong \mathbb{G}_m^e := \underbrace{\mathbb{G}_m \times \cdots \times \mathbb{G}_m}_{e\text{-times}}$  where

$\mathbb{G}_m := \operatorname{spec} F'[t, t^{-1}]$ .

The methods of the proof of Main Theorem work for some algebraic tori over a number field  $F$ .

Let  $T/F$  be an algebraic torus and let  $F'/F$  be a finite extension that splits  $T$ .

Hence  $T \otimes_F F' \cong \mathbb{G}_m^e := \underbrace{\mathbb{G}_m \times \cdots \times \mathbb{G}_m}_{e\text{-times}}$  where

$\mathbb{G}_m := \operatorname{spec} F'[t, t^{-1}]$ .

The methods of the proof of Main Theorem work for some algebraic tori over a number field  $F$ .

Let  $T/F$  be an algebraic torus and let  $F'/F$  be a finite extension that splits  $T$ .

Hence  $T \otimes_F F' \cong \mathbb{G}_m^e := \underbrace{\mathbb{G}_m \times \cdots \times \mathbb{G}_m}_{e\text{-times}}$  where

$$\mathbb{G}_m := \operatorname{spec} F'[t, t^{-1}].$$



The methods of the proof of Main Theorem work for some algebraic tori over a number field  $F$ .

Let  $T/F$  be an algebraic torus and let  $F'/F$  be a finite extension that splits  $T$ .

Hence  $T \otimes_F F' \cong \mathbb{G}_m^e := \underbrace{\mathbb{G}_m \times \cdots \times \mathbb{G}_m}_{e\text{-times}}$  where

$\mathbb{G}_m := \operatorname{spec} F'[t, t^{-1}]$ .

For any field extension  $F' \subset M \subset \overline{F}$  we have  $\text{End}_M(\mathbb{G}_m) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $H_1(\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

Hence the condition  $e \leq \dim_{\text{End}_{F'}(\mathbb{G}_m)^0} H_1(\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) = 1$ , analogous to the corresponding condition of our Theorem, means that  $e = 1$ .

Hence we can prove the analogue of the Main Theorem for one dimensional tori which is basically the A. Schinzel's Theorem.

The torsion ambiguity that appears in our Theorem can be in this case removed.

For any field extension  $F' \subset M \subset \overline{F}$  we have  $\text{End}_M(\mathbb{G}_m) = \mathbb{Z}$   
and  $H_1(\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

Hence the condition  $e \leq \dim_{\text{End}_{F'}(\mathbb{G}_m)^0} H_1(\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) = 1$ ,  
analogous to the corresponding condition of our Theorem ,  
means that  $e = 1$ .

Hence we can prove the analogue of the Main Theorem for one  
dimensional tori which is basically the A. Schinzel's Theorem .

The torsion ambiguity that appears in our Theorem can be in  
this case removed.

For any field extension  $F' \subset M \subset \overline{F}$  we have  $\text{End}_M(\mathbb{G}_m) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $H_1(\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

Hence the condition  $e \leq \dim_{\text{End}_{F'}(\mathbb{G}_m)^0} H_1(\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) = 1$ , analogous to the corresponding condition of our Theorem, means that  $e = 1$ .

Hence we can prove the analogue of the Main Theorem for one dimensional tori which is basically the A. Schinzel's Theorem.

The torsion ambiguity that appears in our Theorem can be in this case removed.

For any field extension  $F' \subset M \subset \overline{F}$  we have  $\text{End}_M(\mathbb{G}_m) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $H_1(\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

Hence the condition  $e \leq \dim_{\text{End}_{F'}(\mathbb{G}_m)^0} H_1(\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) = 1$ , analogous to the corresponding condition of our Theorem, means that  $e = 1$ .

Hence we can prove the analogue of the Main Theorem for one dimensional tori which is basically the A. Schinzel's Theorem.

The torsion ambiguity that appears in our Theorem can be in this case removed.

For any field extension  $F' \subset M \subset \overline{F}$  we have  $\text{End}_M(\mathbb{G}_m) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $H_1(\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

Hence the condition  $e \leq \dim_{\text{End}_{F'}(\mathbb{G}_m)^0} H_1(\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) = 1$ , analogous to the corresponding condition of our Theorem, means that  $e = 1$ .

Hence we can prove the analogue of the Main Theorem for one dimensional tori which is basically the A. Schinzel's Theorem.

The torsion ambiguity that appears in our Theorem can be in this case removed.

On the other hand A. Schinzel showed that his theorem does not extend in full generality to  $\mathbb{G}_m/F \times \mathbb{G}_m/F$ , hence it does not extend in full generality to algebraic tori  $T$  with  $\dim T > 1$ .

The phrase *full generality* in the last sentence means *for any  $P \in T(F)$  and any subgroup  $\Lambda \subset T(F)$* .

On the other hand A. Schinzel showed that his theorem does not extend in full generality to  $\mathbb{G}_m/F \times \mathbb{G}_m/F$ , hence it does not extend in full generality to algebraic tori  $T$  with  $\dim T > 1$ .

The phrase *full generality* in the last sentence means *for any  $P \in T(F)$  and any subgroup  $\Lambda \subset T(F)$* .



On the other hand A. Schinzel showed that his theorem does not extend in full generality to  $\mathbb{G}_m/F \times \mathbb{G}_m/F$ , hence it does not extend in full generality to algebraic tori  $T$  with  $\dim T > 1$ .

The phrase *full generality* in the last sentence means *for any  $P \in T(F)$  and any subgroup  $\Lambda \subset T(F)$* .

# Outline

1

## Generalities about abelian varieties

- Basic facts and notation
- Classification of endomorphism algebras

2

## History of the problem

- number field case
- Statement of the problem for abelian varieties
- Results in this direction

3

## Main Theorem

- Corollaries
- A counterexample
- Case of algebraic tori

4

## Basic ingredients of proof of Theorem A

- **Some easy reductions**
- Theorems about reduction
- some semisimple algebras and modules

Since the theorem is up to torsion we can assume

$$A = A_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times A_t^{e_t}$$

Put  $c := |A(F)_{\text{tor}}|$  and  $\Omega := cA(F)$ .

$\Omega$  is torsion free.

we can assume  $P \in \Omega$ ,  $P \neq 0$ ,  $\Lambda \subset \Omega$  and  $\Lambda \neq \{0\}$ ,

Let  $P_1, \dots, P_r, \dots, P_s$  be such a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\Omega$  that:

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}d_1P_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}d_rP_r + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}d_sP_s.$$

where  $d_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $d_i = 0$  for  $i > r$ .

Since the theorem is up to torsion we can assume

$$A = A_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times A_t^{e_t}$$

Put  $c := |A(F)_{\text{tor}}|$  and  $\Omega := cA(F)$ .

$\Omega$  is torsion free.

we can assume  $P \in \Omega$ ,  $P \neq 0$ ,  $\Lambda \subset \Omega$  and  $\Lambda \neq \{0\}$ ,

Let  $P_1, \dots, P_r, \dots, P_s$  be such a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\Omega$  that:

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}d_1P_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}d_rP_r + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}d_sP_s.$$

where  $d_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $d_i = 0$  for  $i > r$ .

Since the theorem is up to torsion we can assume

$$A = A_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times A_t^{e_t}$$

Put  $c := |A(F)_{\text{tor}}|$  and  $\Omega := cA(F)$ .

$\Omega$  is torsion free.

we can assume  $P \in \Omega$ ,  $P \neq 0$ ,  $\Lambda \subset \Omega$  and  $\Lambda \neq \{0\}$ ,

Let  $P_1, \dots, P_r, \dots, P_s$  be such a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\Omega$  that:

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}d_1P_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}d_rP_r + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}d_sP_s.$$

where  $d_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $d_i = 0$  for  $i > r$ .

Since the theorem is up to torsion we can assume

$$A = A_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times A_t^{e_t}$$

Put  $c := |A(F)_{\text{tor}}|$  and  $\Omega := cA(F)$ .

$\Omega$  is torsion free.

we can assume  $P \in \Omega$ ,  $P \neq 0$ ,  $\Lambda \subset \Omega$  and  $\Lambda \neq \{0\}$ ,

Let  $P_1, \dots, P_r, \dots, P_s$  be such a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\Omega$  that:

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}d_1P_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}d_rP_r + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}d_sP_s.$$

where  $d_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $d_i = 0$  for  $i > r$ .

Since the theorem is up to torsion we can assume

$$A = A_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times A_t^{e_t}$$

Put  $c := |A(F)_{\text{tor}}|$  and  $\Omega := cA(F)$ .

$\Omega$  is torsion free.

we can assume  $P \in \Omega$ ,  $P \neq 0$ ,  $\Lambda \subset \Omega$  and  $\Lambda \neq \{0\}$ ,

Let  $P_1, \dots, P_r, \dots, P_s$  be such a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\Omega$  that:

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}d_1P_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}d_rP_r + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}d_sP_s.$$

where  $d_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $d_i = 0$  for  $i > r$ .

Since the theorem is up to torsion we can assume

$$A = A_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times A_t^{e_t}$$

Put  $c := |A(F)_{\text{tor}}|$  and  $\Omega := cA(F)$ .

$\Omega$  is torsion free.

we can assume  $P \in \Omega$ ,  $P \neq 0$ ,  $\Lambda \subset \Omega$  and  $\Lambda \neq \{0\}$ ,

Let  $P_1, \dots, P_r, \dots, P_s$  be such a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\Omega$  that:

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}d_1P_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}d_rP_r + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}d_sP_s.$$

where  $d_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $d_i = 0$  for  $i > r$ .



put  $\Omega_j := c A_j(F)$ . Note that  $\Omega = \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \Omega_j^{\Theta_j}$ .

For  $P \in \Omega = \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbb{Z} P_i$  we write

$$P = n_1 P_1 + \cdots + n_r P_r + \cdots + n_s P_s$$

where  $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$

Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  be a finite extension such that  $D_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K \cong M_{d_i}(K)$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .

$$D_i := \mathcal{R}_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

put  $\Omega_j := c A_j(F)$ . Note that  $\Omega = \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \Omega_j^{\theta_j}$ .

For  $P \in \Omega = \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbb{Z} P_i$  we write

$$P = n_1 P_1 + \cdots + n_r P_r + \cdots + n_s P_s$$

where  $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$

Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  be a finite extension such that  $D_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K \cong M_{d_i}(K)$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .

$$D_i := \mathcal{R}_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

put  $\Omega_j := c A_j(F)$ . Note that  $\Omega = \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \Omega_j^{\Theta_j}$ .

For  $P \in \Omega = \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbb{Z} P_i$  we write

$$P = n_1 P_1 + \cdots + n_r P_r + \cdots + n_s P_s$$

where  $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$

Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  be a finite extension such that  $D_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K \cong M_{d_i}(K)$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .

$$D_i := \mathcal{R}_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

put  $\Omega_j := c A_j(F)$ . Note that  $\Omega = \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \Omega_j^{\Theta_j}$ .

For  $P \in \Omega = \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbb{Z} P_i$  we write

$$P = n_1 P_1 + \cdots + n_r P_r + \cdots + n_s P_s$$

where  $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$

Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  be a finite extension such that  $D_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K \cong M_{d_i}(K)$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .

$$D_i := \mathcal{R}_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

Since  $\Lambda \subset \Omega$  is a free subgroup of the free finitely generated abelian group  $\Omega$ ,

observe that  $P \in \Lambda$  if and only if  $P \otimes 1 \in \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_K$ .

The latter is equivalent to  $P \otimes 1 \in \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$  for all prime ideals  $\lambda \mid I$  in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  and all prime numbers  $l$ .

Since  $\Lambda \subset \Omega$  is a free subgroup of the free finitely generated abelian group  $\Omega$ ,

observe that  $P \in \Lambda$  if and only if  $P \otimes 1 \in \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_K$ .

The latter is equivalent to  $P \otimes 1 \in \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$  for all prime ideals  $\lambda \mid I$  in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  and all prime numbers  $l$ .

Since  $\Lambda \subset \Omega$  is a free subgroup of the free finitely generated abelian group  $\Omega$ ,

observe that  $P \in \Lambda$  if and only if  $P \otimes 1 \in \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_K$ .

The latter is equivalent to  $P \otimes 1 \in \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$  for all prime ideals  $\lambda \mid I$  in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  and all prime numbers  $I$ .

# Outline

1

## Generalities about abelian varieties

- Basic facts and notation
- Classification of endomorphism algebras

2

## History of the problem

- number field case
- Statement of the problem for abelian varieties
- Results in this direction

3

## Main Theorem

- Corollaries
- A counterexample
- Case of algebraic tori

4

## Basic ingredients of proof of Theorem A

- Some easy reductions
- **Theorems about reduction**
- some semisimple algebras and modules



Using Kummer maps for abelian varieties we prove the following:

### Theorem

*Let  $Q_{ij} \in A_i(L)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r_i$  be independent over  $\mathcal{R}_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . There is a family of primes  $w$  of  $\mathcal{O}_L$  of positive density such that  $r_w(Q_{ij}) = 0$  in  $A_{i_w}(k_w)_I$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq r_i$  and  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .*

Using Kummer maps for abelian varieties we prove the following:

### Theorem

*Let  $Q_{ij} \in A_i(L)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r_i$  be independent over  $\mathcal{R}_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . There is a family of primes  $w$  of  $\mathcal{O}_L$  of positive density such that  $r_w(Q_{ij}) = 0$  in  $A_{i,w}(k_w)_I$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq r_i$  and  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .*

## Theorem

*Let  $l$  be a prime number. Let  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq r_i$  and  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Let  $L/F$  be a finite extension and let  $P_{ij} \in A_i(L)$  be independent over  $\mathcal{R}_i$  and let  $T_{ij} \in A_i[l^m]$  be arbitrary torsion elements for all  $1 \leq j \leq r_i$  and  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . There is a family of primes  $w$  of  $\mathcal{O}_L$  of positive density such that*

$$r_{w'}(T_{ij}) = r_w(P_{ij}) \text{ in } A_{i,w}(k_w)_l$$

*for all  $1 \leq j \leq r_i$  and  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , where  $w'$  is a prime in  $\mathcal{O}_{L(A_i[l^m])}$  over  $w$  and  $r_{w'} : A_i(L(A_i[l^m])) \rightarrow A_{i,w}(k_{w'})$  is the corresponding reduction map.*

## Theorem

*Let  $l$  be a prime number. Let  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq r_i$  and  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Let  $L/F$  be a finite extension and let  $P_{ij} \in A_i(L)$  be independent over  $\mathcal{R}_i$  and let  $T_{ij} \in A_i[l^m]$  be arbitrary torsion elements for all  $1 \leq j \leq r_i$  and  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . There is a family of primes  $w$  of  $\mathcal{O}_L$  of positive density such that*

$$r_{w'}(T_{ij}) = r_w(P_{ij}) \text{ in } A_{i,w}(k_w)_l$$

*for all  $1 \leq j \leq r_i$  and  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , where  $w'$  is a prime in  $\mathcal{O}_{L(A_i[l^m])}$  over  $w$  and  $r_{w'} : A_i(L(A_i[l^m])) \rightarrow A_{i,w}(k_{w'})$  is the corresponding reduction map.*

## Theorem

*Let  $l$  be a prime number. Let  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq r_i$  and  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Let  $L/F$  be a finite extension and let  $P_{ij} \in A_i(L)$  be independent over  $\mathcal{R}_i$  and let  $T_{ij} \in A_i[l^m]$  be arbitrary torsion elements for all  $1 \leq j \leq r_i$  and  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . There is a family of primes  $w$  of  $\mathcal{O}_L$  of positive density such that*

$$r_{w'}(T_{ij}) = r_w(P_{ij}) \text{ in } A_{i,w}(k_w)_l$$

*for all  $1 \leq j \leq r_i$  and  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , where  $w'$  is a prime in  $\mathcal{O}_{L(A_i[l^m])}$  over  $w$  and  $r_{w'} : A_i(L(A_i[l^m])) \rightarrow A_{i,w}(k_{w'})$  is the corresponding reduction map.*

## Theorem

*Let  $l$  be a prime number. Let  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq r_i$  and  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Let  $L/F$  be a finite extension and let  $P_{ij} \in A_i(L)$  be independent over  $\mathcal{R}_i$  and let  $T_{ij} \in A_i[l^m]$  be arbitrary torsion elements for all  $1 \leq j \leq r_i$  and  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . There is a family of primes  $w$  of  $\mathcal{O}_L$  of positive density such that*

$$r_{w'}(T_{ij}) = r_w(P_{ij}) \text{ in } A_{i,w}(k_w)_l$$

*for all  $1 \leq j \leq r_i$  and  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , where  $w'$  is a prime in  $\mathcal{O}_{L(A_i[l^m])}$  over  $w$  and  $r_{w'} : A_i(L(A_i[l^m])) \rightarrow A_{i,w}(k_{w'})$  is the corresponding reduction map.*

# Outline

- 1 Generalities about abelian varieties
  - Basic facts and notation
  - Classification of endomorphism algebras
- 2 History of the problem
  - number field case
  - Statement of the problem for abelian varieties
  - Results in this direction
- 3 Main Theorem
  - Corollaries
  - A counterexample
  - Case of algebraic tori
- 4 Basic ingredients of proof of Theorem A
  - Some easy reductions
  - Theorems about reduction
  - some semisimple algebras and modules

Let  $D$  be a division algebra and let  $K_i \subset M_e(D)$  denote the left ideal of  $M_e(D)$  which consists of  $i$ -the column matrices of the form

$$\tilde{\alpha}_i := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & a_{1i} & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & a_{2i} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & a_{ei} & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in K_i$$



For  $\omega \in W$  put

$$\tilde{\omega} := \begin{bmatrix} \omega \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in W^e,$$

## Theorem

*Every nonzero simple submodule of the  $M_e(D)$ -module  $W^e$  has the following form*

$$K_1 \tilde{\omega} = \{ \tilde{\alpha}_1 \tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\alpha}_1 \in K_1 \} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} \omega \\ a_{21} \omega \\ \vdots \\ a_{e1} \omega \end{bmatrix}, a_{i1} \in D, 1 \leq i \leq e \right\}$$

*for some  $\omega \in W$ .*

Let  $D_i$  be a finite dimensional division algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .

The trace homomorphisms:  $tr_i : M_{e_i}(D_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , give the trace homomorphism  $tr : M_e(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ , where  $tr := \sum_{i=1}^t tr_i$ .

Let  $W_i$  be a finite dimensional  $D_i$ -vector space for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Then  $W$  is a finitely generated  $M_e(\mathbb{D})$ -module.

The homomorphism  $tr$  gives a natural map of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces

$$tr : Hom_{M_e(\mathbb{D})}(W, M_e(\mathbb{D})) \rightarrow Hom_{\mathbb{Q}}(W, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Let  $D_i$  be a finite dimensional division algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .

The trace homomorphisms:  $tr_i : M_{e_i}(D_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , give the trace homomorphism  $tr : M_e(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ , where  $tr := \sum_{i=1}^t tr_i$ .

Let  $W_i$  be a finite dimensional  $D_i$ -vector space for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Then  $W$  is a finitely generated  $M_e(\mathbb{D})$ -module.

The homomorphism  $tr$  gives a natural map of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces

$$tr : Hom_{M_e(\mathbb{D})}(W, M_e(\mathbb{D})) \rightarrow Hom_{\mathbb{Q}}(W, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Let  $D_i$  be a finite dimensional division algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .

The trace homomorphisms:  $tr_i : M_{e_i}(D_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , give the trace homomorphism  $tr : M_e(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ , where  $tr := \sum_{i=1}^t tr_i$ .

Let  $W_i$  be a finite dimensional  $D_i$ -vector space for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Then  $W$  is a finitely generated  $M_e(\mathbb{D})$ -module.

The homomorphism  $tr$  gives a natural map of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces

$$tr : Hom_{M_e(\mathbb{D})}(W, M_e(\mathbb{D})) \rightarrow Hom_{\mathbb{Q}}(W, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Let  $D_i$  be a finite dimensional division algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .

The trace homomorphisms:  $tr_i : M_{e_i}(D_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , give the trace homomorphism  $tr : M_e(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ , where  $tr := \sum_{i=1}^t tr_i$ .

Let  $W_i$  be a finite dimensional  $D_i$ -vector space for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Then  $W$  is a finitely generated  $M_e(\mathbb{D})$ -module.

The homomorphism  $tr$  gives a natural map of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces

$$tr : Hom_{M_e(\mathbb{D})}(W, M_e(\mathbb{D})) \rightarrow Hom_{\mathbb{Q}}(W, \mathbb{Q}).$$

## Lemma

*The map  $tr$  is an isomorphism.*

# Outline

- 1 Generalities about abelian varieties
  - Basic facts and notation
  - Classification of endomorphism algebras
- 2 History of the problem
  - number field case
  - Statement of the problem for abelian varieties
  - Results in this direction
- 3 Main Theorem
  - Corollaries
  - A counterexample
  - Case of algebraic tori
- 4 Basic ingredients of proof of Theorem A
  - Some easy reductions
  - Theorems about reduction
  - some semisimple algebras and modules



Assume  $P \notin \Lambda$   $P \otimes 1 \notin \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$  for some  $\lambda \mid l$  for some prime number  $l$ .

Hence  $n_j \neq 0$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq s$  in the expression

$$P = n_1 P_1 + \cdots + n_r P_r + \cdots + n_s P_s$$

Consider the equality in  $\Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_K$ .

Since  $P \notin \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$  then there is  $1 \leq j_0 \leq s$  such that  $\lambda^{m_1} \parallel n_{j_0}$  and  $\lambda^{m_2} \mid d_{j_0}$  for natural numbers  $m_1 < m_2$ .

Consider the map of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules

$$\pi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\pi(R) := \mu_{j_0}$$

for  $R = \sum_{i=1}^s \mu_i P_i$  with  $\mu_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ .

Assume  $P \notin \Lambda$   $P \otimes 1 \notin \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$  for some  $\lambda \mid l$  for some prime number  $l$ .

Hence  $n_j \neq 0$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq s$  in the expression

$$P = n_1 P_1 + \cdots + n_r P_r + \cdots + n_s P_s$$

Consider the equality in  $\Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_K$ .

Since  $P \notin \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$  then there is  $1 \leq j_0 \leq s$  such that  $\lambda^{m_1} \parallel n_{j_0}$  and  $\lambda^{m_2} \mid d_{j_0}$  for natural numbers  $m_1 < m_2$ .

Consider the map of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules

$$\pi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\pi(R) := \mu_{j_0}$$

for  $R = \sum_{i=1}^s \mu_i P_i$  with  $\mu_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ .

Assume  $P \notin \Lambda$   $P \otimes 1 \notin \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$  for some  $\lambda \mid l$  for some prime number  $l$ .

Hence  $n_j \neq 0$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq s$  in the expression

$$P = n_1 P_1 + \cdots + n_r P_r + \cdots + n_s P_s$$

Consider the equality in  $\Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_K$ .

Since  $P \notin \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$  then there is  $1 \leq j_0 \leq s$  such that  $\lambda^{m_1} \parallel n_{j_0}$  and  $\lambda^{m_2} \mid d_{j_0}$  for natural numbers  $m_1 < m_2$ .

Consider the map of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules

$$\pi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\pi(R) := \mu_{j_0}$$

for  $R = \sum_{i=1}^s \mu_i P_i$  with  $\mu_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ .

Assume  $P \notin \Lambda$   $P \otimes 1 \notin \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$  for some  $\lambda \mid l$  for some prime number  $l$ .

Hence  $n_j \neq 0$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq s$  in the expression

$$P = n_1 P_1 + \cdots + n_r P_r + \cdots + n_s P_s$$

Consider the equality in  $\Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_K$ .

Since  $P \notin \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$  then there is  $1 \leq j_0 \leq s$  such that  $\lambda^{m_1} \mid n_{j_0}$  and  $\lambda^{m_2} \nmid d_{j_0}$  for natural numbers  $m_1 < m_2$ .

Consider the map of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules

$$\pi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\pi(R) := \mu_{j_0}$$

for  $R = \sum_{i=1}^s \mu_i P_i$  with  $\mu_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ .

Assume  $P \notin \Lambda$   $P \otimes 1 \notin \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$  for some  $\lambda \mid l$  for some prime number  $l$ .

Hence  $n_j \neq 0$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq s$  in the expression

$$P = n_1 P_1 + \cdots + n_r P_r + \cdots + n_s P_s$$

Consider the equality in  $\Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_K$ .

Since  $P \notin \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$  then there is  $1 \leq j_0 \leq s$  such that  $\lambda^{m_1} \parallel n_{j_0}$  and  $\lambda^{m_2} \mid d_{j_0}$  for natural numbers  $m_1 < m_2$ .

Consider the map of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules

$$\pi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\pi(R) := \mu_{j_0}$$

for  $R = \sum_{i=1}^s \mu_i P_i$  with  $\mu_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ .

Lift  $\pi \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  (by last Lemma) to

$$\tilde{\pi} \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Me}(\mathbb{D})}(\Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}, \text{Me}(\mathbb{D}))$$

$\tilde{s} \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Me}(\mathbb{D})}(\text{Im} \tilde{\pi}, \Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$  such that  $\tilde{\pi} \circ \tilde{s} = \text{Id}$ .

Choose, for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , a lattice  $\mathcal{L}'_i \subset \mathcal{L}_i$  such that  $\mathcal{L}'_i$  is a free  $\mathcal{R}_i$ -module.

$\mathcal{L}_i$  - Riemann lattice ( $A_i(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^g / \mathcal{L}_i$ )

Put  $\mathcal{L} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathcal{L}_i$  and  $\mathcal{L}' := \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathcal{L}'_i$ .

Lift  $\pi \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  (by last Lemma) to

$$\tilde{\pi} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Me}(\mathbb{D})}(\Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}, \operatorname{Me}(\mathbb{D}))$$

$\tilde{s} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Me}(\mathbb{D})}(\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\pi}, \Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$  such that  $\tilde{\pi} \circ \tilde{s} = \operatorname{Id}$ .

Choose, for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , a lattice  $\mathcal{L}'_i \subset \mathcal{L}_i$  such that  $\mathcal{L}'_i$  is a free  $\mathcal{R}_i$ -module.

$\mathcal{L}_i$  - Riemann lattice ( $A_i(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^g / \mathcal{L}_i$ )

Put  $\mathcal{L} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathcal{L}_i$  and  $\mathcal{L}' := \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathcal{L}'_i$ .

Lift  $\pi \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  (by last Lemma) to

$$\tilde{\pi} \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Me}(\mathbb{D})}(\Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}, \text{Me}(\mathbb{D}))$$

$\tilde{s} \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Me}(\mathbb{D})}(\text{Im } \tilde{\pi}, \Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$  such that  $\tilde{\pi} \circ \tilde{s} = \text{Id}$ .

Choose, for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , a lattice  $\mathcal{L}'_i \subset \mathcal{L}_i$  such that  $\mathcal{L}'_i$  is a free  $\mathcal{R}_i$ -module.

$\mathcal{L}_i$  - Riemann lattice ( $A_i(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^g / \mathcal{L}_i$ )

Put  $\mathcal{L} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathcal{L}_i$  and  $\mathcal{L}' := \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathcal{L}'_i$ .



Lift  $\pi \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  (by last Lemma) to

$$\tilde{\pi} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Me}(\mathbb{D})}(\Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}, \operatorname{Me}(\mathbb{D}))$$

$\tilde{s} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Me}(\mathbb{D})}(\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\pi}, \Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$  such that  $\tilde{\pi} \circ \tilde{s} = \operatorname{Id}$ .

Choose, for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , a lattice  $\mathcal{L}'_i \subset \mathcal{L}_i$  such that  $\mathcal{L}'_i$  is a free  $\mathcal{R}_i$ -module.

$\mathcal{L}_i$  - Riemann lattice ( $A_i(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^g / \mathcal{L}_i$ )

Put  $\mathcal{L} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathcal{L}_i$  and  $\mathcal{L}' := \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathcal{L}'_i$ .

Lift  $\pi \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  (by last Lemma) to

$$\tilde{\pi} \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Me}(\mathbb{D})}(\Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}, \text{Me}(\mathbb{D}))$$

$\tilde{s} \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Me}(\mathbb{D})}(\text{Im} \tilde{\pi}, \Omega \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$  such that  $\tilde{\pi} \circ \tilde{s} = \text{Id}$ .

Choose, for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , a lattice  $\mathcal{L}'_i \subset \mathcal{L}_i$  such that  $\mathcal{L}'_i$  is a free  $\mathcal{R}_i$ -module.

$\mathcal{L}_i$  - Riemann lattice ( $A_i(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^g / \mathcal{L}_i$ )

Put  $\mathcal{L} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathcal{L}_i$  and  $\mathcal{L}' := \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathcal{L}'_i$ .

## Analyze

$$z(n, \lambda) : \mathcal{L}' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda} / \lambda^{\epsilon n} \mathcal{L}' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda} / \lambda^{\epsilon n} \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda},$$

$$I \mathcal{O}_K = \prod_{\lambda | I} \lambda^{\epsilon},$$

Here we need dimension condition

Use the reduction theorem

## Analyze

$$z(n, \lambda) : \mathcal{L}' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda} / \lambda^{\epsilon n} \mathcal{L}' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda} / \lambda^{\epsilon n} \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda},$$

$$I \mathcal{O}_K = \prod_{\lambda | I} \lambda^{\epsilon},$$

Here we need dimension condition

Use the reduction theorem

## Analyze

$$z(n, \lambda) : \mathcal{L}' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda} / \lambda^{\epsilon n} \mathcal{L}' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda} / \lambda^{\epsilon n} \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda},$$

$$I \mathcal{O}_K = \prod_{\lambda | I} \lambda^{\epsilon},$$

Here we need dimension condition

Use the reduction theorem

## Analyze

$$z(n, \lambda) : \mathcal{L}' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda} / \lambda^{\epsilon n} \mathcal{L}' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda} / \lambda^{\epsilon n} \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda},$$

$$I \mathcal{O}_K = \prod_{\lambda | I} \lambda^{\epsilon},$$

Here we need dimension condition

Use the reduction theorem

By Reduction Theorem there is a set of primes  $w$  of  $\mathcal{O}_L$  of positive density such that  $r_w(\omega_k(i)) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ ,  $k_i + 1 \leq k \leq u_i$  and  $r_w(\omega_k(i)) = r_w(T_k(i))$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq k_i$ .

Choose such a prime  $w$ . Since  $r_w(P) \in r_w(\Lambda)$  we take  $Q \in \Lambda$  such that  $r_w(P) = r_w(Q)$ . Applying the reduction map  $r_w$  to the equation

$$\begin{aligned} M_2(P - Q) &= \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{k=1}^{k_i} (\alpha_k(i)_1 - \beta_k(i)_1) M_0 \omega_k(i) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{k=k_i+1}^{u_i} (\alpha_k(i)_1 - \beta_k(i)_1) \omega_k(i), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{k=1}^{k_i} (\alpha_k(i)_1 - \beta_k(i)_1) M_0 r_w(\mathbf{T}_k(i)).$$



Since  $r_w$  is injective on torsion we have

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{k=1}^{k_i} (\alpha_k(i)_1 - \beta_k(i)_1) M_0 \mathbf{T}_k(i).$$

and thus

$$\alpha_k(i) - \beta_k(i) \in \lambda^{an+b} K(i)_{1,\lambda}$$

On the other hand

$$\alpha_k(i) - \beta_k(i) \notin \lambda^{m_2} K(i)_{1,\lambda}$$

This part is P.K. Yuval Flicker

## Theorem (Schinzel)

*Let  $F$  be a number field, and  $D > 0$  a rational integer. Let  $T$  be the torus  $\mathbb{G}_m^n$ . Fix  $t_1, \dots, t_r, t_0$  in  $T(F)$ . Suppose for each ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  in the ring  $\mathcal{O}$  of integers of  $F$ , that is prime to  $D$ , there are  $x_{1,\mathfrak{m}}, \dots, x_{r,\mathfrak{m}}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $t_1^{x_{1,\mathfrak{m}}} \cdots t_r^{x_{r,\mathfrak{m}}} \equiv t_0 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Then there are  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $t_1^{x_1} \cdots t_r^{x_r} = t_0$ .*

## Conjecture

*Let  $F$  be a number field. Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group over  $\mathcal{O}$ , viewed as a subgroup of some matrix group  $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ . Fix  $g_1, \dots, g_r, g_0$  in  $G(F)$ . Let  $D > 0$  a rational integer, depending on  $g_1, \dots, g_r$ . Suppose for each ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  in the ring  $\mathcal{O}$  of integers of  $F$ , that is prime to  $D$ , there are  $x_{1,\mathfrak{m}}, \dots, x_{r,\mathfrak{m}}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $g_1^{x_{1,\mathfrak{m}}} \dots g_r^{x_{r,\mathfrak{m}}} \equiv g_0 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Then there are  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $g_1^{x_1} \dots g_r^{x_r} = g_0$ .*

If  $g_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , when  $F = \mathbb{Q}$  Conjecture states the following.

### PROPOSITION

*Suppose  $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_r$  are nonzero rational integers. Let  $D > 0$  be an integer prime to the g.c.d.  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_r)$ . Suppose for each  $m > 1$  prime to  $D$  there are integers  $x_{i,m}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) with  $x_{1,m}u_1 + \dots + x_{r,m}u_r \equiv u_0 \pmod{m}$ . Then there are integers  $x_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) with  $x_1u_1 + \dots + x_ru_r = u_0$ .*

If  $g_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , when  $F = \mathbb{Q}$  Conjecture states the following.

### PROPOSITION

*Suppose  $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_r$  are nonzero rational integers. Let  $D > 0$  be an integer prime to the g.c.d.  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_r)$ . Suppose for each  $m > 1$  prime to  $D$  there are integers  $x_{i,m}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) with  $x_{1,m}u_1 + \dots + x_{r,m}u_r \equiv u_0 \pmod{m}$ . Then there are integers  $x_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) with  $x_1u_1 + \dots + x_ru_r = u_0$ .*

When  $G$  is a Heisenberg group, say the unipotent radical of the upper triangular subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}(3)$ , the following question comes up, first again in the context of integers. Suppose, instead of  $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_r$ , say we have two sequences of integers  $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_r$  and  $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_r$ ; and for  $D$  prime to  $(u_1, \dots, u_r)$  and  $(v_1, \dots, v_r)$ , for each  $m$  prime to  $D$  the equations  $x_{1,m}u_1 + \dots + x_{r,m}u_r \equiv u_0 \pmod{m}$  and  $x_{1,m}v_1 + \dots + x_{r,m}v_r \equiv v_0 \pmod{m}$  are solvable, with the same integral  $x$ 's.

Thus  $x_{i,m}$  are the same for the  $u$ 's and for the  $v$ 's. Then there is a solution to  $x_1 u_1 + \cdots + x_r u_r = u_0$  and to  $y_1 v_1 + \cdots + y_r v_r = v_0$ . We should be able to choose  $y_i = x_i$ . Is this true? Yes it is, even more generally, in the context of  $G$  being the unipotent radical  $U$  of the upper triangular subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}(n)$ .



Regarding the above diagonal, that is, the derived group  $U/[U, U]$  of  $U$ , we have, in the context of  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ :

### PROPOSITION

*Suppose  $u_{0,j}, u_{1,j}, \dots, u_{r,j}$  ( $1 \leq j < n$ ) are nonzero integers. Let  $D > 0$  be an integer prime to the g.c.d.  $u_j = (u_{1,j}, \dots, u_{r,j})$ , all  $j$ . Suppose for each  $m > 1$  prime to  $D$  there are integers  $x_{i,m}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) with  $x_{1,m}u_{1,j} + \dots + x_{r,m}u_{r,j} \equiv u_{0,j} \pmod{m}$  for all  $j$ . Then there are integers  $x_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) with  $x_1 u_{1,j} + \dots + x_r u_{r,j} = u_{0,j}$ .*

Regarding the above diagonal, that is, the derived group  $U/[U, U]$  of  $U$ , we have, in the context of  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ :

### PROPOSITION

*Suppose  $u_{0,j}, u_{1,j}, \dots, u_{r,j}$  ( $1 \leq j < n$ ) are nonzero integers. Let  $D > 0$  be an integer prime to the g.c.d.  $u_j = (u_{1,j}, \dots, u_{r,j})$ , all  $j$ . Suppose for each  $m > 1$  prime to  $D$  there are integers  $x_{i,m}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) with  $x_{1,m}u_{1,j} + \dots + x_{r,m}u_{r,j} \equiv u_{0,j} \pmod{m}$  for all  $j$ . Then there are integers  $x_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) with  $x_1 u_{1,j} + \dots + x_r u_{r,j} = u_{0,j}$ .*

## Theorem

*Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathcal{O})$  of finite index. Let  $\phi$  be a nontrivial homomorphism from  $\Gamma$  to  $\Gamma$ . Suppose there is an infinite set  $S$  of prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $\mathcal{O}$  with the following property. For all  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $S$ , the homomorphism  $\phi$  factors to give an homomorphism  $\phi_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p})$ , thus the following diagram exists and is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \Gamma \\
 \text{mod } \mathfrak{p} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{mod } \mathfrak{p} \\
 \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}} & \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p})
 \end{array}$$

Moreover, suppose  $\phi_p$  is inner, thus  $\phi_p(g) = \text{Int}(x)g := xgx^{-1}$ , for some  $x = x(\phi_p)$  in  $\text{GL}(n, \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p})$ , for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in S$ . Then  $\phi$  is an automorphism of  $\Gamma$  which is the restriction to  $\Gamma$  of the inner-conjugation action by an element of  $\text{GL}(n, F)$ .

Proof:

Put  $B = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}$ . The ring  $\mathcal{O}$  embeds in the ring  $B$ . Hence there is an injection  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathcal{O}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SL}(n, B)$ . So  $\phi$  lies in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \Gamma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{SL}(n, B) & \xrightarrow{\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \phi_{\mathfrak{p}}} & \mathrm{SL}(n, B). \end{array}$$

and it is locally inner. Hence the representation  $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, F)$  and the identity – natural embedding – representation  $\mathrm{id} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, F)$ , have equal traces.

But  $\mathrm{id}$  is irreducible, hence  $\phi$  and  $\mathrm{id}$  are conjugate by an element of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, F)$ , namely  $\phi$  is the restriction to  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathrm{Int}(x)$ , for some  $x \in \mathrm{GL}(n, F)$ , and  $\mathrm{Int}(x)$  takes  $\Gamma$  to itself.

But the index  $[\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathcal{O}) : \Gamma]$  equals  $[\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathcal{O}) : \mathrm{Int}(x)\Gamma]$ , hence  $\phi = \mathrm{Int}(x)$  is an automorphism of  $\Gamma$ .

and it is locally inner. Hence the representation  $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, F)$  and the identity – natural embedding – representation  $\mathrm{id} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, F)$ , have equal traces.

But  $\mathrm{id}$  is irreducible, hence  $\phi$  and  $\mathrm{id}$  are conjugate by an element of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, F)$ , namely  $\phi$  is the restriction to  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathrm{Int}(x)$ , for some  $x \in \mathrm{GL}(n, F)$ , and  $\mathrm{Int}(x)$  takes  $\Gamma$  to itself.

But the index  $[\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathcal{O}) : \Gamma]$  equals  $[\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathcal{O}) : \mathrm{Int}(x)\Gamma]$ , hence  $\phi = \mathrm{Int}(x)$  is an automorphism of  $\Gamma$ .



and it is locally inner. Hence the representation  $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, F)$  and the identity – natural embedding – representation  $\mathrm{id} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, F)$ , have equal traces.

But  $\mathrm{id}$  is irreducible, hence  $\phi$  and  $\mathrm{id}$  are conjugate by an element of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, F)$ , namely  $\phi$  is the restriction to  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathrm{Int}(x)$ , for some  $x \in \mathrm{GL}(n, F)$ , and  $\mathrm{Int}(x)$  takes  $\Gamma$  to itself.

But the index  $[\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathcal{O}) : \Gamma]$  equals  $[\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathcal{O}) : \mathrm{Int}(x)\Gamma]$ , hence  $\phi = \mathrm{Int}(x)$  is an automorphism of  $\Gamma$ .